# STUDIES ON GERMINATION ECOLOGY AND SEEDLING CHARACTERISTICS OF CLEOME VISCOSA AS AFFECTED BY VARIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

# MUHAMMAD MANSOOR JAVAID<sup>1\*</sup>, ZAIGHAM ABBAS<sup>1</sup>, HASNAIN WAHEED<sup>1</sup>, ATHAR MAHMOOD<sup>2</sup>, TASAWER ABBAS<sup>3</sup>, MUHAMMAD MOHSIN AMIN<sup>1</sup> AND MUHAMMAD ASIF<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan <sup>3</sup>In-Service Agriculture Training Institute, Sargodha, Pakistan \*Corresponding author's email: mmansoorjavaid@gmail.com

## Abstract

Knowledge about weed seed germination is essential for its control. By knowing the weed response to various environmental factors are helpful for weed management without the use of herbicides. The aimed of present study was to know the impact of several environmental related factors on germination and seedlings characteristics of *Cleome viscosa* under laboratory conditions. The temperature suitable for germination of *C. viscosa* was 30°C where 81.25% seed germination occurred. However, no or little germination was observed at 15 and 20°C, respectively. The germination of *C. viscosa* improved significantly from 5-7 pH, thereafter a decline was observed at pH 8 and 9 and completely inhibited at pH 10. Seed germination was somewhat salinity tolerant and germination observed at 250 mM NaCl (23.75%) which is much high but it was vulnerable to osmotic potential which completely prevented at -1.0 MPa. Seedling emergence responds substantially to burial depth. The seedling emergence was highest (87.5%) when seed retained at the surface of the soil and reduced to 30% at 4 cm depth. The germination and seedlings traits i.e. time to start germination, mean germination time (*MGT*) and time taken to 50% germination (*T*<sub>50</sub>) germination index (*GI*) as well as root and shoot length, fresh and dry weight were affected significantly with all the studied environmental factors. The results obtained in this study will be useful to develop a comprehensive management program to control *C. viscosa*.

Key words: Burial depths, Osmotic stress, Salinity, Temperature and Weed seed

#### Introduction

*Cleome viscosa* Linn is an annual weed, can get the height of one meter and belongs to capparidaceae family (Frans, 1986). It grows mainly in tropical and sub-tropical regions as well as in coastal dunes and rangelands. The flowering starts in 3 to 4 weeks after the emergence of seedlings and life span is 3 months (Gilbey, 1975). It has sticky leaf and pungent smell that's why it is safe from insect pest attack and animals avoid for grazing.

Germination is the strategic feature for the formation of weeds in agro-ecosystem (Khan et al., 2019). Seed germination is very important among the most basic stages in plant advancement at which the weed can seek a biological corner (Kegode et al., 2010) and is interfered by different ecological components, for example temperature, light, pH, osmotic and salt stress (Kegode et al., 2010). Temperature is considered the most imperative ecological signal controlling germination, species circulation and biological cooperation of weeds. It is variable for species inside genera and may be different for genotypes in a species (Debeaujon et al., 2000). Temperature range between 10-50°C was observed for weed seed production of similar or different species (Malik et al., 2000; Masin et al., 2010). Many weeds are photoblastic during germination, some are nonphotoblastic while germination of some weed seed is reduced under light (Bewley & Black, 1994). Water stress is a major natural impulse which can inhibit seed germination and development of weeds (Norsworthy & Oliveira, 2006). Salinity is another reason for delay in germination of weed seeds or decline the plant growth and productivity (Chartzoulakis & Klapaki, 2000). Salt stress reduces the capacity of seed to germinate through osmotic impact and exceeds the salt fixation sufficiently higher to a harmful level (Nemati et al., 2011). There are some salt tolerant weed species; however for the greater part of weeds seed affected by high salt stress that may hinder the capacity of seed to assimilate water for germination and seed unable to grow (Koger et al., 2004). The growth of weed seeds and its development relies on the salt concentration and most weed species can tolerate to high salinity level (Ungar, 1991; Sattar et al., 2010). Some species of weed can develop on specific soil pH and concern in altering this condition resulted in in considerable effort in controlling weeds (Naylor & Council, 2002). For example, Spergula arvensis weed develop at low pH, so that liming is a good means of controlling these weed and stimulates the development of crop on acidic soil (Fried et al., 2008). Pattern of weed growth can be affected by a huge range of soil pH tolerant weeds (Nakamura & Hossain, 2009; Nandula et al., 2006). Weed can bear the pH range around 5 and 10 in their environment (Chejara et al., 2008; Chachalis et al., 2008). The reproductive capacity of weeds varies between species and depends mainly on weed size, light and water demand (Harrison et al., 2007). Burial depth of seed also influenced the emergence and germination of seedlings (Koger et al., 2004) by affecting light, humidity and temperature (Javaid et al., 2014).

Limited work has been available on germination ecology and seedlings characteristics of *C. viscosa*. The trial was planned to estimate the influence of environmental factors (osmotic stress, temperature, salinity pH and burial depth) on seed germination and seedling characteristics of *C. viscosa*.

#### **Material and Methods**

**Site and seed collection:** Experiments were performed in Agronomy labatory, College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan (32.0 °N and 72.6 °E) during 2014. Mature seeds were collected during March 2013 from several fallow farms near the experimental site, Punjab, Pakistan. At the time of collection, seeds were collected by cutting the stem of plant about 10 cm length with spike. After that paper bags were used to transport the seeds into the laboratory, seeds were detached from the spike of the plant, cleaned and air-dried at room temperature for 7 days. Seeds were stored in glass bottle unit used in the experiments.

General germination test protocol: *Cleome viscosa* seeds were sterilized in 10 mL sodium hypochlorite (NaHCl) and washed by distilled water for 5 times. *Cleome viscosa* seeds were sown in 9 cm diameter petri plates lined with Whatman filter paper No.10. A 3 mL distilled water or treatment solution was applied to each petri plate. Para-film was used to seal the petri plates to prevent the loss of water. All experimental trials were carried out at 25°C during 24 hours (day and night) except for temperature experiment. When radicle attained 2 mm length, seeds were considered germinated. Germination was recorded daily for 15 days. However, in the experiment of seed burial depths, seedlings were said to be emerged after the cotyledon comes out on soil surface.

**Impact of temperature:** To ascertain the impact of temperature on *C. viscosa* germination, 20 weed seeds were placed in a petri dish on a filter paper uniformly. For keeping the seed moist, distilled water of 3 mL was added in petri dish and then retained in incubator at temperatures of 20, 25, 30 and  $35^{\circ}$ C for the period of 15 days.

**Impact of pH:** The impact of pH on *C. viscosa* germination was determined by buffer solutions of 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 pH which was developed according to protocol defined by Chachalis & Reddy (2000).

**Impact of salt stress:** To ascertain the influence of salt stress on germination of *C. viscosa* seeds, 20 seeds were placed in the sealed petri dishes having NaCl solution of 0, 50, 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 mM. However, distilled water was served as a control treatment.

**Impact of osmotic stress:** *Cleome viscosa* seeds were placed in petri plates having osmotic potential of 0, -0.2, -0.4, -0.6, -0.8 and -1.0 MPa. Osmotic potentials were developed by using polyethylene glycol (PEG 6000; Sigma-Aldrich Co., 3050, Spruce St., MO 63130) in distilled water. The equation described by Michel and Kaufmann (1973) was used for water potential calculation from known concentration of PEG 6000. Distilled water was used as the control treatment.

Water potential = 
$$-(1.18 \times 10^{-2}) \text{ C} - (1.18 \times 10^{-4}) \text{ C}^2 + (2.67 \times 10^{-4}) 18 \text{ CT} + (8.39 \times 10^{-7}) \text{ C}^2 \text{ T}.$$

where: T represents the temperature in centigrade while C is the PEG concentration.

**Impact of seed burial depth:** Impact of burial depth on seed emergence was determined in green house at College of Agriculture, University of Sargodha, Pakistan. A 20 seeds of *C. viscosa* were placed on surface of the soil and buried under soil (30% silt, 30% clay and 40% sand) at the depths of 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 in 15 cm diameter of plastic pots. In the entire experiment temperature of  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C was maintained the green house during the day and night. Water was applied to pots when required to maintained sufficient soil moisture. Seedlings were said to be emerged when cotyledon became visible at the soil surface.

Non-linear regression analysis was applied for data collected for germination or emergence percentage, osmotic stress, NaCl concentration or burial depths. A 3parameter logistic model was applied to osmotic stress salt experiments. The fitted model was:

$$G(\%) = \frac{G_{\max}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{x_{50}}\right)^g} \tag{1}$$

where G is total germination (%) at concentration x,  $x_{50}$  is NaCl concentration for 50% suppression of the highest germination and g denotes the slope and  $G_{max}$  is the maximum germination (%).

A 3-parameter logistic model:

$$E(\%) = \frac{E_{\text{max}}}{1 + \left(\frac{x}{x_{50}}\right)^{e}}$$
(2)

was fixed to the seedling emergence (%) gained at various burial depths of 0-7 cm, where *E* is seedling emergence (%) at burial depth *x*,  $x_{50}$  is burial depth for 50% supression of the highest seedling emergence and *e* denotes the slope,  $E_{max}$  is the maximum seedling emergence (%).

Time taken to 50% germination or emergence was estimated by using a formula given by Coolbear *et al.* (1984):

$$T_{50} or E_{50} = t_i \frac{(\frac{N}{2} - n_i)(t_j - t_i)}{(n_j - n_i)} \qquad (3)$$

where N = final germinated or emerged seed. A  $n_j$  and  $n_i$  are the total germinated seed at times  $t_j$  (day) and  $t_i$  (day), respectively, when  $n_i < N/2 < n_j$ .

Mean germination or emergence time was estimated by equation of Ellis and Robert (1981):

$$MGTorMET = \frac{\sum Dn}{\sum n}$$
(4)

where n = germinated seeds on day D

The germination or emergence index (GI or EI) was determined as described by the formula (Association of Official Seed Analysis, 1993):

$$GI \text{ or } EI = \frac{No \text{ of germinated or emerged seedlings}}{Days \text{ of first count}} + \cdots + \frac{No \text{ of germinated or emerged seedlings}}{Days \text{ of final count}} (5)$$

Data regarding root and shoot length (cm), fresh and dry weight of root, shoot (g) of *C. viscosa* was collected by using standard procedures.

#### Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out in CRD and there were four replications for each treatment. All the experiments were repeated twice. The collected data were subjected to one-way ANOVA. Treatment means were compared by LSD test at 5% probability level (Steel *et al.*, 1997). The graphical representation of the data was done by using Sigma Plot 2008 (11.0 Version).

### Results

Impact of temperature: All tested temperatures significantly influenced the germination (%) of C. viscosa (Fig. 1). The germination of C. viscosa increased gradually when temperature rose from 20 to 30°C and highest germination (81.25%) of C. viscosa was observed at 30°C then declined to 46.25% at 35°C. However, no germination was occurred at 15°C and optimum temperature for germination was 30°C. The time to start germination of C. viscosa was significantly longer (4.5 days) at 20°C and least (3 days) at 30°C (Table 1). Shortest time (4.3 days) to achieve the 50% germination of the maximum ( $T_{50}$ ) was taken at 30°C. However, mean germination time (MGT) and germination index (GI) were maximum at 30°C. The MGT increases and GI decreases at below or above 30°C (Table 1). The seedlings characteristics of C. viscosa were significantly influenced by all tested temperatures (Table 2). The maximum root and shoot length of C. viscosa were 2.57 and 2.12 cm, respectively at 30°C which was followed by 35°C (Table 2). At 20°C, the lowest shoot and root length was observed. In case of fresh and dry weight of C. viscosa, 30°C found to be the best to obtained higher values 1.19 and 0.24 g, respectively of these traits and lowest fresh and dry weight were produced at 20°C (Table 2).

**Impact of pH**: The germination of *C. viscosa* showed substantial response to various levels of pH buffer solution as shown in Fig. 2. At pH 7 the germination of *C. viscosa* was observed highest (78.75%) as compared to other applied levels of pH. The figure 2 also indicated that germination of *C. viscosa* improved significantly from 5-7 pH, thereafter a decline was observed at 8 and 9 and completely inhibited at pH 10. The shortest time to start germination and  $T_{50}$  were 2.5 and 4 days, respectively at pH 7 and statistically similar results were observed from pH 5-8 for both parameters (Table 1). However, the minimum (4.7 days) and maximum (8.4 days) *MGT* was recorded at pH 7 and 9, respectively and *GI* was observed highest at

pH 7 over all other tested levels of pH buffer solution. In term of seedlings characteristics, the highest root (2.02 cm) and shoot (2.07 cm) length of *C. viscosa* was observed under the pH 7 as compared to all other pH levels (Table 2). However, fresh and dry weight of *C. viscosa* was found to be non-significant. Increase in pH from 5-9 did not affect the fresh and dry weight of *C. viscosa* (Table 2).

**Impact of NaCl:** A 3-parameter logistic model (G  $\{\%\}$  = 79.8  $[1 + {x/-158.5}^{3.2}], R^2 = 0.95)$  was fitted to ascertain the influence of NaCl concentration on germination data of C. viscosa (Fig. 3). The model revealed that germination reduced significantly when concentration of NaCl was increased from 0 to 250 mM. The highest (82.5%) C. viscosa seed germination was recorded where no salt stress was applied. The seed of C. viscosa was tolerated up to 100 mM NaCl and germination was 73.75 and 61.25% at 50 and 100 mM NaCl, respectively. Some germination (23.75%) was recorded even at 250 mM NaCl however, no germination was occured at 300 mM NaCl. The model indicated that 50% germination of the maximum was at 158.5 mM NaCl concentration. Compared to control, time to onset germination of C. viscosa was delayed approximately to 1 day at 50 mM NaCl and 100 and almost to 2 days at 150, 200, 250 mM NaCl (Table 1). The T<sub>50</sub> and MGT of C. viscosa seed were decreased significantly under control (distilled water) treatment than each NaCl concentration that indicating fewer germination of C. viscosa under higher levels of NaCl. The rate of germination, GI was also maximum (4.0) under control treatment and reduced linearly by rise NaCl concentration (Table 1). The seedlings in characteristics of C. viscosa such as length of root, shoot fresh and dry weight were highest where no salt stress was applied. A significant reduction in these traits was also noted by enhancing the salinity level (Table 2).

Impact of osmotic potential: Influence of various osmotic potentials on germination (%) of C. viscosa was assessed by 3-parameter logistic model (G {%} = 85.6 [1 + x/- $(0.53)^{4.3}$ ], R<sup>2</sup> = 0.95) (Fig. 4). The model showed, when osmotic potential varied from 0 to -0.8 MPa the germination of C. viscosa reduced markedly from 87.5 to 27.5% and inhibited completely when osmotic potential of -1.0 MPa was applied. The model also estimated that 50% inhibition of highest germination was recorded at -0.53 MPa osmotic potential of this weed (Fig. 4). Osmotic potential of 0.6 to -0.8MPa markedly enhanced the onset of time for germination (4.5-5.7 days) as compared to 0 to -0.4 MPa (2.2-3.7 days). The  $T_{50}$  and MGT of C. viscosa were postponed with increasing the osmotic potential (Table 1). Compared to control,  $T_{50}$  and MGT were delayed nearly to 4-5 days when osmotic potential from -0.2 to -0.8 MPa was applied (Table 1). The GI of C. viscosa was decline when osmotic potential enhanced from 0 to -0.8 MPa, while, highest GI (4.2) was noted under control treatment. A linear decrease in root and shoot length of C. viscosa was observed when osmotic potential increased from 0 to -0.8 MPa (Table 2). The highest root (1.84 cm) and shoot (2.0 cm) length was observed where no stress was applied. The fresh and dry weight of C. viscosa was highest in control treatment and lowest values of these characteristics were observed at -0.8 MPa (Table 2).



Fig. 1. Impact of temperature on germination of *Cleome viscosa*. Nail on the perpendicular bars symbolize the standard error of the means.



Fig. 2. Impact of pH on germination of *Cleome viscosa*. Nail on the perpendicular bars symbolize the standard error of the means.

Table 1. Impact of temperature, pH, NaCl	l concentration, osmotic potential and seed burial depths on
germination	parameters of <i>Cleome viscosa</i> .

Treatments		Time start to germination or	T <sub>50</sub> or E <sub>50</sub> in	<b>MGTor MET in</b>	GI or EI
		emergence in days (SE)	days (SE)	days (SE)	(SE)
	15	NG	NG	NG	NG
	20	$4.5^{a}(0.28)$	$5.7^{a}(0.14)$	$6.8^{a}(0.33)$	$0.7^{\circ}(0.04)$
Tomporatura °C	25	4.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.25)	$5.6^{a}(0.12)$	$6.5^{a}(0.16)$	1.1°(0.11)
Temperature C	30	3 <sup>b</sup> (0.0)	4.3 <sup>b</sup> (0.12)	4.9 <sup>b</sup> (0.13)	3.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.18)
	35	$3.7^{ab}(0.25)$	$5.6^{a}(0.29)$	6.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.16)	$1.5^{b}(0.03)$
	HSD at 0.05	0.95	0.78	0.89	0.45
	5	3.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.28)	4.9 <sup>b</sup> (0.31)	5.6 <sup>bc</sup> (0.31)	2.1 <sup>b</sup> (0.24)
	6	2.7 <sup>b</sup> (0.25)	4.2 <sup>b</sup> (0.13)	5.0° (0.11)	$2.9^{a}(0.17)$
	7	2.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.28)	4.0 <sup>b</sup> (0.39)	4.7° (0.37)	$3.7^{a}(0.28)$
pН	8	3.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.28)	5.3 <sup>b</sup> (0.23)	6.4 <sup>b</sup> (0.21)	$1.7^{b}(0.08)$
-	9	4.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.25)	$8.2^{a}(0.82)$	8.4 <sup>a</sup> (0.37)	$0.8^{\circ}(0.08)$
	10	NG	NG	NG	NG
	HSD at 0.05	1.19	1.96	1.29	0.82
	Control (0)	2.5 <sup>c</sup> (0.28)	3.7 <sup>d</sup> (0.37)	4.5 <sup>d</sup> (0.29)	$4.0^{a}(0.25)$
	50	$3.5^{bc}(0.28)$	5.4 <sup>cd</sup> (0.28)	$6.0^{\circ}(0.24)$	$2.6^{b}(0.12)$
	100	$3.7^{ab}(0.25)$	$6.9^{bc}(0.23)$	$7.3^{bc}(0.21)$	$1.8^{\circ}(0.11)$
NaCl concentration (mM)	150	$4.5^{ab}(0.28)$	7.5 <sup>ab</sup> (0.25)	8.1 <sup>ab</sup> (0.20)	$1.3^{cd}(0.04)$
	200	$4.5^{ab}(0.28)$	7.6 <sup>ab</sup> (0.23)	8.4 <sup>ab</sup> (0.19)	$1.0^{de} (0.05)$
	250	4.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.25)	9.3 <sup>a</sup> (0.79)	8.8 <sup>a</sup> (0.57)	$0.6^{e}(0.07)$
	300	NG	NG	NG	NG
	HSD at 0.05	1.24	1.86	1.41	0.57
Osmotic potential (MPa)	Control (0)	2.2 <sup>d</sup> (0.25)	3.9° (0.12)	$4.8^{d}(0.14)$	4.2 <sup>a</sup> (0.27)
	-0.2	$3.2^{\rm cd}(0.25)$	5.9 <sup>b</sup> (0.14)	$6.4^{\circ}(0.10)$	2.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.09)
	-0.4	$3.7^{\rm bc}(0.25)$	$6.0^{b}(0.14)$	$6.7^{\circ}(0.09)$	$2.0^{b}(0.07)$
	-0.6	4.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.28)	7.0 <sup>b</sup> (0.22)	8.0 <sup>b</sup> (0.18)	$1.3^{\circ}(0.11)$
	-0.8	$5.7^{a}(0.25)$	$8.8^{a}(0.55)$	9.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.32)	$0.6^{d}(0.05)$
	-1.0	NG	NG	NG	NG
	HSD at 0.05	1.12	1.26	0.82	0.62
Seed burial depths (cm)	0	2.2 <sup>c</sup> (0.25)	3.5° (0.18)	4.3 <sup>d</sup> (0.19)	4.6 <sup>a</sup> (0.30)
	1	$2.7^{\rm bc}(0.25)$	$4.4^{bc}(0.17)$	5.4 <sup>d</sup> (0.19)	3.6 <sup>b</sup> (0.30)
	2	3.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.28)	5.5 <sup>b</sup> (0.18)	$6.6^{\circ}(0.21)$	$2.2^{\circ}(0.12)$
	3	4.7 <sup>a</sup> (0.25)	$7.2^{a}(0.17)$	7.8 <sup>b</sup> (0.28)	$1.5^{cd}(0.04)$
	4	5.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.28)	8.5 <sup>a</sup> (0.73)	9.1 <sup>a</sup> (0.39)	$0.74^{d}(0.09)$
	5	NE	NE	NE	NE
	6	NE	NE	NE	NE
	7	NE	NE	NE	NE
	HSD at 0.05	1.16	1.60	1.17	0.87

 $T_{50}$  or  $E_{50}$ , time to obtain 50% germination; MGT or MET, mean germination or emergence time; GI, germination index; EI, emergence index; NG or NE, no germination or emergence, NS, non-significant. The values within the column followed by different letters were significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ . LSD= Least significant difference. SE= Standard error of the means

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						<b>D 1 1 ( ( )</b>
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Treatments		Koot length (cm) (SE)	Shoot length (cm) (SE)	Fresh weight (g) (SE)	Dry weight (g) (SE)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} & 20 & 1.37^{\circ} (0.03) & 1.00^{\circ} (0.08) & 0.99^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.14^{\circ} (0.01) \\ 2.5 & 1.62^{\circ} (0.12) & 1.19^{\circ} (0.06) & 1.03^{\circ} (0.07) & 0.24^{\circ} (0.02) \\ 3.5 & 1.82^{\circ} (0.17) & 1.40^{\circ} (0.27) & 1.07^{\circ o} (0.06) & 0.19^{\circ o} (0.01) \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.60 & 0.58 & 0.12 & 0.06 \\ \hline S & 1.90^{\circ} (0.10) & 1.35^{\circ} (0.09) & 0.82 (0.10) & 0.21 (0.05) \\ \hline 6 & 1.37^{\circ o} (0.11) & 1.75^{\circ o} (0.23) & 0.77 (0.10) & 0.20 (0.06) \\ \hline 7 & 2.02^{\circ} (0.10) & 2.07^{\circ} (0.10) & 1.00 (0.07) & 0.28 (0.04) \\ \hline 7 & 2.02^{\circ} (0.10) & 2.07^{\circ} (0.10) & 1.00 (0.07) & 0.28 (0.04) \\ \hline 9 & 0.95^{\circ} (0.09) & 0.80^{\circ} (0.05) & 0.62 (0.12) & 0.12 (0.04) \\ \hline 10 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.55 & 0.42 & NS \\ \hline S & Control (0) & 1.95^{\circ} (0.11) & 1.75^{\circ} (0.22) & 1.12^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.28^{\circ} (0.02) \\ \hline 10 & 1.27^{\circ} (0.09) & 1.52^{\circ} (0.14) & 0.29^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.22^{\circ \circ} (0.01) \\ \hline 10 & 1.27^{\circ} (0.09) & 1.52^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.92^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.22^{\circ} (0.01) \\ \hline MaCl concentration & 150 & 1.07^{\circ} (0.05) & 0.95^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.84^{\circ} (0.02) & 0.20^{\circ} (0.00) \\ \hline 0 & 1.27^{\circ} (0.05) & 0.95^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.92^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.19^{\circ} (0.00) \\ \hline 0 & 0.55 & 0.61 & 0.11 & 0.79^{\circ} (0.03) & 0.14^{\circ} (0.00) \\ \hline MM & 200 & 0.95^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.99^{\circ} (0.02) & 0.81^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.19^{\circ} (0.01) \\ \hline 250 & 0.75^{\circ} (0.11) & 0.77^{\circ} (0.25) & 0.81^{\circ} (0.04) & 0.19^{\circ} (0.01) \\ \hline 0 & 0.55 & 0.61 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline O & MG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline MPa & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline O & 0.80^{\circ} (0.22) & 0.97^{\circ} (0.24) & 1.05^{\circ} (0.14) & 0.17^{\circ} (0.19) \\ \hline -0.2 & 1.04^{\circ} (0.08) & 1.38^{\circ} (0.03) & 1.65^{\circ\circ} (0.11) & 0.78^{\circ} (0.02) \\ O & 1.69^{\circ} (0.02) & 1.37^{\circ} (0.02) & 0.79^{\circ} (0.04) \\ O & 0.80^{\circ} (0.25) & 0.97^{\circ} (0.24) & 1.05^{\circ} (0.14) & 0.17^{\circ} (0.19) \\ O & 0.80^{\circ} (0.25) & 0.97^{\circ} (0.24) & 1.07^{\circ} (0.14) & 0.17^{\circ} (0.19) \\ O & 0.80^{\circ} (0.25) & 0.97^{\circ} (0.24) & 1.05^{\circ} (0.14) & 0.17^{\circ} (0.19) \\ O & 0.80^{\circ} (0.25) & 0.97^{\circ} (0.24) & 1.07^{\circ} (0.01) & 0.33^{\circ} (0.02) \\ O & 0.79^{\circ} (0.02) & 1.37^{\circ} (0.03) & 0.97^{\circ} (0.$		15	NG	NG	NG	NG
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Temperature °C	20	1.37 <sup>b</sup> (0.03)	$1.00^{b} (0.08)$	0.99 <sup>b</sup> (0.04)	0.14 <sup>b</sup> (0.01)
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		25	$1.62^{b}(0.12)$	1.19 <sup>b</sup> (0.06)	$1.03^{b}(0.02)$	0.17 <sup>ab</sup> (0.01)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		30	2.57 <sup>a</sup> (0.16)	2.12 <sup>a</sup> (0.25)	$1.19^{a}(0.07)$	$0.24^{a}(0.02)$
HSD at 0.05 0.60 0.58 0.12 0.06   5 1.90 <sup>th</sup> (0.10) 1.35 <sup>b</sup> (0.09) 0.82 (0.10) 0.21(0.05)   6 1.37 <sup>b</sup> (0.11) 1.75 <sup>th</sup> (0.23) 0.77 (0.10) 0.200(0.06)   7 2.02 <sup>a</sup> (0.10) 2.07 <sup>a</sup> (0.10) 1.00 (0.07) 0.28 (0.04)   9 0.95 <sup>c</sup> (0.09) 0.80 <sup>c</sup> (0.02) 0.80 (0.04) 0.18 (0.00)   9 0.95 <sup>c</sup> (0.09) 0.80 <sup>c</sup> (0.02) 0.80 (0.04) 0.12 (0.04)   10 NG NG NG NG NG   HSD at 0.05 0.55 0.42 NS NS   Control (0) 1.95 <sup>b</sup> (0.11) 1.75 <sup>a</sup> (0.22) 1.12 <sup>a</sup> (0.04) 0.28 <sup>b</sup> (0.02)   100 1.27 <sup>bc</sup> (0.10) 1.20 <sup>bc</sup> (0.04) 0.92 <sup>bc</sup> (0.04) 0.22 <sup>bc</sup> (0.01)   100 1.27 <sup>bc</sup> (0.10) 1.07 <sup>bd</sup> (0.02) 0.87 <sup>c</sup> (0.02) 0.20 <sup>abc</sup> (0.02)   (mM) 200 0.95 <sup>cc</sup> (0.04) 0.99 <sup>cd</sup> (0.02) 0.81 <sup>cc</sup> (0.04) 0.14 <sup>cc</sup> (0.00)   300 NG NG NG NG		35	$1.82^{b}(0.17)$	$1.40^{b}(0.27)$	1.07 <sup>ab</sup> (0.06)	0.19 <sup>ab</sup> (0.01)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		HSD at 0.05	0.60	0.58	0.12	0.06
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} & 6 & 1.37^{bc} (0.11) & 1.75^{ab} (0.23) & 0.77 (0.10) & 0.20 (0.06) \\ \hline 7 & 2.02^{a} (0.10) & 2.07^{a} (0.10) & 1.00 (0.07) & 0.28 (0.04) \\ \hline 7 & 2.02^{a} (0.10) & 0.87^{c} (0.02) & 0.80 (0.04) & 0.18 (0.00) \\ \hline 9 & 0.95^{c} (0.09) & 0.80^{c} (0.05) & 0.62 (0.12) & 0.12 (0.04) \\ \hline 10 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.55 & 0.42 & NS & NS \\ \hline Control (0) & 1.95^{a} (0.11) & 1.75^{a} (0.22) & 1.12^{a} (0.04) & 0.28^{a} (0.02) \\ \hline 50 & 1.60^{ab} (0.09) & 1.52^{ab} (0.14) & 1.07^{ab} (0.04) & 0.25^{ab} (0.02) \\ \hline 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.10) & 1.20^{bc} (0.04) & 0.92^{bc} (0.04) \\ \hline 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.10) & 1.20^{bc} (0.04) & 0.92^{bc} (0.04) \\ \hline 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.05) & 0.95^{cd} (0.04) & 0.92^{bc} (0.04) \\ \hline 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.05) & 0.95^{cd} (0.04) & 0.84^{cc} (0.02) \\ \hline 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.04) & 0.90^{cd} (0.02) & 0.81^{cc} (0.04) & 0.19^{bc} (0.01) \\ \hline 250 & 0.75^{c} (0.11) & 0.77^{d} (0.12) & 0.78^{c} (0.03) & 0.14^{c} (0.00) \\ \hline 300 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline Control (0) & 1.84^{ac} (0.13) & 2.00^{c} (0.02) & 1.87^{a} (0.20) & 0.79^{a} (0.04) \\ \hline -0.2 & 1.04^{b} (0.08) & 1.38^{b} (0.03) & 1.65^{ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{b} (0.16) \\ \hline -0.4 & 1.00^{b} (0.04) & 1.27^{b} (0.20) & 1.07^{bc} (0.14) & 0.31^{b} (0.23) \\ \hline 0.6 & 0.80^{c} (0.12) & 1.02^{b} (0.20) & 1.07^{bc} (0.14) & 0.31^{b} (0.23) \\ \hline 0.6 & 0.80^{c} (0.12) & 1.02^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.19) \\ \hline -1.0 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline MPa & 1.00^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline 2 & 1.05^{b} (0.16) & 1.32^{ab} (0.07) & 0.33^{c} (0.02) \\ \hline 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{ab} (0.04) & 1.15 (0.02) & 0.17^{ab} (0.00) \\ \hline 2 & 1.05^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline 5 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 6 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.42 & 0.57 & NS & 0.22 \\ \hline \end{array}$		5	1.90 <sup>ab</sup> (0.10)	1.35 <sup>b</sup> (0.09)	0.82 (0.10)	0.21(0.05)
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} & 7 & 2.02^{a} (0.10) & 2.07^{a} (0.10) & 1.00 (0.07) & 0.28 (0.04) \\ & 8 & 1.15^{c} (0.04) & 0.87^{c} (0.02) & 0.80 (0.04) & 0.18 (0.00) \\ & 9 & 0.95^{c} (0.09) & 0.80^{c} (0.02) & 0.62 (0.12) & 0.12 (0.04) \\ & 10 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ & HSD at 0.05 & 0.55 & 0.42 & NS & NS \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.55 & 0.42 & NS & NS \\ \hline & Control (0) & 1.95^{a} (0.11) & 1.75^{a} (0.22) & 1.12^{a} (0.04) & 0.28^{a} (0.02) \\ & 50 & 1.60^{ab} (0.09) & 1.52^{ab} (0.14) & 1.07^{ab} (0.04) & 0.22^{ab} (0.01) \\ & 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.10) & 1.20^{bc} (0.04) & 0.92^{bc} (0.04) & 0.22^{abc} (0.01) \\ & 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.05) & 0.95^{cd} (0.04) & 0.94^{bc} (0.02) & 0.22^{abc} (0.01) \\ & 250 & 0.75^{c} (0.11) & 0.77^{d} (0.12) & 0.78^{c} (0.03) & 0.14^{c} (0.00) \\ & 300 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ & HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.56 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19^{b} (0.5) \\ \hline & 0.08 & 0.70^{c} (0.25) & 0.97^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.49) \\ \hline & 0.08 & 0.70^{c} (0.25) & 0.97^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.19) \\ \hline & -1.0 & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline & NG & 1.59^{a} (0.00) & 1.70^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.07) \\ \hline & -1.0 & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline & 0.0 & 1.59^{a} (0.10) & 1.70^{b} (0.16) & 1.30 (0.07) & 0.33^{a} (0.02) \\ \hline & 0.05^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{b} (0.07) \\ \hline & 0.05^{b} (0.12) & 0.05^{b} (0.03) & 0.97 (0.07) & 0.05^{b} $		6	$1.37^{bc}(0.11)$	1.75 <sup>ab</sup> (0.23)	0.77 (0.10)	0.20(0.06)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		7	$2.02^{a}(0.10)$	$2.07^{a}(0.10)$	1.00 (0.07)	0.28 (0.04)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	pН	8	$1.15^{\circ}(0.04)$	$0.87^{\circ}(0.02)$	0.80 (0.04)	0.18 (0.00)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		9	0.95° (0.09)	$0.80^{\circ}(0.05)$	0.62 (0.12)	0.12 (0.04)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		10	NG	NG	NG	NG
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} & Control (0) & 1.95^{*} (0.11) & 1.75^{*} (0.22) & 1.12^{*} (0.04) & 0.28^{*} (0.02) \\ & 50 & 1.60^{ab} (0.09) & 1.52^{ab} (0.14) & 1.07^{ab} (0.04) & 0.22^{abc} (0.02) \\ & 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.10) & 1.20^{bc} (0.04) & 0.92^{bc} (0.04) & 0.22^{abc} (0.01) \\ & 150 & 1.07^{bc} (0.05) & 0.95^{cd} (0.04) & 0.84^{c} (0.02) & 0.20^{abc} (0.00) \\ & (mM) & 200 & 0.95^{c} (0.04) & 0.90^{ad} (0.02) & 0.81^{c} (0.04) & 0.19^{bc} (0.01) \\ & 250 & 0.75^{c} (0.11) & 0.77^{d} (0.12) & 0.78^{c} (0.03) & 0.14^{c} (0.00) \\ & 300 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline Control (0) & 1.84^{*} (0.13) & 2.00^{a} (0.02) & 1.87^{a} (0.20) & 0.79^{a} (0.04) \\ & -0.2 & 1.04^{b} (0.08) & 1.33^{b} (0.03) & 1.65^{ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{b} (0.16) \\ \hline -0.4 & 1.00^{b} (0.04) & 1.27^{b} (0.06) & 1.37^{ab} (0.07) & 0.44^{b} (0.05) \\ \hline MPa) & -0.6 & 0.80^{c} (0.12) & 1.02^{b} (0.20) & 1.07^{b} (0.14) & 0.31^{b} (0.23) \\ \hline MPa) & -0.6 & 0.80^{c} (0.12) & 1.02^{b} (0.20) & 1.07^{b} (0.14) & 0.31^{b} (0.23) \\ \hline -0.8 & 0.70^{c} (0.25) & 0.97^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.19) \\ \hline -1.0 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.17 & 0.58 & 0.69 & 0.24 \\ \hline & 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{ab} (0.04) & 1.15 (0.02) & 0.17^{ab} (0.00) \\ \hline & 2 & 1.05^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline & 5 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & 6 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & 7 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.42 & 0.57 & NS & 0.22 \\ \hline \end{array}$		HSD at 0.05	0.55	0.42	NS	NS
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} & 50 & 1.60^{ab} (0.09) & 1.52^{ab} (0.14) & 1.07^{ab} (0.04) & 0.25^{ab} (0.02) \\ 100 & 1.27^{bc} (0.10) & 1.20^{bc} (0.04) & 0.92^{bc} (0.04) & 0.22^{abc} (0.01) \\ 0.29^{abc} (0.01) & 0.95^{cc} (0.04) & 0.95^{cd} (0.04) & 0.84^{c} (0.02) & 0.20^{abc} (0.00) \\ 0.00 & 0.95^{cc} (0.11) & 0.77^{d} (0.12) & 0.78^{cc} (0.03) & 0.14^{cc} (0.00) \\ 250 & 0.75^{cc} (0.11) & 0.77^{d} (0.12) & 0.78^{cc} (0.03) & 0.14^{cc} (0.00) \\ 0.00 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.02 & 1.04^{b} (0.08) & 1.38^{b} (0.03) & 1.65^{ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{b} (0.16) \\ -0.2 & 1.04^{b} (0.08) & 1.38^{b} (0.03) & 1.65^{ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{b} (0.16) \\ -0.4 & 1.00^{b} (0.04) & 1.27^{b} (0.06) & 1.37^{ab} (0.07) & 0.44^{b} (0.05) \\ -0.6 & 0.80^{cc} (0.12) & 1.02^{b} (0.20) & 1.07^{b} (0.14) & 0.31^{b} (0.23) \\ -0.8 & 0.70^{c} (0.25) & 0.97^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.19) \\ -1.0 & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.17 & 0.58 & 0.69 & 0.24 \\ \hline & 0 & 1.59^{a} (0.10) & 1.70^{a} (0.16) & 1.30 (0.07) & 0.33^{a} (0.02) \\ 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{ab} (0.04) & 1.15 (0.02) & 0.17^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline & 2 & 1.05^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline & 5 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & 6 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & 7 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.42 & 0.57 & NS & 0.22 \\ \hline \end{array}$		Control (0)	1.95 <sup>a</sup> (0.11)	$1.75^{a}(0.22)$	$1.12^{a}(0.04)$	$0.28^{a}(0.02)$
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} & 100 & 1.27^{\rm bc} (0.10) & 1.20^{\rm bc} (0.04) & 0.92^{\rm bc} (0.04) & 0.22^{\rm abc} (0.01) \\ & 150 & 1.07^{\rm bc} (0.05) & 0.95^{\rm cd} (0.04) & 0.84^{\rm c} (0.02) & 0.20^{\rm abc} (0.00) \\ & 0.20^{\rm abc} (0.01) & 200 & 0.95^{\rm c} (0.04) & 0.90^{\rm cd} (0.02) & 0.81^{\rm c} (0.04) & 0.19^{\rm bc} (0.01) \\ & 250 & 0.75^{\rm c} (0.11) & 0.77^{\rm d} (0.12) & 0.78^{\rm c} (0.03) & 0.14^{\rm c} (0.00) \\ & 300 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ & HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline & 0.02 & 1.04^{\rm b} (0.08) & 1.38^{\rm b} (0.03) & 1.65^{\rm ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{\rm b} (0.16) \\ \hline & -0.2 & 1.04^{\rm b} (0.08) & 1.38^{\rm b} (0.03) & 1.65^{\rm ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{\rm b} (0.16) \\ \hline & -0.4 & 1.00^{\rm b} (0.44) & 1.27^{\rm b} (0.66) & 1.37^{\rm ab} (0.07) & 0.44^{\rm b} (0.05) \\ \hline & -0.6 & 0.80^{\rm c} (0.12) & 1.02^{\rm b} (0.20) & 1.07^{\rm b} (0.14) & 0.31^{\rm b} (0.23) \\ \hline & -0.8 & 0.70^{\rm c} (0.25) & 0.97^{\rm b} (0.24) & 1.05^{\rm b} (0.14) & 0.17^{\rm b} (0.19) \\ \hline & -1.0 & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.17 & 0.58 & 0.69 & 0.24 \\ \hline & 0 & 1.59^{\rm a} (0.10) & 1.70^{\rm c} (0.16) & 1.30 (0.07) & 0.33^{\rm a} (0.02) \\ \hline & 1 & 1.25^{\rm ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{\rm ab} (0.04) & 1.15 (0.02) & 0.17^{\rm ab} (0.00) \\ \hline & 2 & 1.05^{\rm b} (0.15) & 1.10^{\rm b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{\rm ab} (0.07) \\ \hline & Seed burial depths & 3 & 1.00^{\rm b} (0.07) & 0.87^{\rm b} (0.03) & 0.92 (0.09) & 0.02^{\rm b} (0.07) \\ \hline & 5 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & 6 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & 7 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline & HSD at 0.05 & 0.42 & 0.57 & NS & 0.22 \\ \hline \end{array}$		50	1.60 <sup>ab</sup> (0.09)	1.52 <sup>ab</sup> (0.14)	1.07 <sup>ab</sup> (0.04)	0.25 <sup>ab</sup> (0.02)
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} NaCl concentration \\ (mM) & 150 & 1.07^{bc} (0.05) & 0.95^{cd} (0.04) & 0.84^{c} (0.02) & 0.20^{abc} (0.00) \\ 200 & 0.95^{c} (0.04) & 0.90^{cd} (0.02) & 0.81^{c} (0.04) & 0.19^{bc} (0.01) \\ 250 & 0.75^{c} (0.11) & 0.77^{d} (0.12) & 0.78^{c} (0.03) & 0.14^{c} (0.00) \\ 300 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ \hline HSD at 0.05 & 0.56 & 0.41 & 0.19 & 0.08 \\ \hline Control (0) & 1.84^{a} (0.13) & 2.00^{a} (0.02) & 1.87^{a} (0.20) & 0.79^{a} (0.04) \\ -0.2 & 1.04^{b} (0.08) & 1.38^{b} (0.03) & 1.65^{ab} (0.11) & 0.52^{b} (0.16) \\ -0.4 & 1.00^{b} (0.04) & 1.27^{b} (0.06) & 1.37^{ab} (0.07) & 0.44^{b} (0.05) \\ -0.6 & 0.80^{c} (0.12) & 1.02^{b} (0.20) & 1.07^{b} (0.14) & 0.31^{b} (0.23) \\ -0.8 & 0.70^{c} (0.25) & 0.97^{b} (0.24) & 1.05^{b} (0.14) & 0.17^{b} (0.19) \\ -1.0 & NG & NG & NG & NG \\ HSD at 0.05 & 0.17 & 0.58 & 0.69 & 0.24 \\ \hline 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{ab} (0.04) & 1.15 (0.02) & 0.17^{ab} (0.07) \\ 2 & 1.05^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{ab} (0.03) & 0.97 (0.07) & 0.05^{b} (0.02) \\ \hline 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 1.32^{ab} (0.04) & 1.15 (0.02) & 0.17^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline 2 & 1.05^{b} (0.15) & 1.10^{b} (0.12) & 1.00 (0.14) & 0.15^{ab} (0.07) \\ \hline 1 & 1.25^{ab} (0.09) & 0.85^{b} (0.03) & 0.92 (0.09) & 0.02^{b} (0.07) \\ \hline 1 & 1.00^{b} (0.19) & 0.85^{b} (0.03) & 0.92 (0.09) & 0.02^{b} (0.07) \\ \hline 1 & 1.00^{b} (0.19) & 0.85^{b} (0.03) & 0.92 (0.09) & 0.02^{b} (0.07) \\ \hline 1 & 0.05 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE \\ \hline 1 & NE & NE \\ $		100	$1.27^{bc}(0.10)$	$1.20^{bc}(0.04)$	$0.92^{bc}$ (0.04)	$0.22^{abc}(0.01)$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	NaCl concentration (mM)	150	$1.07^{bc}(0.05)$	$0.95^{cd}(0.04)$	0.84° (0.02)	$0.20^{abc}$ (0.00)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		200	0.95° (0.04)	$0.90^{\rm cd}$ (0.02)	0.81° (0.04)	$0.19^{bc}(0.01)$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		250	0.75° (0.11)	0.77 <sup>d</sup> (0.12)	0.78° (0.03)	$0.14^{\circ}(0.00)$
		300	NG	NG	NG	NG
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		HSD at 0.05	0.56	0.41	0.19	0.08
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Control (0)	$1.84^{a}(0.13)$	2.00 <sup>a</sup> (0.02)	1.87 <sup>a</sup> (0.20)	$0.79^{a}(0.04)$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Osmotic potential (MPa)	-0.2	$1.04^{b}(0.08)$	1.38 <sup>b</sup> (0.03)	1.65 <sup>ab</sup> (0.11)	0.52 <sup>b</sup> (0.16)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-0.4	$1.00^{b}(0.04)$	1.27 <sup>b</sup> (0.06)	$1.37^{ab}(0.07)$	$0.44^{b}(0.05)$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-0.6	$0.80^{\circ}(0.12)$	$1.02^{b}(0.20)$	$1.07^{b}(0.14)$	0.31 <sup>b</sup> (0.23)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-0.8	$0.70^{\circ}(0.25)$	0.97 <sup>b</sup> (0.24)	$1.05^{b}(0.14)$	0.17 <sup>b</sup> (0.19)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-1.0	NG	NG	NG	NG
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		HSD at 0.05	0.17	0.58	0.69	0.24
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Seed burial depths (cm)	0	$1.59^{a}(0.10)$	1.70ª(0.16)	1.30 (0.07)	0.33 <sup>a</sup> (0.02)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		1	1.25 <sup>ab</sup> (0.09)	1.32 <sup>ab</sup> (0.04)	1.15 (0.02)	$0.17^{ab}(0.00)$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2	$1.05^{b}(0.15)$	$1.10^{b}(0.12)$	1.00 (0.14)	$0.15^{ab}(0.07)$
Seed burnal depths 4 1.00 <sup>b</sup> (0.19) 0.85 <sup>b</sup> (0.03) 0.92 (0.09) 0.02 <sup>b</sup> (0.07)   (cm) 5 NE NE NE NE   6 NE NE NE NE   7 NE NE NE NE   HSD at 0.05 0.42 0.57 NS 0.22		3	$1.00^{b}(0.07)$	$0.87^{\rm b}(0.00)$	0.97 (0.07)	$0.05^{b}(0.02)$
5 NE NE NE NE   6 NE NE NE NE   7 NE NE NE NE   HSD at 0.05 0. 42 0.57 NS 0. 22		4	$1.00^{b}(0.19)$	$0.85^{b}(0.03)$	0.92 (0.09)	$0.02^{b}(0.07)$
6 NE NE NE NE   7 NE NE NE NE   HSD at 0.05 0. 42 0.57 NS 0. 22		5	NE	NE	NE	NE
7 NE NE NE NE   HSD at 0.05 0.42 0.57 NS 0.22		6	NE	NE	NE	NE
HSD at 0.05 0. 42 0.57 NS 0. 22		7	NE	NE	NE	NE
		HSD at 0.05	0.42	0.57	NS	0. 22

Table 2. Impact of temperature, pH, NaCl concentration, osmotic potential and seed burial depths on seedling growth of *Clonug* viscosa

T<sub>50</sub> or E<sub>50</sub>, time to obtain 50% germination; MGT or MET, mean germination or emergence time; GI, germination index; EI, emergence index; NG or NE, no germination or emergence, NS, non-significant. The values within the column followed by different letters were significantly different at  $p \le 0.05$ . LSD= Least significant difference. SE= Standard error of the means.

Impact of seed burial depth: A 3-parameter logistic model (E {%} = 79.4  $[1 + {x/-3.43}^{5.5}]$ , R<sup>2</sup> = 0.95) was used to know the effect of burial depth from 0 to 7 cm on emergence (%) of C. viscosa (Fig. 5). The model indicated that seeds of C. viscosa were sensitive to burial depth. The maximum seed emergence of C. viscosa was observed when seed placed at soil surface. The seed emergence of C. viscosa was decrease when burial depth extended from 0 to 4 cm and inhibited completely at 5, 6 and 7 cm. Emergence was highest (87.5%) when seed placed at soil surface and reduced progressively to 55 and 30% at 3 and 4 cm burial depths. The model also predicted that 50% emergence of the maximum emergence of C. viscosa was achieved at 3.43 cm seed burial depth (Fig. 5). Maximum time to start emergence (5.5 days) was recorded at 4 cm burial depth which was followed by 3 cm burial depth and this time was reduced

by decreasing the seed burial depth up to 0 cm (Table 1). A similar trend was observed for 50% emergence time  $(E_{50})$  and mean emergence time (MET). At 0 and 1 cm depth, *E*<sub>50</sub> and *MET* were 3.5 and 4.3 days, respectively; whereas, by enhancing seed burial depth from 2 to 4 cm, the  $E_{50}$  and MET delayed approximately by 1 to 3 days. Emergence index was noted maximum (4.6) when the seed of C. viscosa was placed at soil surface (0 cm) and quick decline was observed at burial depth of 3 and 4 cm (Table 1). Seedling characteristics of C. viscosa illustrated in table 2 which indicated that root and shoot length of C. viscosa improved with decrease in the seed burial depth. A significant increase in the root (1.59 cm) and shoot (1.70 cm) length were observed when seed of C. viscosa grown on soil surface. C. viscosa fresh and dry weight was noted maximum at soil surface than all other burial depth (Table 2).



Fig. 3. Impact of NaCl concentration on seed germination of *Cleome viscosa*. Bold line represents a three-parameter logistic model {G (%) =  $G_{max} / [1 + (x/x^{50}) G_{rate}]$ } fitted to the data. Vertical dash line represents X-axis value at 50% of the maximum germination. Vertical bars represent ± standard error of the mean.



Fig. 4. Impact of osmotic potential on seed germination of *Cleome viscosa*. Bold line represents a three-parameter logistic model {G (%) = G<sub>max</sub> / [1 + (x/x<sup>50</sup>) G rate]} fitted to the data. Vertical dash line represents X-axis value at 50% of the maximum germination. Vertical bars represent ± standard error of the mean.



Fig. 5. Impact of seed burial depths on seed germination of *Cleome* viscosa. Bold line represents a three-parameter logistic model {E (%) =  $E_{max} / [1 + (x/x^{50}) E_{rate}]$ } fitted to the data. Vertical dash line represents X-axis value at 50% of the maximum germination. Vertical bars represent ± standard error of the mean.

#### Discussion

Cleome viscosa Linn. grows mainly in tropical, subtropical, coastal dunes and rangelands. It causes problem in many crops however, by knowing its germination ecology we can manage this weed in better way and reduce the crop yield losses. Our data indicated that weeds can germinate and emerge under different environments. The C. viscosa have highest germination at 30°C however, below or above this substantial germination was also observed along with germination traits (time to start germination/emergence,  $T_{50}$  or  $E_{50}$ , MGT or MET and GI or EI). These findings revealed that low temperature (< 20°C) affect the seed germination and seedlings traits of C. viscosa which may cause failure of C. viscosa. Our findings are supported by Benvenuti et al., (2005) who revealed that seeds of Cuscuta campastris did not perform well at 10°C and maximum germination of seed was observed at 30°C. Kashmir et al., (2016) described that the maximum germination of Avena fatua and Silybum marianum Gaertn was recorded at a temperature of 25°C. Benvenuti et al., (2004) also reported that 25°C was suitable temperature for maximum germination of Leplochloa chinensis. Red flower rag leaf weed is found in tropical and subtropical areas and can tolerate the temperature range of 10-30°C. Nakamura & Hossain (2009), Harrington (2009), Wei et al., (2009), Wing et al., (2009), Masin et al., (2010) also confirmed that up to 88% germination of different weeds under response of temperature. The seedlings root and shoot length, fresh and dry weight of C. viscosa was also recorded maximum at 30°C. The work of Abbas et al., (2010) reported the increase in fresh weight of weed per unit area density of Emex. australis. Bebawi et al., (1984) described that weed dry weight differed significantly under different temperature ranges. Baskin and Baskin (1989) concluded that fresh and dry weight directly interlinked with biomass production at optimum ecology of specific weed. Maximum fresh and dry weight was recorded in henbit weed when all the requirements were ideal (Bewley et al., 1994). Chejara et al., (2008) found that optimum temperature resulted in increase in length of the shoot. Harrington (2009) studied that maximum shoot length of Leptochloa chinensis was accessed at 30°C.

The C. viscosa can germinate under a range of soil pH (5-9), but pH 9 reduced its germination and seedlings characteristics significantly. The capability of this weed to tolerate a range of pH showed its adaptability under different soil conditions. Chachalis et al., (2008) and Wang et al., (2009) also have given the similar information about germination under different pH levels. Maximum germination of different weed species observed for pH 7, while minimum at pH 9. The work of the previous researcher indicated that pH range of 4-9 have no effect on weed species (Thomas et al., 2006; Chachalis et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009) Hibiscus trionum (venice mallow) and coolatai grass (Hyparrhenia hirta) are also not effected under different pH levels (Chachalis et al., 2008; Chejara et al., 2008). Our findings are similar to Chejara et al., (2008) who reported that some species showed minute difference in length of root and shoot as well as fresh and dry weight over wide ranges of pH. If weed is not tolerant to pH, then its distribution is also affected which ultimately affects its root and shoot length (Nandula *et al.*, 2006).

Salinity imparts adverse effect on various physiological processes of plants. Our data indicated that C. viscosa showed tolerance to salt stress up to 250 mM NaCl concentration. However, the germination and seedlings traits of C. viscosa decrease with increase in salt concentration might be due to small quantity of water uptake and availability of appropriate environments for the entry of noxious ions in embryo. Weed germination and healthy seedlings establishment depends on concentration of salts (Ungar, 1991). The sodium ions replace the calcium and magnesium in anion conversation which change soil structure and fertility which cause water and nutrients stress (DiTommaso, 2004). Germination of C. viscosa under high salinity enables weed to grow in various types of soil. Rao et al., (2008) also reported germination up to 80% under salt stress. So our results fall within the range found in literature. In accordance with our results, at higher NaCl concentration the seed of Brassica tournefortii Gouan and Mimosa invisa Mart. germinated (Chauhan et al., 2006b; Chauhan & Johnson, 2008). Harrington (2009) described that increased dry weight of weed was observed by increasing NaCl concentration up to a specific level. Dry weight was statistically different in some weeds under different NaCl concentrations and more NaCl concentration resulted in lowest shoot length. Benvenuti et al., (2003) reported that higher NaCl concentration resulted in lowest root length of Hibiscus trionum.

Stress of water is an imperative character that reduces the seed germination and seedlings characteristics of C. viscosa. These findings provide the information about relationship between rain and availability of water for germination and seedlings traits of this weed. Our results also revealed that both germination and seedlings parameters reduced by increasing the osmotic stress that may lead to weaker seedlings. According to Javaid & Tanveer (2014) Emex australis weed is very sensitive to osmotic stress and spread easily with the availability of water whereas, E. spinosa can tolerate the water stress to greater extent. Many weeds such as crafton weed (Eupatorium adenophoum); (Lu et al., 2006), syndrella (Syndrella nodiflora); (Chauhan et al., 2006b) and annual sowthistle (Sonchus oleraceus) and goat weed (Scoparia dulcis L.) (Jain & Singh, 1989) are very sensitive to osmotic stress. On the other hand, some species of weeds like venice mallow (Hibiscus trionum); (Chachalis et al., 2008), trunnip weed (Rapistrum rugosum) (Chauhan et al., 2006a) can tolerate well under low water potential. About 8 to 10% reduction in germination of E. spinose weed was observed when the osmotic stress was increased from 0 to -0.6 MPa (Javaid & Tanveer 2014). Chachalis and Reddy (2000) who described that dry weight (g m<sup>-2</sup>) of weed significantly decreased by increasing osmotic stress at specific level and fresh and dry weight directly interlinked with biomass production at optimum ecology of specific weed. Maximum fresh and dry weight was recorded with distilled water when compared with osmotic stress treatments.

By increase in burial depth of seed beyond 0, seed germination as well as characteristics of C. viscosa was reduced. Seeding depth also suppresses the emergence of many weeds species (Koger et al., 2004). Our data suggested that germination of C. viscosa was observed maximum at soil surface which showed that this weed can germinate easily under limited availability of water as documented above. Susko & Hussein (2008) and Rao et al., (2008) also reported similar results under different seed depths. Our results also revealed that increase in the seed burial depth of C. viscosa, more time was required to start emergence, E<sub>50</sub> and MET and reduce the EI. As deeper the seeds were sown of dove weed,  $E_{50}$  tended to decline and significantly reduced from 2 cm to 6 cm (Wilson *et al.*, 2006). Seed burial depth reduced the  $E_{50}$ and MET (Nakamura & Hossain, 2009). Maximum MET of Fimbristylis miliacea was investigated at 0.5 to 1 cm burial depth (Begum et al., 2006). The EI and time to start emergence of weed seeds is affected by varying seeding depth (Boyd & Van Acker, 2003). The seedlings traits also deceased with increase in burial depth. These results are supported by the work of the previous researchers, who reported that increase in burial depth reduced emergence and seedling characteristics of many weeds (Benvenuti et al., 2001; Benvenuti, 2003). Hoffman et al., (1980) who described that weed dry weight significantly decreased as depth of seeding increased depending on size of seed. Hui et al., (2009) concluded that fresh and dry weight depends on biological production of weed species and various burial depths.

#### Conclusion

Germination of Cleome viscosa is intensely affected by temperature and its optimum range was 30°C. The measurements should be taken in summer when temperature is suitable for its germination. Seeds of C. viscosa germinate on the wide range of pH (5-9 pH, which represents most of the Pakistani soils) and indicated that pH have no significant effect on weed spread. It can tolerate to the wide range of salinity but it was profound to osmotic potential and totally prevented at -1.0 MPa. Seeds of C. viscosa produced highest germination when placed at soil surface instead of buried seeds. The germination and seedlings characteristics were reduced significantly with all the studied environmental factors. It becomes problematic and harmful weed under no-tillage conditions however, tilling the soil upto more depth could reduce the C. viscosa in the fields due to influence of burial depth on seed emergence.

#### References

- Abbas, R., A. Tanveer, A. Ali and Z. Zaheer. 2010. Simulating the effect of *Emex australis* densities and sowing dates on agronomic traits of wheat. *J. Agri. Sci.*, 2: 104-110.
- Association of Official Seed Analysis. 1993. Rules for testing seeds. J. Seed Technol., 16: 1-113.
- Baskin, C.C. and J.M. Baskin. 1989. Physiology of dormancy and germination in relation to seed bank ecology. Ecology of soil seed bank. San Diego, CA: Academic: 53-65.

- Bebawi, F.F., R.E. Eplee and R.S. Norris. 1984. Effects of seed size and weight on witch weed (*Striga asiatica*) seed-germination, emergence, and host-parasitization. *Weed Sci.*, 32(2): 202-205.
- Begum, M., A.S. Juraimi, R. Amartalingam, A. Bin Man and S.O. Bin Syed Rastans. 2006. The effects of sowing depth and flooding on the emergence, survival, and growth of *Fimbristylis miliacea* (L.) Vahl. Weed Biol. Manage., 6(3): 157-164.
- Benvenuti, S. 2003. Soil texture involvement in germination and emergence of buried weed seeds. *Agron. J.*, 95(1): 191-198.
- Benvenuti, S., G. Dinelli and A. Bonetti. 2004. Germination ecology of *Leptochloa chinensis*: A new weed in the Italian rice agro-environment. *Weed Res.*, 44(2): 87-96.
- Benvenuti, S., G. Dinelli, A. Bonetti and P. Catizone. 2005. Germination ecology, emergence and host detection in *Cuscuta campestris. Weed Res.*, 45(4): 270-278.
- Benvenuti, S., M. Macchia and S. Miele. 2001. Light, temperature and burial depth effects on *Rumex obtusifolius* seed germination and emergence. *Weed Res.*, 41: 177-186.
- Bewley, J.D. and M. Black. 1994. Grains. Physiology of development and germination. New York: Plenum Press, New York. 445.
- Boyd, N.S. and R.C. Van Acker. 2003. The effects of depth and fluctuating soil moisture on the emergence of eight annual and six perennial plant species. *Weed Sci.*, 51(5): 725-730.
- Chachalis, D. and K.N. Reddy. 2000. Factors affecting Campsis rasicans seed germination and seedling emergence. *Weed Sci.*, 48(2): 212-216.
- Chachalis, D., N. Korres and E.M. Khah. 2008. Factors affecting seed germination and emergence of venice mallow (*Hibiscus trionum*). Weed Sci., 56(4): 509-515.
- Chartzoulakis, K. and G. Klapaki. 2000. Response of two greenhouse pepper hybrids to NaCl salinity during different growth stages. *Sci. Hort.*, 86(3): 247-260.
- Chauhan, B.S. and D.E. Johnson. 2008. Germination ecology of goose grass (*Eleusine indica*): An important grass weed of rain fed rice. *Weed Sci.*, 56(5): 699-706.
- Chauhan, B.S., G. Gill and C. Preston. 2006a. Factors affecting turnip weed (*Rapistrum rugosum*) seed germination in southern australia. *Weed Sci.*, 54(6): 1032-1036.
- Chauhan, B.S., G. Gill and C. Preston. 2006b. Factors affecting seed germination of annual sowthistle (*Sonchus oleraceus*) in southern australia. *Weed Sci.*, 54(5): 854-860.
- Chejara, V., P. Kristiansen, R. Whalley, B. Sindel and C. Nadolny. 2008. Factors affecting germination of coolatai grass (*Hyparrhenia hirta*). Weed Sci., 56(4): 543-548.
- Coolbear, P., A. Francis and D. Grierson. 1984. The effect of low temperature pre-sowing treatment on the germination performance and membrane integrity of artificially aged tomato seeds. *J. Exper. Bot.*, 35: 1609-1617.
- Debeaujon, I., K.M. Leon-Kloosterziel and M. Koornneef. 2000. Influence of the testa on seed dormancy, germination, and longevity in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, 122: 403-413.
- DiTommaso, A. 2004. Germination behavior of common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) populations across a range of salinities. *Weed Sci.*, 52: 1002-1009.
- Ellis, R.H. and E.H. Roberts. 1981. The quantification of ageing and survival in orthodox seeds. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, 9: 373-409.
- Frans, R., R. Talbert and D. Marx. 1986. Experimental design and techniques for measuring and analyzing plant response to weed control practices. In: (Ed.): Camper, N.D. Research Methods in Weed Science. Champaign, IL: Southern Weed Science. Society, 29-46.
- Fried, G., L.R. Norton and X. Reboud. 2008. Environmental and management factors determining weed species composition and diversity in France. Agri. Eco. Environ., 128(1-2): 68-76.

- Gilbey, D.J. 1975. The doublegee problem in Western Australia. J. of Agric., Western Aust., 16(4th Series): 23-25.
- Harrington, T.B. 2009. Seed germination and seedling emergence of scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius*). Weed Sci., 57(6): 620-626.
- Harrison, S., E. Regnier, J. Schmoll and J. Harrison. 2007. Grains size and burial effects on giant ragweed (*Ambrosia trifida* L.) emergence and seed demise. *Weed Sci.*, 55(1): 16 22.
- Hoffman, G.R., M.B. Hogan and L.D. Stanley. 1980. Germination of plant-species common to reservoir shores in the northern great plains. *Bull. Torrey Bot. Club*, 107(4): 506-513.
- Hui, Z., M. RuiJun, W. Shuang Tao, L. Yun, Z. XiaoLing and Z. CanZhong. 2009. Seed germination and seedling growth of invasive weed *Ipomoea cairica* under drought stress. *Acta Botanica Boreali-Occidentalia Sinica.*, 29(2): 344-349.
- Jain, R. and M. Sing. 1989. Factors affecting goat weed (Scoparia dulcis) seed germination. Weed Sci., 37: 766-770.
- Javaid, M.M. and A. Tanveer. 2014. Germination ecology of *Emex spinosa* and *Emex australis*, invasive weeds of winter crops. *Weed Res.*, 54: 565-575.
- Kashmir, S., M.A. Khan, A.A. Shad, K.B. Marwat and H. Khan. 2016. Temperature and salinity affect the germination and growth of *Silybum marianum* Gaertn and *Avena fatua* L. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 48(2): 469-476.
- Kegode, G.O., G. Nazre and M.J. Christoffers. 2010. Germination ecology of biennial wormwood (*Artemisia biennis*) and lanceleaf sage (*Salvia reflexa*) grains. *Weed Sci.*, 58(1): 61-66.
- Khan, M.A., S. Kashmir, H.H. Ali, B. Gul, A. Raza, U.E. Kulsoom, O.L. Uslu and H. Waheed. 2019. Environmental factors can affect the germination and growth of *Parthenium hysterophorus* and *Rumex crispus. Pak. J. Bot.*, 51(6): 2195-2202.
- Koger, C.H., K.N. Reddy and D.H. Poston. 2004. Factors affecting seed germination, seedling emergence, and survival of texas weed (*Caperonia palustris*). *Weed Sci.*, 52(6): 989-995.
- Lu, P., W. Sang and K. Ma. 2006. Effects of environmental factors on germination and emergence of crofton weed (*Eupatorium adenophorum*). Weed Sci., 54(3): 452-457.
- Malik, M.S., J.K. Norsworthy, M.B. Riley and W. Bridges. 2000. Temperature and light requirements for wild radish (*Raphanus raphanistrum*) germination over a 12 month period following maturation. *Weed. Sci.*, 58(2): 136-140.
- Masin, R., D. Loddo, S. Benvenuti, M.C. Zuin, M. Macchia and G. Zanin. 2010. Temperature and water potential as parameters for modeling weed emergence in centralnorthern Italy. *Weed Sci.*, 58(3): 216-222.
- Michel, B.E. and M.R. Kaufman. 1973. The osmotic potential of polyethylene glycol 6000. *Plant Physiol.*, 51: 914-916.
- Nakamura, I. and M.A. Hossain. 2009. Factors affecting the seed germination and seedling emergence of red flower ragleaf (*Crassocephalum crepidioides*). Weed Biol. Manage., 9(4): 315-322.
- Nandula V.K., T.W. Eubank, D.H. Poston, C.H. Koger and K.N. Reddy. 2006. Factors affecting germination of horseweed (*Conyza Canadensis*). Weed Sci., 54: 898-902.
- Naylor, R.E.L. and B.C.P. Council. 2002. Optimizing herbicides performance. Weed management handbook: John Wiley and Sons, Blackwell publishing, 325-326.
- Nemati, I., F. Moradi, S. Gholizadeh, M.A. Esmaeili and M.R. Bihamta. 2011. The effect of salinity stress on ions and soluble sugars distribution in leaves, leaf sheaths and roots of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 57(1): 26-33.

- Norsworthy, J. and M. Oliveira. 2006. Sickle pod (*Senna obtusifolia*) germination and emergence as affected by environmental factors and seeding depth. *Weed Sci.*, 54(5): 903-909.
- Rao, N., L.Y. Dong, J. Li and H.J. Zhang. 2008. Influence of environmental factors on seed germination and seedling emergence of american sloughgrass (*Beckmannia* syzigachne). Weed Sci., 56(4): 529-533.
- Sattar, S., T. Hussnain and A. Javaid. 2010. Effect of NaCl salinity on cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.) grown on mass medium and in hydroponic cultures. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.*, 20(2): 87-89.
- Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D. Dicky. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. Multiple comparisons. 3rd ed. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, USA. 178-198 pp.
- Susko, D.J. and Y. Hussein. 2008. Factors affecting germination and emergence of dame's rocket (*Hesperis matronalis*). *Weed Sci.*, 56(3): 389-393.
- Thomas, W.E., I.C. Burke, J.E. Spears and J.W. Wilcut. 2006. Influence of environmental factors on slender amaranth (*Amaranthus viridis*) germination. *Weed Sci.*, 54(2): 316-320.

- Ungar, I. 1991. Ecophysiology of vascular halophytes. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 209. Usman, K. and M. Khan. 2009. Economic evaluation of weed management through tillage, herbicides and hand weeding in irrigated wheat. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 15(4): 199-208.
- Wang, J., J. Ferrell, G. MacDonald and B. Sellers. 2009. Factors affecting seed germination of cadillo (*Urena lobata*). Weed Sci., 57(1): 31-35.
- Wei, S.H., C.X. Zhang, X.J. Li, H.L. Cui, H.J. Huang, B.F. Sui, Q.H. Meng and H.J. Zhang. 2009. Factors affecting buffalobur (*Solanum rostratum*) seed germination and seedling emergence. *Weed Sci.*, 57(5): 521-525.
- Wilson, Jr, D., M. Burton, J. Spears and A. York. 2006. Dove weed (*Murdannia nudiflora*) germination and emergence as affected by temperature and seed burial depth. *Weed Sci.*, 54(6): 1000-1003.
- Wing, J.J., J. Ferrell, G. MacDonald and B. Sellers. 2009. Factors affecting seed germination of cadillo (*Urena lobata*). Weed Sci., 57(1): 31-35.

(Received for publication 29 December 2018)