THE EFFECT OF TITANIUM DIOXIDE NANOPARTICLES AND SALICYLIC ACID ON GROWTH AND BIODIESEL PRODUCTION POTENTIAL OF SUNFLOWER (HELIANTHUS ANNUUS L.) UNDER WATER STRESS

ADNAN KHATTAK¹, FAIZAN ULLAH^{1*}, ZABTA KHAN SHINWARI² AND SULTAN MEHMOOD¹

¹ Department of Botany University of Science and Technology Bannu KP, Pakistan ² Department of Biotechnology Quaid-i-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan *Corresponding author's email: drfaizanwazir@gmail.com

Abstract

Biodiesel is a green fuel derived from vegetable oil and animal fats. In present studies we evaluated effects of salicylic acid (SA) and Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (nano-TiO₂) on growth and biodiesel production potential of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) varieties S-78 and Armoni under water deficit stress. The water deficit stress (50% and 30% field capacity of soil) was imposed during reproductive growth for one month. Salicylic acid (5 and 3 mg/L) and nano-TiO₂ (50 and 25 mg/L) was applied to leaves as a spray once before the start of water deficit stress period and next foliar spray 15 days after imposition of water stress. We observed that severe water stress (30% field capacity) significantly decreased plant leaf area, leaf relative water content (LRWC), chlorophyll *a*, *b* and carotenoids content, head diameter, width and length of achene, 1000 achene weight, achene oil content and biodiesel yield. Severe water stress (30% field capacity) increased oil acid value and free fatty acids content but decreased oil iodine value and refractive index. The foliar spray of salicylic acid and nano-TiO₂ effectively alleviated adverse effect of water deficiency stress on growth, achene quality and biodiesel yield of sunflower varieties. The sunflower variety Armoni performed better and showed better response to applied SA and Nano-TiO₂. Moreover, influence of nano-TiO₂ and SA on sunflower under water stress was nearly comparable. We concluded that foliar spray of either nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) or SA (5 mg/L) could be highly effective in the alleviation of adverse effect of water deficit stress was nearly comparable. We concluded that foliar spray of either nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) or SA (5 mg/L) could be highly effective in the alleviation of adverse effect of water deficit stress was nearly comparable.

Key words: Titanium dioxide nanoparticles, Salicylic acid, Green fuel.

Introduction

Biodiesel is a green fuel synthesized from plant and animal based triglycerides. It is composed of alkyl esters of fatty acids (Ullah et al., 2013). For the production of biodiesel both edible (canola, safflower, soybean, sunflower) and none edible (Jatropha curcus, Pongamia pinnata) vegetable oil resources are used (Ullah et al., 2017). The production of biodiesel has been increased recently throughout the world. According to an estimate the world production of biodiesel was 284 Terawatt/hour in the year in 2018 (Nystrom et al., 2019). However, in developing countries like Pakistan commercialization of biodiesel is still a dream. The major reasons are that developing countries do not have sufficient amount of vegetable oil resources which can be exploited for large scale biodiesel production (Ullah et al., 2014). Moreover recent scenario of climate change in developing countries has caused severe reduction in the production of oil yielding crops (Ali et al., 2017). In such a situation, commercialization of biodiesel on a large scale can be achieved only by mitigating adverse effect of climate change on oil yielding plants and increasing their oil production capacity (Ullah, 2014).

Due to change in climate crops face environmental stresses like salinity, drought and temperature extremes (Latif *et al.*, 2016; Shinwari *et al.*, 1998, Nakashima *et al.*, 2000). Among the various abiotic stresses water deficit stress is the most severe one and adversely effects all the developmental and growth phases of plants (Shinwari *et al.*, 1998a; Narusaka *et al.*, 1999; Sinclair, 2005; Salehi-Lisar *et al.*, 2012). Oil yielding plants are more vulnerable to adverse effect of water stress (Ullah *et*

al., 2013). A study showed that seed oil content of canola was decreased when exposed to water deficit stress during reproductive growth stage (Ullah *et al.*, 2012). Similarly oil yield of sunflower was decreased (40%) due to water stress (Rauf, 2008). Water shortage during reproductive growth stages brought about changes in the concentration of major fatty acids in sunflower oil (Onemli, 2012). Studies of Ullah *et al.*, (2013) concluded that alteration in weather conditions decreased oil and biodiesel yield of Sesame. In such a situation it is therefore required to take measures for the alleviation of adverse effect of water deficit stress on oil yielding plants which will assist in future commercialization of biodiesel without comprising on food products.

Nanotechnology is an emerging field of science in which particle size is reduced up to 10-100nm (Kasithevar et al., 2017). Nanoparticles have small size and large surface area which make them highly effective for chemical and biological applications (Rizvi & Saleh, 2018; Khalil et al., 2017). Titanium oxide nanoparticles exhibit optical and biological activities and have favorable effects on the growth and development of plants in trace amounts (Xie et al., 2011). Occurrence of TiO₂ has been observed in various environmental components like soil, water, plankton, fungi, aquatic animals and plants (Frazier et al., 2014). The Titanium dioxide nanoparticles increase the activity of antioxidant enzymes like Catalase, peroxidase, superoxidase dismutase and protect chloroplast form injurious effect of reactive oxygen species (Hong et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2021). Several experiments have shown that lower concentrations of titanium dioxide nanoparticles were not hazardous to living organisms (Zheng et al., 2012; Larue

et al., 2012). The TiO₂ nanoparticles stimulated growth of spinach plants by improving their rate of photosynthesis and nitrogen metabolism (Hong *et al.*, 2005; Yang *et al.*, 2008). Jaberzadeh *et al.*, (2013) reported that TiO₂ nanoparticles not only prevented losses in vegetative growth but also in yield of wheat due to water shortage.

Salicylic acid also known as ortho-hydroxy benzoic acid has beneficial effects on the physiology and biochemistry of many plant species. It improved growth of soybean and corn plants by modulating various physiological and biochemical pathways (Khan et al., 2003; Shakirova, 2007). Salicylic acid improved photosynthetic pigments content in leaves of maize (Khodary, 2004). Similarly, higher deposition of cell wall bound phenols occurred in leaves of maize upon application of salicylic acid which enhanced their tolerance to water deficit stress (Latif et al., 2016). Salicylic acid applications significantly enhanced the dry matter content of Brassica juncea (Indian mustard) (Fariduddin et al., 2003). It has a significant role in the uptake of ions, solute translocation, photosynthesis, induction of flowering, gene expression and endures stress resistance of plants (Wada et al., 2010; Ullah et al., 2012). It defends the leaf soluble proteins and retains normal leaf relative water content under drought stress (Khan et al., 2012).

In present study we evaluated influence of nano- TiO_2 and salicylic acid on growth, oil yield and biodiesel production potential of sunflower varieties exposed to water stress.

Materials and Methods

Field experiments were carried out in University of Science and Technology Bannu during sunflower growing seasons of 2017 and 2018. Achenes of Helianthus annus Cvv. S-78 (Drought susceptible) and Armoni (Drought tolerant) were obtained from Agriculture Research Station Sari-e-Naurang Lakki Marwat, KP, Pakistan. The achenes were first washed with a 10% (v/v) aqueous solution of chlorox and then with distilled water. The achenes were sown in already prepared and weeds free field under natural environment conditions during spring of 2017 and 2018. The District Bannu lies at 32.9889°N, 70.6056°E Latitude. The experimental lay out was randomized complete block design, with four replica for each treatment. The soil of the field was a sandy loam containing 0.517% of organic matter (OM) with pH 7.57 and electrical conductivity (EC) of 0.506 ECum/cm. The soil contained 55% sand, 28% silt and 17% clay. Soil also contained inorganic matter CO₃ Meq/l (0.4%) and HCO₃ Meq/l (1.5%).

Solution of Salicylic acid (Sigma Chemicals Co. Ltd. USA) was prepared by dissolving requisite amount of hormone in 100 μ L of ethanol and the volume was made to 0.1 L with distilled water. From this solution further 5mg/L and 3gm/L solutions were prepared.

The nanoparticles of TiO_2 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Inc. The particles size was <25 nm with 99.9% purity. The suspensions (50 and 25mg/L) of nano-TiO₂ were made in autoclaved distilled water using ultrasonication method.

Plants were exposed to water deficient stress in the beginning of reproductive growth stage (80 days after water sowing). One set of plants was subjected to water deficiency stress for 30 days at 50% field capacity of soil (moderate water stress). Whereas the other set of plants was exposed to water stress for 30 days at 30% field capacity of soil (severe water stress).

One day before the onset of water stress condition plants were supplied with foliar spray of either SA or nano-TiO₂ using automated sprayer. Second spray of SA and nanoparticles was done 15 days after imposition of water stress. The treatment were: control plant sprayed with distilled water and not exposed to water stress, Water stress (50% field capacity), Water stress (30% field capacity), Water stress (50% field capacity) + SA (5 mg/L), Water stress level (50% field capacity) + SA (3 mg/L), Water stress level (50% field capacity) + nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L), Water stress level (50% field capacity) + nano-TiO₂ (25 mg/L), Water stress (30%) field capacity) + SA (5 mg/L), Water stress level (30% field capacity) + SA (3 mg/L), Water stress level (30%field capacity) + nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L), Water stress level $-2 + \text{nano-TiO}_2$ (25 mg/L).

When period of water stress was completed, sampling was done on vegetative parts. Leaf width and length were determined by graph paper and leaf area was calculated. Leaf relative water content of 3rd healthy leaf from the shoot apex was determined. After excising fresh weight (FW) of leaf was determined followed by determination of its turgid weight (TW) and dry weight (DW) respectively.

LRWC (%) = [(weight of fresh leaf-weight of dry leaf) / (weight of turgid leaf-weight of dry leaf)] $\times 100$

Leaf pigments like chlorophyll a, b and carotenoids were extracted and estimated using protocol of Arnon, (1949). Estimation of total soluble Phenolics content of leaves was done by Folin-Ciocalteau method (Adom & Liu, 2002).

All the plants in all sets were re-watered and permitted to grow to physiological maturity for yield and quality parameters determination. When plants reached to the stage of physiological maturity i.e., the backs of heads turned yellow and bracts became brown then they were harvested. The data were collected on diameter of head, width and length of achene and 1000 achene weight.

Oil was extracted from achenes in *n*-hexane using a Soxhlet extractor and achene oil content (%) was determined. Iodine value of oil was determined by using Anon., (1997) method Cd 1-25. The oil refractive index was determined by using a refractometer. The AOAC, (1984) method was adopted for determination of oil acid value. The achene oil (200 μ g) was mixed with 2.5 ml diethyl ether: ethanol (1:1) and heated for complete dissolution. The mixture was later titrated with sodium hydroxide (0.1 N) by using phenolphthalein indicator. Free fatty acids content was derived from results of acid value as following:

Acid value =
$$[56.1 \times N \times V] / W$$

Biodiesel was synthesized from achene oil of sunflower using standard protocol with slight modifications (Rashid *et al.*, 2008). The preheated oil (500 g) was treated with a mixture of sodium hydroxide (1 g) and methanol (40 ml) at $60 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for three hours. The mixture was stirred continuously at 300 rpm for three hours and allowed to stand overnight for complete separation of glycerin and biodiesel phases. Biodiesel yield was expressed as % w/w conversion of sunflower oil into biodiesel.

Statistical analysis

Two way ANOVA was used for data analyses and treatment means comparison was done by least significant differences test (Statistix-8.1 USA).

Results

Both the moderate and severe stress decreased leaf area of Sunflower varieties then respective control (p<0.05). Lowest leaf area (13.8 cm^2) was recorded under the treatment of severe stress. Both the treatments of SA and nano-TiO₂ minimized reduction in leaf area caused by water stress. Under moderate and severe stress beneficial effect of both the SA and nano-TiO₂ was similar. The two varieties of sunflower showed significant differences in leaf area. The cv Armoni had higher leaf area (31.806 cm²⁾ then S-78 (30.159 cm²). Under moderate stress (50% Field Capacity) Armoni was more responsive to applied nano-TiO₂. Whereas, under severe stress both the varieties showed statistically equal response to foliar spray of SA and nano-TiO₂ (Table 1).

As compared with plants in control group those treated with moderate and severe water stress produced significantly smaller (p<0.05) head diameter (Table 1). However, smallest head diameter (10.23 cm) was recorded in plants treated with severe water stress (30% field capacity). Foliar application of SA and TiO₂ nanoparticles was effective and minimized reduction in head diameter both under moderate and severe water stress. Most effective doses of SA and nano-TiO₂ on head diameter under water stress were 5 mg/L and 50 mg/L. Effect of nano-TiO₂ on head diameter under water stress was statistically comparable to that of SA. Effect of varieties on head diameter was also significant (p<0.05). Significantly larger head diameter was recorded for cv S-78. In our studies water stress had reducing effect on leaf relative water content (LRWC). Minimum value (49%) of LRWC was documented at 30% field capacity of soil (Table 2). All the treatments of SA and nano-TiO₂ showed positive effect on LWRC under water stress. However, SA was more effective when applied at 5mg/ L. Effectiveness of nano-TiO₂ on LRWC under water stress (30 % field capacity of Soil) was higher at 50 mg/L. The interaction between treatments and varieties was considerable. The Armoni was significantly more responsive to both the treatments of SA and nano-TiO₂.

Lowest chlorophyll (*a*, *b*) and carotenoids content was recorded in leaves of plants treated with severe water stress (30% field capacity) (Table 2). Foliar spray of SA and nano-TiO₂ lessened adverse effect of water stress on leaf chlorophyll and carotenoids content. It was noted that SA (5 mg /L) and TiO₂ nanoparticles (50 mg/L) were significantly more effective under water deficit stress. Interaction of treatments and varieties was also significant (p<0.05). Under severe water stress both the varieties showed almost equal response to applied SA and nano-TiO₂.

The total soluble phenolics content in the leaf was enhanced under low soil moisture availability (Fig. 1). Significantly upper level (19.08 mg Gallic acid equivalents/g f.w) of phenolics was observed in leaves of severe water stress treated plants. Foliar spray of both the SA and nano-TiO₂ further improved content of phenolics under stress. In state of both moderate and severe water stress influence of SA (5 mg/L and 3 mg/L) and nano- TiO_2 (50 mg/L and 25 mg/L) on leaf phenolics was similar. It was also noted that stimulatory outcome of SA and TiO₂ nanoparticles on leaf phenolics was much higher under severe water stress than that of moderate water stress. Both the varieties had similar content of phenolics in leaves. The Armoni was found as more responsive to the treatments of SA and nano-TiO2 under moderate and severe water stress.

Both levels of water stress have reducing effect on achene length and width than control (Table 3). Compared with water stress treated plants, plants supplemented with foliar SA and nano-TiO₂ had better achene quality. Data revealed that impact of nano-TiO₂ on achene length and width under water stress was comparable to SA irrespective of the intensity of water stress. Under severe water stress (30% field capacity) cv Armoni was more responsive to nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) then cv S-78.

Treatmonts	Leaf ar	rea (cm ²)	Head diar	neter (cm)
reatments	S-78	Armoni	S-78	Armoni
Control	$43.759 \ B \pm 1.337$	$52.502 \ A \pm 0.979$	11.667 A ±0.12	$11.667 \; A \pm 0.088$
Water stress (50% FC)	$16.200 \text{ JK} \pm 1.179$	$17.234 \ J \pm 0.597$	$11.033 \text{ C-F} \pm 0.088$	$10.333~\mathrm{HI}\pm0.12$
Water stress (30% FC)	$12.479\ L\pm 0.489$	$13.786 \text{ KL} \pm 1.027$	$10.467~{\rm GH}\pm 0.176$	$10.0 \; J \pm 0.057735$
Water stress (50% FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$34.556 \text{ EF} \pm 0.578$	$36.948 \text{ C-E} \pm 1.308$	$11.133 \text{ B-E} \pm 0.185$	11.133 B-E \pm 0.203
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO_2 (50mg/L)	$35.147 \text{ EF} \pm 0.536$	$38.144\ C\ \pm 0.744$	$11.333 \text{ BC} \pm 0.088$	$11.367~AB \pm 0.088$
Water stress $(50\% \text{ FC}) + \text{SA}(3\text{mg/L})$	$33.22 \text{ FG} \pm 0.499$	$35.615 \text{ D-F} \pm 0.318$	$10.900 \text{ EF} \pm 0.0578$	$10.467~GH \pm 0.033$
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO_2 (25mg/L)	$34.447\;F\pm 0.2478$	$37.802 \text{ CD } \pm 0.871$	$11.267 \text{ B-D} \pm 0.120$	$10.767 \text{ FG} \pm 0.145$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$30.042 \text{ HI} \pm 1.316$	$29.332 \ HI \ \pm 0.684$	$11.067 \text{ B-F} \pm 0.12$	$11.067 \text{ B-F} \pm 0.089$
Water stress (30%FC)+TiO ₂ (50mg/L)	$31.115 \text{ GH} \pm 1.197$	$30.156 \text{ HI} \pm 0.747$	$11.033 \text{ C-F} \pm 0.057$	$11.0 \text{ D-F} \pm 0.089$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (3mg/L)	$29.709~HI\pm0.488$	$28.666 \ I \pm 0.487$	$10.267 \text{ H-J} \pm 0.088$	$10.1~\text{IJ}\pm0.057$
Water stress $(30\% \text{ FC}) + \text{TiO}_2 (25 \text{mg/L})$	$31.066 \; G\text{-}I \pm 0.928$	$29.686\ HI \pm 0.682$	$11.0 \text{ D-F} \pm 0.058$	$10.267 \; \text{H-J} \pm 0.120$
Mean	30.159 B	31.806 A	11.012 A	10.745 B

	Table 2.	Nano-TiO ₂ and SA 6	ffect on physiologic	al parameters of su	inflower under water d	leficit stress.		
	Leaf relative wa	ter content (%)	Chlorophyll a co	ntent (mg/g f.w)	Chlorophyll b cor	ntent (mg/g f.w)	Carotenoids con	ntent (mg/g f.w)
Treaments	S-78	Armoni	S-78	Armoni	S-78	Armoni	S-78	Armoni
Control	$55.474\mathrm{H}\pm0.577$	$57.847 \ FG \pm 0.285$	$6.927~A\pm0.036$	$6.943~A\pm0.102$	$2.032 \text{ CD} \pm 0.037$	$1.972C-G \pm 0.024$	$1.134 \text{ C-F} \pm 0.058$	$1.195 \text{ CD} \pm 0.098$
Water stress (50% FC)	$51.186 I \pm 0.895$	$56.678 \text{ GH} \pm 0.156$	$4.272 \text{ J} \pm 0.141$	4.437 $J \pm 0.017$	$1.986 \text{ C-F} \pm 0.124$	$4.437 J \pm 0.0614$	$0.949~FG\pm0.025$	$0.632 \text{ H} \pm 0.177$
Water stress (30% FC)	$43.314 \text{ J} \pm 0.559$	$55.103 \text{ H} \pm 1.615$	$3.241\mathrm{K}\pm0.098$	$3.336 \text{ K} \pm 0.069$	$2.756 \hspace{.1in} A \pm 0.056 \hspace{.1in}$	$1.913 \text{ D-I} \pm 0.056$	$0.493~\mathrm{H}\pm0.098$	$0.204 \text{ I} \pm 0.07$
Water stress (50% FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$62.123 \text{ D} \pm 0.528$	$65.779 \text{ C} \pm 0.035$	$6.121 \text{ DE} \pm 0.071$	$6.287 \text{ CD} \pm 0.158$	$1.952 \text{ C-H} \pm 0.0673$	$1.703 \text{ J-L} \pm 0.096$	$1.031 \text{ D-G} \pm 0.015$	$1.92~A\pm0.0672$
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO ₂ (50mg/L)	$57.634 \text{ FG} \pm 1.047$	$70.723 \text{ A} \pm 1.266$	$6.454 \text{ BC} \pm 0.071$	$6.554 \text{ B} \pm 0.043$	1.878 D-J \pm 0.044	$1.666 \text{ KL} \pm 0.036$	$1.168C-E \pm 0.035$	$1.95 \text{ A} \pm 0.034$
Water stress $(50\% FC) + SA (3mg/L)$	$60.789 \text{ DE} \pm 0.394$	$65.461 \text{ C} \pm 0.237$	$5.979 \text{ EF} \pm 0.071$	$6.021 E \pm 0.081$	$1.907 \text{ D-J} \pm 0.018$	$1.754 \text{ H-L} \pm 0.009$	$0.93 \text{ G} \pm 0.042$	$1.554 \text{ B} \pm 0.035$
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO_2 (25mg/L)	$56.834 \text{ GH} \pm 0.869$	$70.603 \text{ A} \pm 1.217$	$6.254~\text{CD}\pm0.071$	$6.004 \ E \pm 0.002$	$1.995 \text{ C-F} \pm 0.067$	$1.997 \text{ C-E} \pm 0.001$	$1.068 \text{ D-G} \pm 0.035$	$1.087 \text{ D-G} \pm 0.007$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$65.089 \text{ C} \pm 0.0271$	$65.935 \text{ C} \pm 0.0516$	$5.295~\mathrm{H}\pm0.085$	$5.195 \text{ HI} \pm 0.015$	$1.816 \text{ E-K} \pm 0.099$	1.722 I-L ± 0.099	$1.044 \text{ D-G} \pm 0.069$	$1.893 \text{ A} \pm 0.052$
Water stress $(30\% FC)$ +TiO ₂ $(50mg/L)$	$56.991 \text{ GH} \pm 0.478$	$68.500 \text{ B} \pm 0.434$	$5.761 \ FG \pm 0.071$	$5.562 \text{ G} \pm 0.031$	$2.046 \text{ CD} \pm 0.171$	$1.588 L \pm 0.158$	$1.089 \text{ D-G} \pm 0.049$	$1.9~\mathrm{A}\pm0.053$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (3mg/L)	$59.689 \ \mathrm{EF} \pm 0.675$	$65.681 \ C \pm 0.183$	$5.162~\mathrm{HI}\pm0.044$	$5.025 I \pm 0.122$	$1.771 \text{ G-L} \pm 0.032$	$1.791 \text{ F-L} \pm 0.015$	$0.666~\mathrm{H}\pm0.089$	$1.293 \text{ C} \pm 0.059$
Water stress (30% FC) + TiO ₂ (25mg/L)	$56.625 \text{ GH} \pm 0.659$	$68.862 \hspace{0.1in} AB \pm 0.854 \hspace{0.1in}$	$5.0017 \ I\pm 0.1297$	$5.002~I \pm 0.009$	$2.476 \ \mathbf{B} \pm 0.099$	$1.665 \text{ KL} \pm 0.0007$	$0.989 E-G \pm 0.049$	$1.017 \text{ D-G} \pm 0.001$
Mean	56.886 B	64.652 A	5.5602 A	5.4878 B	2.056 A	1.808 B	0.9603 B	1.3318 A

÷E
.Э
5
Ĕ.
č
5
÷.
5
-
5
e
9
Ξ
S.
2
_
Ð
Ξ
2
5
5
5
i
8
2
σ
e
8
Ř
5
ă
Ē
5
5
e،
Ħ
e
-
n i
-
P
Ξ.
~~
S.
Ξ
Ξ.
<u>ن</u> ۲
2
Ξ.
<u> </u>
4

Mean	56.886 B	64.652 A	5.5602 A	5.4878 B	2.056 A	1.808 B	0.9603 B	1.3318 A
	-	Table 3. Nano-TiO ₂ an	d SA effect on achene	e quality of sunflower	under water deficit st	tress.		
	Achene ler	ngth (mm)	Achene w	idth (mm)	Weight of 10	00 Achenes (g)	Achene oil e	content (%)
L reaunents	S-78	Armoni	82-S	Armoni	S-78	Armoni	82-S	Armoni
Control	$12.400 \text{ A} \pm 0.05$	$12.267 \text{ A} \pm 0.1453$	$6.9~\mathrm{A}\pm0.058$	$6.667~AB\pm0.145$	$7.013 \text{ A} \pm 0.009$	$7.033 \text{ A} \pm 0.009$	$39.467 A \pm 0.03$	$40.887A\pm0.04$
Water stress (50% FC)	$6.167~\text{CD}\pm0.088$	$6.4~\mathrm{CD}\pm0.058$	$5.533 \text{ H} \pm 0.146$	$5.5667 \text{ H} \pm 0.145$	$4.22~\mathrm{H}\pm0.006$	$4.33~\mathrm{H}\pm0.067$	$30.333 \text{ M} \pm 0.06$	$31.343L \pm 0.098$
Water stress (30% FC)	$5.233 \text{ D} \pm 0.145$	$5.300 \text{ D} \pm 0.1$	$5.167 I \pm 0.120$	$4.933\mathrm{I}\pm0.088$	$4.027 I \pm 0.006$	$4.01 I \pm 0.012$	$29.537~M\pm0.03$	$30.343 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{m}$
Water stress (50% FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$11.100 \text{ AB} \pm 0.058$	$11.233~\mathrm{AB}\pm0.088$	$6.633 \text{ AB} \pm 0.145$	$6.6 \text{ A-C} \pm 0.153$	$6.477 \text{ B} \pm 0.016$	$6.523 \; \mathbf{B} \pm 0.015$	$39.000 \text{ DE} \pm 0.02$	$41.053 \; A \pm 0.03$
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO ₂ (50mg/L)	$11.500 \text{ AB} \pm 0.115$	$11.467~\text{AB}\pm0.033$	$6.8~A\pm0.057735$	$6.667 \text{ AB} \pm 0.12$	$6.594 \text{ B} \pm 0.043$	$6.597 \text{ B} \pm 0.031$	$36.817F\pm0.3$	$39.387 \text{ CD} \pm 0.02$
Water stress $(50\% FC) + SA (3mg/L)$	$11.067 \text{ AB} \pm 0.088$	$10.933~\mathrm{AB}\pm0.033$	$6.267 \ DE \pm 0.120$	$6.267 \ DE \pm 0.120$	$6.143 \text{ D-F} \pm 0.0233$	$6.34 \text{ C} \pm 0.066$	$38.300 \ E\pm 0.41$	$40.887~A\pm0.01$
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO ₂ (25mg/L)	$11.200 \text{ AB} \pm 0.058$	$10.800~AB\pm0.115$	$6.467B-D \pm 0.033$	$6.4 \text{ B-E} \pm 0.0577$	6.223 C-E ± 0.049	$6.2300 \text{ C-E} \pm 0.035$	$36.383~FG\pm0.11$	$38.973 \text{ DE} \pm 0.04$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$11.100 \text{ AB} \pm 0.058$	$11.200~\text{AB}\pm0.058$	$6.3 \text{ C-E} \pm 0.115$	$6.1667 \text{D-} \text{F} \pm 0.120$	$6.1233 \text{ EF} \pm 0.008$	$6.567 \text{ B} \pm 0.0167$	$36.800~F\pm 0.03$	$39.993 \text{ BC} \pm 0.31$
Water stress (30%FC)+TiO ₂ (50mg/L)	$8.003 \text{ C} \pm 3.397$	$11.333~\mathrm{AB}\pm0.033$	$6.4 \text{ B-E} \pm 0.111$	$6.267 \ DE \pm 0.088$	$6.26~\text{CD}\pm0.049$	$6.2867 \text{ C} \pm 0.068$	$35.467 \text{ HI} \pm 0.03$	$40.327~\text{AB}\pm0.01$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (3mg/L)	$10.7~\text{AB}\pm0.057$	$10.100 \text{B} \pm 0.058$	$5.9~FG\pm0.0577$	$5.9~FG\pm0.057$	$6.053 \text{ F} \pm 0.024$	$6.12~EF\pm0.035$	$35.800 \text{ GH} \pm 0.351$	$39.793 \text{ B-D} \pm 0.273$
Water stress (30% FC) + TiO ₂ (25mg/L)	$11.033 \text{ AB} \pm 0.033$	$10.467 \; AB \pm 0.120$	$6.133 \ EF \pm 0.033$	$5.767~GH\pm0.088$	$6.123 \ EF \pm 0.008$	$5.8 \ G{\pm} 0.153$	$34.847 \text{ IJ} \pm 0.17$	39.327 ± 0.01 cd
Mean	9.955 A	10.136 A	6.2273 A	6.1091 B	5.9309 B	5.9867 A	0.8336 B	37.815 A

Treatments	Iodine Value of o	oil (g I/100g oil)	Oil acid value	e (mg KOH/g oil)
Treatments	S-78	Armoni	S-78	Armoni
Control	$105.33\ a \pm 0.08$	105.00 a-c ± 0.05	$0.67\ k\pm0.006$	0.5931 ± 0.01
Water stress (50% FC)	$102.18\ j \pm 0.16$	$103.13 \ i \pm 0.08$	$0.873 \; FG \; \pm 0.09$	$0.963BC\ \pm 0.09$
Water stress (30% FC)	$101.27\;k\pm 0.145$	$102.20 \ j \pm 0.08$	$0.963BC\ \pm 0.09$	$1.027A\ \pm 0.01$
Water stress (50% FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$104.57 \text{ de} \pm 0.05$	$104.70\text{b-d}\pm0.1$	$0.783 \ J \ \pm 0.01$	$0.863GH\ \pm 0.09$
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO ₂ (50mg/L)	$105.33 \ A \pm 0.01$	$105.03AB\ \pm 0.08$	$0.773~J\pm0.09$	$0.873\ FG\pm0.01$
Water stress (50% FC) + SA (3mg/L)	$104.30 EF \pm 0.05$	$104.17FG\pm0.09$	$0.867GH\ \pm 0.09$	0.9EF ±0.01
Water stress (50% FC)+ TiO ₂ (25mg/L)	$105.0 \text{ A-C} \pm 0.08$	$104.67CD\pm0.09$	$0.837HI\ \pm 0.09$	$0.97 \ BC \ \pm 0.05$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (5mg/L)	$104.73 \text{ B-D} \pm 0.01$	$104.9 \text{ B-D} \pm 0.09$	$0.8633 GH \pm 0.02$	$0.94D\ \pm 0.05$
Water stress (30%FC)+TiO ₂ (50mg/L)	$104.30 \text{ EF} \pm 0.05$	$104.13FG\pm0.25$	$0.8633~GH\pm0.07$	$0.98 \text{ B} \pm 0.06$
Water stress (30%FC)+SA (3mg/L)	$103.87 GH \ \pm 0.08$	$104.0FG \ \pm 0.05$	$0.8567~GH\pm0.01$	$0.91E \ \pm 0.05$
Water stress $(30\% \text{ FC}) + \text{TiO}_2 (25 \text{mg/L})$	$103.63 \; H \pm 0.07$	$104.03FG \ \pm 0.08$	$0.82GH\ \pm 0.06$	$0.92DE \ \pm 0.05$
Mean	104.05 B	104.18 A	0.8336 B	0.9042 A

Table 4. Nano-TiO₂ and SA effect on oil quality indices of sunflower under water deficit stress.

Both the water stress levels drastically decreased achene weight than control (Table 3). Maximum decrease in achene weight was observed under sever water stress. Compared with group of plants exposed to water stress levels, plants applied with foliar spray of SA and nano-TiO2 had higher achene weight after water stress. In condition of moderate water stress beneficial effect of nano-TiO₂ on achene weight was statistically similar to SA. It was noted that most effective doses of SA and nano-TiO₂ were 5 mg/L and 50 mg/L respectively (Table 3). Two way ANOVA showed that interaction of treatments and varieties for achene weight was significant. Under moderate and sever water stress response of cv Armoni was higher to foliar spray of both the concentrations of SA. Whereas under severe water stress beneficial effect of nano-TiO₂ (25 mg/L) was higher than SA on achenes weight of cv Armoni.

Achene oil content was decreased under both the levels of water stress (50% and 30% Field Capacity) then non stressed control (Table 3). Lowest oil content (33.92 %) was recorded in achenes of plants treated with severe water stress (30% FC). Under moderate stress (50% FC) foliar application of SA at both concentrations (5 mg/L and 3 mg/L) minimized water stress effect and retained normal achene oil content after water stress. Like SA nano-TiO2 lessened decreasing effect of water stress on oil content. However, under moderate water stress SA was more effective than nano-TiO₂. Under severe water stress foliar spray of SA (5 mg/L) and nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) was equally effective in the alleviation of water stress effect on oil content. However, at same level of water stress (30% FC) SA (3 mg/L) was more effective on oil content than nano-TiO2. Interaction between variety and treatment for oil percent was significant (p < 0.05). Water stress decreased oil content of both the varieties. However, foliar application of SA and nano-TiO₂ gave highly encouraging result in Armoni under moderate and severe water stress than S-78. Data further revealed that Armoni had higher oil content then S-78.

Lowest iodine value (101.735 g I_2 /100g of oil) was found in achene oil of plants treated with sever water

stress (30 % FC) which was 105.15 g I2 /100g of oil for control (Table 4). Under moderate water stress (50 % FC) nano-TiO₂ (50mg/L) maintained normal iodine value of oil. However under severe water stress SA (5mg/L) was more effective than both doses of nano-TiO₂. Interaction of treatments and varieties for iodine value of oil was significant. Both under moderate and severe water stress higher reduction in iodine value were found in achene oil of cv S-78 than cv Armoni. Data showed that response of both the varieties to all treatments of SA and nano-TiO₂ was nearly similar. Data also showed that iodine value was higher in achene oil of Armoni than S-78.

Both the levels of water stress increased acid value of oil (Table 4). In condition of moderate water stress, the SA (5mg/L) and nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) decreased the oil acid value. The decreasing effect of nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) was statistically comparable to that of SA (5 mg/L). Similarly the increase in oil acid value caused by severe water stress was significantly minimized by SA (5 mg/L) and nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L). However application of SA and nano-TiO₂ foliar spray had similar effect on both varieties S-78 and Armoni.

Both the levels of water stress increased the content of free fatty acids in achene oil (Fig. 2). Maximum free fatty acids were recorded in oil of plants exposed to severe water stress. In condition of moderate water stress, the SA (5 mg/L) and nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) decreased the content of free fatty acids. The decreasing effect of nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) was statistically comparable to that of SA (5 mg/L). Similarly the increase in free fatty acids caused by severe water stress was significantly minimized by SA (5 mg/L) and nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L).

Our results showed that both the levels of water stresses (50% and 30% field capacity) decreased (95.33 and 89.5%) biodiesel yield of sunflower oil respectively (Fig. 3). The results confirmed that at moderate water stress (50% field capacity) application of nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) and salicylic acid (5 mg/L) significantly reduced water stress effect and increased biodiesel yield of both the varieties of sunflower.



Fig. 1. Nano-TiO₂ and SA effect on Leaf Phenolics of sunflower under water deficit stress.



Fig. 2. Nano-TiO₂ and SA effect on free fatty acid value of sunflower under water deficit stress.



Fig. 3. Nano-TiO₂ and SA effect on Biodiesel yield of sunflower under water deficit stress.

Discussion

Reduction in leaf area results due to decrease in leaf size (Toscano et al., 2014). Water deficiency stress causes inhibition of cell division and limits leaf enlargement (Jaleel et al., 2008). Water deficit stress adversely affected leaf area of both the varieties of sunflower. Foliar spray of both SA and Nano-TiO₂ sustained leaf area of sunflower varieties under water stress. Both the SA and Nano-TiO₂ had comparatively similar effect on the leaf area. Leaf area reduction is a common plant response to water shortage (Toscano et al., 2018). The SA has stimulatory effect on mitotic activity in growth apices of plant (Pasternak et al., 2019) and thus modifies leaf area under condition of water stress (Cornnelia et al., 2010). Studies of Abdul-Latef et al., (2018) showed that Nano-TiO₂ protected leaf area of broad bean from injurious effect of salt stress. The beneficial effect of TiO₂ nanoparticles on leaf area in water deficit stress can be supported by the fact that many abiotic stresses related genes are up regulated by Nano-TiO₂ treatment (Tumbura et al., 2017). The TiO₂ nanoparticles influence growth characteristics of plants in a dose dependent manner (Yaqoob et al., 2017). The Nano-TiO₂ was found to counter act water deficient stress in wheat by improving agronomical parameters (Jaberzadeh et al., 2013).

Water stress decreased achene length, width and weight. Seed filling involves the transport and mobilization of various constituents and complex biochemical processes for the synthesis of lipids, proteins and carbohydrates in the developing seeds (Farooq et al., 2017; Sehgal et al., 2018). Water deficiency stresses inhibits activities of enzymes involved in the synthesis of storage material of seeds (Ahmadi & Baker 2001). In our studies foliar spray of SA and nano-TiO₂ lessened water stress effect on achene length, width and weight. The SA has been reported to increase translocation of photo assimilates to developing seeds (Ullah et al., 2012). Moreover, SA has a role in maintaining turgidity of cells which is necessary for normal division and expansion of cotyledonary cells in developing seeds/grains (Sehgal et al., 2018). The nano-TiO₂ effect on the quality parameters of sunflower achene was indirect which might be due to its beneficial effects on the protection of chloroplast from oxidative stress by improving content of phenolics in leaves during water stress (Aghdam et al., 2016). Azmat et al., (2020) reported increase in growth indexes of Spinacia oleracea due to nano-TiO2 treatment by improving their photosynthetic efficacy. The nano-TiO₂ promotes light absorption capacity of chloroplast by up regulating genes related to light harvesting complex II (Ze et al., 2011). As reported by Hale et al., (2005) hiher phenolic production improved tolerance of plants to soil moisture deficiency stress. Phenolic compounds are used by plant cells to stabilize all form of reactive oxygen species. Moreover, these phenolics compounds increase cell wall thickness when deposited under water stress ensuring lower flow of water from inside of cell (Hura et al.,

2013; Latif *et al.*, 2016). Latif *et al.*, (2016) studies showed stimulatory effect of SA on the biosynthesis of endogenous phenolics in maize leaves. Higher synthesis of phenolics under water stress occurs at the expense of photosynthesis outcome resulting in decrease dry mass production (Hura *et al.*, 2017). Both SA and nano-TiO₂ not only improved content of leaf phenolics but also achene quality under water stress.

Water stress decreased seed oil content of sunflower varieties. Seed oil content is very important in perspectives of oil yield. It was demonstrated in a study that water deficiency stress changed oil protein ratio of canola varieties (Ullah *et al.*, 2012). The SA and Nano-TiO₂ positively affected achene oil content of sunflower varieties under water stress. These beneficial effects of SA and Nano-TiO₂ could be attributed to their positive effects on physiological and biochemical considerations of sunflower plants.

Water deficiency stress increased oil acid value and free fatty acid content which resulted in a lower biodiesel yield. However, SA and Nano-TiO2 prevented increase in these quality measuring parameters of sunflower oil. Presence of higher content of free fatty acid in vegetable oils results in a lower ratio of fatty acid conversion into their respective methyl ester (Ullah et al., 2014). Free fatty acids are converted into soap rather than alkyl esters in an alkali canalized transesterification reaction and results in lower biodiesel yield (Ullah et al., 2017). In our studies the SA and TiO_2 nanoparticles application prevented increase in the content of free fatty acids in oil of achenes. That's why the oil obtained from plants treated with SA and Nano-TiO2 under water stress gave higher yield of biodiesel than untreated ones. Additional researches to define influence of SA and nano-TiO₂ on enzymes involved in the synthesis of fatty acid need to be explored. Our study established that foliar spray of SA and nano-TiO2 improved biodiesel yield of sunflower directly by improving oil content and indirectly by decreasing oil free fatty acid content under water stress. Studies showed that application of nano-TiO2 on oilseed plants helped in formation of polydentate sulfate species inside the structure of TiO₂ while enhanced the stability of synthesized TiO₂ nano catalyst and present a higher tolerance to free fatty acids in raw material for biodiesel production (Carlucci et al., 2019).

Conclusions

Severe water deficit stress (30% Field capacity) badly affected the growth, yield, oil quality and biodiesel production potential of sunflower. The foliar spray of SA and nano-TiO₂ minimized water stress effect on leaf area, leaf relative water content, head diameter, achene oil quality and biodiesel yield. Moreover, we noted that foliar spray of both the SA and nano-TiO₂ enhanced the buildup of phenolics in leaves under water stress which may be a mechanism behind water stress resistance of sunflower varieties. The foliar application of nano-TiO₂ (50 mg/L) and SA (5 mg/L) is recommended for lessening water stress effects on biodiesel production potential of sunflower.

References

- Abdel-Latef, A.A.H., A.K. Srivastava, M.S.A. El-sadek, M. Kordrostami and L.S.P. Tran. 2018. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles improve growth and enhance tolerance of broad bean plants under saline soil conditions. *Land. Degrad. Dev.*, 29: 1065-1073.
- Adom, K.K., M.E. Sorrells and R.H. Liu. 2005. Phytochemicals and antioxidant activity of milled fractions of different wheat varieties. J. Agri. & Food Chem., 53: 2297-2306.
- Aghdam, M.T.B., H. Mohammadi and M. Ghorbanpour. 2016. Effects of nanoparticulate anatase titanium dioxide on physiological and biochemical performance of *Linum* usitatissimum (*Linaceae*) under well-watered and drought stress conditions. *Brazil. J. Bot.*, 39: 139-146.
- Ahmadi, A. and D.A. Baker. 2001. The effect of water stress on the activities of key regulatory enzymes of the sucrose to starch pathway in wheat. *Plant Growth Regul.*, 35: 81-91.
- Ali, S., Y. Liu, M. Ishaq, T. Shah, A. Ilyas and I. Din. 2017. Climate change and its impact on the yield of major food crops: Evidence from Pakistan. *Foods*, 6: 39.
- Anonymous. 1984. Official Methods of Analysis, Association of Official Analytical Chemists Washington, DC, USA.
- Anonymous. 1997. Methods and Recommended Practices of the American Oil Chemist's Society, 5th ed. AOCS: Champaign, IL.
- Arnon, D.I. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts, polyphenol oxidase in *Beta vulgaris* L. *Plant Physiol.*, 24: 1-15.
- Azmat, R., I. Altaf and S. Moin. 2020. The reflection of the photocatalytic properties of TiO₂ nanoparticles on photosynthetic activity of *Spinacia oleracea* plants. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 52(4): 1229-1234.
- Carlucci, C.L. Degennaro and R. Luisi. 2019. Titanium dioxide as a catalyst in biodiesel production. *Catalysts*, 9: 75.
- Cornelia, P., A. Petrus, L. Pop, A. Chis and G.E. Bandici. 2010. Exogenous salicylic acid involvement on some physiological parameters amelioration in salt stressed wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) plantlets. *Analele Universitatii* din Oradea, Fascicula: Protectia Mediului, 15: 160-165.
- Fariduddin, Q., S. Hayat and A. Ahmad. 2003. Salicylic acid influences net photosynthetic rate, carboxylation efficiency, nitrate reductase activity and seed yield in *Brassica juncea*. *Phot.*, 41: 281-284.
- Farooq, M., N. Gogoi, S. Barthakur, B. Baroowa, N. Bharadwaj, S.S. Alghamdi and K.H.M. Siddique. 2017. Drought stress in grain legumes during reproduction and grain filling. J. Agron. & Crop Sci., 203: 81-102.
- Frazier, T.P., C.E. Burklew and B. Zhang. 2014. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles affect the growth and microRNA expression of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*). Fun. Integ. Genom., 14: 75-83.
- Hale, B.K., D.A. Herms, R.C. Hansen, T.P. Clausen and D. Arnold. 2005. Effects of drought stress and nutrient availability on dry matter allocation, phenolic glycosides and rapid induced resistance of poplar to two lymantriid defoliators. J. Chem. Eco., 31: 2601-2620.
- Hong, F.H., J. Zhou, C. Liu, F. Yang, C. Wu, L. Zheng and P. Yang. 2005. Effect of nano-TiO2 on photochemical reaction of chloroplasts of spinach. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.*, 1-3: 269-279.
- Hura, K., A. Ostrowska, K. Dziurka and T. Hura. 2017. Photosynthetic apparatus activity in relation to high and low contents of cell wall-bound phenolics in triticale under drought stress. *Photosynthetica*, 55: 698-704.
- Hura, T., K. Hura, A. Ostrowska, M. Grzesiak and K. Dziurka. 2013. The cell wall-bound phenolics as a biochemical indicator of soil drought resistance in winter triticale. *Plant Soil & Environ.*, 59: 189-195.

- Jaberzadeh, A., P. Moaveni, H. Reza, T. Moghadam and H. Zahedi. 2013. Influence of bulk and nanoparticles titanium foliar application on some agronomic traits, seed gluten and starch contents of wheat subjected to water deficit stress. *Notinghum Bot. Hort. Agrobiol.*, 41: 201-207.
- Jaleel, C.A., R. Gopi, B. Sankar, M. Gomathinayagam and R. Panneerselvam. 2008. Differential responses in water use efficiency in two varieties of *Catharanthus roseus* under drought stress. *Comp. Rendus Biol.*, 331: 42-47.
- Kasithevar, M., M. Saravanan, P. Prakash, H. Kumar, M. Ovais, H. Barabadi and Z.K. Shinwari. 2017. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using *Alysicarpus monilifer* leaf extract and its antibacterial activity against MRSA and CoNS isolates in HIV patients. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Nanomedicine*, 2(2): 131-141.
- Khalil, A.T., M. Ovais, I. Ullah, M. Ali, Z.K. Shinwari, D. Hassan and M. Maaza. 2017. Sageretia thea (Osbeck.) modulated biosynthesis of NiO nanoparticles and their In vitro pharmacognostic, antioxidant and cytotoxic potential. Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology, 46(4): 838-852.
- Khan, S.U., A. Bano, J.U. Din and A.R. Gurmani. 2012. Abscisic acid and salicylic acid seed treatment as potent inducer of drought tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44: 43-49.
- Khan, W., B. Prithiviraj and D.L. Smith. 2003. Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar application of salicylates. *Plant Physiol.*, 160: 485-492.
- Khodary, A. 2004. Effect of salicylic acid on the growth, photosynthesis and carbohydrate metabolism in the salt stressed maize plants. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, 6: 5-8.
- Larue, C., J. Laurette, N. Herlin-Boime, H. Khodja, B. Fayard, A. Flank, F. Brisset and M. Carriere. 2012. Accumulation, translocation and impact of TiO₂ nanoparticles in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* spp.) influence of diameter and crystal phase. *Sci. Total Environ.*, 431: 197-208.
- Latif, F., F. Ullah, S. Mehmood, A. Khattak, A.U. Khan, S.U. Khan and I. Husain. 2016. Effects of salicylic acid on growth and accumulation of phenolics in *Zea mays L.* under drought stress. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica, Section B Soil & Plant Sci.*, 66: 325-332.
- Liu, L.I., Y. Cao, Q. Guo and Z. Zhu. 2021. Nanosized *Titanium dioxide* seed priming enhances salt tolerance of an ornamental and medicinal plant *Paeonia Suffruticosa*. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 53(4): 1167-1175.
- Nakashima, K., Z.K. Shinwari, S. Miura, Y. Sakuma, M. Seki, K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and K. Shinozaki. 2000. Structural organization, expression and promoter activity of an Arabidopsis gene family encoding DRE/CRT binding proteins involved in dehydration- and high salinityresponsive gene expression. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 42(4): 657-665.
- Narusaka, Y., Z.K. Shinwari, K. Nakashima, K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and K. Shinozaki. 1999. The roles of the two cis-acting elements, DRE and ABRE in the dehydration, high salt and low temperature responsive expression of the Rd29a gene in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 40: 91.
- Nyström, I., P. Bokinge and P.Å. Franck. 2019. Production of liquid advanced biofuels-global status. In: *CIT Industriell Energi AB*, Gothenburg, Swedan.
- Onemli, F. 2012. Changes in oil fatty acid composition during seed development of Sunflower. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, 11: 241-245.
- Pasternak, T., E.P. Groot, F.V. Kazantsev, W. Teale, N. Omelyanchuk, V. Kovrizhnykh and V.V. Mironova. 2019. Salicylic acid affects root meristem patterning via auxin distribution in a concentration-dependent manner. *Plant Physiol.*, 180: 1725-1739.

- Rashid, U., F. Anwar, B.R. Moser and S. Ashraf. 2008. Production of sunflower oil methyl esters by optimized alkali-catalyzed methanolysis. *Biomas. & Bioener.*, 32(12): 1202-1205.
- Rauf, S. 2008. Breeding sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) for drought tolerance. *Comm. Biomet. & Crop Sci.*, 3: 29-44.
- Rizvi, S.A. and A.M. Saleh. 2018. Applications of nanoparticle systems in drug delivery technology. *Saudi Pharm. J.*, 26: 64-70.
- Salehi-lisar, S.Y., R. Motafakkerazad, M.M. Hossain and I.M.M. Rahman. 2012. Water stress in plants: Causes, effects and responses In: (Ed.): Rahman, I.M.M. *Water Stress*. INTECH Open Access Publisher; ISBN 978-953-307-963-9; pp 1-14.
- Sehgal, A., K. Sita, K.H. Siddique, R. Kumar, S. Bhogireddy, R.K. Varshney and H. Nayyar. 2018. Drought or/and heatstress effects on seed filling in food crops: impacts on functional biochemistry, seed yields, and nutritional quality. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 9:1705.
- Shakirova, F.M. 2007. Role of hormonal system in the manifestation of growth promoting and anti-stress action of salicylic acid. In: (Eds.): Hayat, S., A. Ahmad. Salicylic Acid. A Plant Hormone. Springer. Dordrecht. Netherlands.
- Shinwari, Z.K., K. Nakashima, S. Miura, M. Kasuga, K. Y.-Shinozaki and K. Shinozaki. 1998a. Identification of a gene family encoding dehydration-responsive-element (DRE) binding proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* and analysis of its promoter. *Plant and cell Physiology*, 39: 106.
- Shinwari, Z.K., K. Nakashima, S. Miura, M. Kasuga, M. Seki, K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and K. Shinozaki. 1998. An Arabidopsis gene family encoding DRE binding protein involved in low temperature-responsive gene expression. *Biochemical Biophysical Research Communications*, 250: 161-170.
- Sinclair, T.R. 2005. Theoretical analysis of soil and plant traits influencing daily plant water flux on drying soils. *Agron. J.*, 97: 1148-1152.
- Toscano, S., A. Ferrante, A. Tribulato and D. Romano. 2018. Leaf physiological and anatomical responses of Lantana and Ligustrum species under different water availability. *Plant Physiol. & Biochem.*, 127: 380-392.
- Toscano, S., D. Scuderi, F. Giuffrida and D. Romano. 2014. Responses of Mediterranean ornamental shrubs to drought stress and recovery. *Sci. Hort.*, 178: 145-153.

- Tumburu, L., C.P. Andersen, P.T. Rygiewicz and J.R. Reichman. 2017. Molecular and physiological responses to titanium dioxide and cerium oxide nanoparticles in Arabidopsis. *Environ. Toxicol. & Chem.*, 36: 71-82.
- Ullah, F., A. Bano and A. Nosheen. 2012. Effects of plant growth regulators on growth and oil quality of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) under drought stress. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44: 1873-1880.
- Ullah, F., A. Bano and S. Ali. 2013. Optimization of protocol for biodiesel production of linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) oil. *Pol. J. Chem. Technol.*, 15: 74-77.
- Ullah, F., A. Ullah, S.M. Wazir and Z.K. Shinwari. 2014. Phytotoxic effects of safflower yellow exposure on seed germination and early seedling growth of canola (*Brassica* napus L). Pak. J. Bot., 46: 1741-1746.
- Ullah, F., A.H. Wazir, A. Khattak, S.Z. Khan and I. Hussain. 2017. Protection of apricot biodiesel from thermal degradation by using natural antioxidants of *Fagopyrum tataricum* (L.) *Gaertn. Sains Malaysiana*, 46: 981-988.
- Wada, K.C., M. Yamada, T. Shiraya and K. Takeno. 2010. Salicylic acid and the flowering gene flowering locust homolog are involved in poor-nutrition stress-induced flowering of Pharbitis nil. J. Plant Physiol., 167: 447-452.
- Xie, Y., B. Li, Q. Zhang, C. Zhang, Lu and K.G. Tao. 2011. Effects of nano-TiO2 on photosynthetic characteristics of *Indocalamus barbatus*. J. Northeast For. Uni., 39: 22-25.
- Yang, Y., C. Han, Q. Liu, B. Lin and J.W. Wang. 2008. Effect of drought and low light on growth and enzymatic antioxidant system of *Picea asperata* seedlings. *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, 30: 433-440.
- Yaqoob, S., F. Ullah, S. Mehmood, T. Mahmood, M. Ullah, A. Khattak and M.A. Zeb. 2017. Effect of waste water treated with TiO2 nanoparticles on early seedling growth of *Zea mays* L. J. Water Reuse Desalin, 8: 424-431.
- Ze, Y., C. Liu, L. Wang, M. Hong and F. Hong. 2011. The regulation of TiO2 nanoparticles on the expression of light-harvesting complex II and photosynthesis of chloroplasts of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biol. Trace Element Res.*, 143: 1131-1141.
- Zheng, D., N. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Tang, L. Zhu, Z. Huang and B. Lu. 2012. Effects of the interaction of TiO2 nanoparticles with bisphenol A on their physicochemical properties and *In vitro* toxicity. *J. Hazard. Mat.*, 199: 426-432.

(Received for publication 5 April 2020)