### IDENTIFICATION AND HEAVY METALS-INDUCED EXPRESSION ANALYSIS OF THE OLIGOPEPTIDE TRANSPORTERS (OPT) GENE FAMILY IN TOMATO

### MUHAMMAD WASEEM<sup>1,2\*</sup> AND IFFAT SHAHEEN<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Horticulture, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, 510642 China <sup>2</sup>School of Life Sciences, Chongqing University, Huxi Campus, Daxuecheng, Shapingba, Chongqing, P.R. China 401331 <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Agriculture Science and Technology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan Corresponding author email: mwasimbotanist@cqu.edu.cn +8618665054432

#### Abstract

The oligopeptide transporters are membrane integral proteins playing pivotal role in translocating secondary amino acids, small peptides, organic nitrogen mobilization and contribute in variety of biological activities. In this study, we have performed comprehensive bioinformatic identification of tomato OPT gene family and a total of 16 OPT genes were identified in tomato. These proteins were classified into two subfamilies including PT sub-family and YSL sub-family with eight genes in each based on phylogenetic analysis. Moreover, OPT exhibited a unique gene configuration that was consistent with previously reported studies and validate its phylogenetic classification. The putative OPT gene family members exhibited diverse expression pattern and few genes express in specific tissues. For example, SIYSL1, SIOPT3, and SIOPT5 expressed in flowers and SIOPT1, SIOPT2, and SIYSL2 in roots. However, some genes showed high expression in fruits at various developmental stages. These include SIOPT6, SIOPT8, SIYSL3, SIYSL4, and SIYSL5. It was observed that expression of some SIOPTs were induced under heavy metals such as calcium, cadmium, iron, magnesium, potassium, and lead in both root and shoot. This suggested a potential involvement of these genes in tomato adaptation under stress. In summary, our work is the first comprehensive analysis of oligopeptide transporters in tomato and an important resource for prioritizing genes especially in metal ions mobilizing and nutrient deficiency stress adaptation.

Key words: Tomato, Oligopeptide, Heavy metal, Induced, Expression analysis.

### Introduction

Organisms transport broad range of substrates either organic or inorganic across plasma membrane from the extracellular environment to cell as a source of nutrients. Micronutrients such as heavy metals (either essential or non-essential) are vital for various physiological and biological processes in plants such as chlorophyll biosynthesis, nitrogen assimilation, photosynthesis, and respiration (Morrissey & Guerinot, 2009). The heavy metals pollution is one of the negative effects of industrialization, which is dexterous not only to human health but also to environment. However, excess amount of heavy metal uptake causes cellular toxicity by inhibiting protein binding activity or enzyme functions through binding with sulfhydryl groups of protein, disturbance in cellular transportation system, and oxidative damages (Williams & Miller, 2001). Therefore, plants have evolved various mechanism on heavy metal ion toxicity tolerance and metal scavenging systems (Li et al., 2015). In past few decades, molecular biology techniques have enabled in identification and characterization of different metal transportation gene families (Williams & Miller, 2001).

In plants, different substrate specific transporters are localized in plasma membrane and are associated with active transportation of sucrose, peptides, amino acids, and metals (Koh *et al.*, 2002). The peptide transporters are proteins that transport peptides into cell as source of amino acids for protein biosynthesis or as a nitrogen and carbon (Lubkowitz *et al.*, 1997). The peptide transporters are divided into various classes such as the oligopeptide transporters (OPT), nitrate transporters (NRT), and the ABC-type transporter family (Rentsch *et al.*, 2007; Zhao *et al.*, 2010). The membrane proteins, OPT, can transport a wide range of substrate across membrane and play pivotal role in various biological processes. The OPT proteins are first characterized in yeast (Lubkowitz *et al.*, 1997) and later in bacteria (Lubkowitz *et al.*, 1998) and plants (Koh *et al.*, 2002).

The OPT proteins are multifunctional proteins and may involve in four biological processes; (i) nitrogen assimilation or mobilization (Koh *et al.*, 2002; Pike *et al.*, 2009), (ii) long distance metal transportation (Stacey *et al.*, 2008), (iii) glutathione transporters (Zhang *et al.*, 2004), (iv) heavy metal sequestration (Cagnac *et al.*, 2004). The plants OPT proteins are classified into two sub families based on phylogeny; the peptide transporter (PT) subfamily and yellow stripe-like (YSL) sub-family (Curie *et al.*, 2001). The PT sub-family contained 18 or 11-14 amino acid highly conserved NPG (Asn-Pro-Gly) or KIPPR (Lys-Ile-Pro-Pro-Arg) motifs and considered to transport tetrapeptide or pentapeptides (Koh *et al.*, 2002; Osawa *et al.*, 2006), while YSL lack these motifs and function in metal transport (Inoue *et al.*, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2009).

The PT subfamily proteins has been identified and characterized in *Arabidopsis*, *Brassica*, and rice (Bogs *et al.*, 2003; Osawa *et al.*, 2006; Lee *et al.*, 2009) and have been involved in metal detoxification (Bogs *et al.*, 2003), seeds germination (Müntz, 1998), embryo development (Stacey *et al.*, 2008), nitrogen transportation (Williams and Miller, 2001), and glutathione transporter (Bogs *et al.*, 2003; Zhang *et al.*, 2004). The YSL subfamily is considered to function in metal transportation such as Mg, Fe, Cd and so on (Inoue *et al.*, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2009) and multiple YSL transporter genes have been identified from *Brachypodium distachyon* (Yordem *et al.*, 2011), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Gross, 2003), *Zea mays* (Yordem *et al.*, 2011), and *Oryza sativa* (Koike *et al.*, 2004). In maize, ZmYSL1 demonstrated as Phyto siderophore

transporter (Curie *et al.*, 2001; Roberts *et al.*, 2004). In rice, various YSL (OsYSL2, OsYSL15, OsYSL18) are orthologs to ZmYSL1, in that they facilitate to transport metal-nicotianamine (Koike *et al.*, 2004; Aoyama *et al.*, 2009; Inoue *et al.*, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, some OPT members of rice (OsOPT1, OsOPT3, OsOPT4, OsOPT5, OsOPT7) have ability to transport nicotianmine bound iron (Vasconcelos *et al.*, 2008).

To understand the potential role of OPT gene family, it is important to identify OPT gene family members in tomato genome. In this study, we are presenting identification of OPT gene family in tomato, including chromosome distribution, gene structure analysis, motif analysis, cis regulatory elements prediction, *in silico* subcellular location prediction, and phylogenetic analysis. In addition, the tissue/organ specific expression profile analysis under normal conditions as well as under various heavy metal stresses were performed. The systematic analysis of OPT gene family in tomato can lay a foundation for future functional studies in tomato as well as other plant species.

### **Material and Methods**

Identification of OPT gene family in tomato: Peptide sequences of Arabidopsis OPT genes family (Koh et al., 2002) extracted from the The Arabidopsis Information Resource (TAIR) (Reiser and Rhee, 2005) were used as queries in searches with default parameters against the SOL genome network for tomato (Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2015). The protein sequences of tomato genome were downloaded from SOL genome. The OPT domain (PF03169) pattern was retrieved from the Pfam database (Finn et al., 2008). The candidate OPT genes were search using HMMER 3.0 with a default setting and all redundant OPT sequences were excluded. The deduced OPT sequences were further subjected for OPT domain by using NCBI considered domain searched (Marchler-Bauer et al., 2017) and Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART) (Schultz et al., 1998). The tomato OPT genes were named according to their orders on the chromosomes. Moreover, physicochemical properties of OPT proteins, including the isoelectric point (pI), the grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY), molecular weight (kDa) of each OPT protein were assessed using Sequence Manipulation Suite (Stothard, 2000).

**Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) and phylogeny:** The multiple sequence alignment of tomato OPT genes were performed using Clustal Omega program (Sievers *et al.*, 2011). To evaluate the classification of OPT proteins in tomato, a phylogenetic tree was constructed using OPT protein sequences from Arabidopsis (Koh *et al.*, 2002) and rice genome (Vasconcelos *et al.*, 2008). MEGAX program (Kumar *et al.*, 2018) was used to construct an unrooted neighbour joining (NJJ) (Saitou and Nei, 1987) tree with bootstrap set at 1000 replicates, Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model, and pairwise deletion option.

Chromosome location, cis-regulatory elements, conserved motifs, and gene structure analysis: The chromosome location of OPT genes was obtained from SOL genome database (Fernandez-Pozo et al., 2015). The MAP2Chromomse program V2 was used to draw position of each protein on chromosome. The tomato OPT genes genome and CDS sequences were downloaded from SOL genome and submitted to Gene Structure Display Server 2.0 (Hu et al., 2015) to illustrate gene structure integrity (exon/intron). Tomato OPT protein sequences were further submitted to MEME suite for conserved motif prediction. MEME suite (Bailey & Elkan, 1994) was set with following parameter i) maximum number of motifs -10, (ii) number of repetitions – any, (iii) optimum motif width set to  $\geq 10$  and  $\leq 50$ . The 1000bp promotor sequences of each OPT gene was downloaded from SOL genome and queried to PlantCARE program (Lescot et al., 2002) for cis-regulatory motif prediction. Moreover, In-silico subcellular location of each OPT gene were predicted in WoLF PSORT (Horton et al., 2007) by submitting their protein sequences.

Plant growth condition, material collection, and heavy metal stress: The tomato cv. Micro-Tom seeds were surface sterilized and sown in green house under conditions; 12 h (light/dark) photoperiod at 25 °C,  $300 \,\mu\text{mol/m}^2/\text{s}$ , and 80% relative humidity. The plant parts like roots, leaves, flower (flower bud and fully opened) were harvested from 4-week-old seedling while fruit tissues were harvested from 1 cm, 2 cm, 3 cm, mature green, breaker, and breaker plus 10 days fruits. All the samples were collected from three independent plants mixed thoroughly.

To demonstrate the heavy metal induced gene expression in tomato OPT gene family, four-week-old tomato seedling were exposed to 750  $\mu$ M Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 100  $\mu$ M CdCl<sub>2</sub>, 20 mM FeCl<sub>3</sub>, 500  $\mu$ M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 100  $\mu$ M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and 100  $\mu$ M MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The control plants were treated with fresh water. Shoots including stem and leaves, and roots were harvest after 0h, 6h, 12h, and 24h after treatment. Three independent biological replicates were collected, and six seedlings were used for each treatment. All the samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and were stored at -80 °C till further analysis.

Nucleic acid extraction, cDNA preparation and qRT-PCR analysis: To verify the expression of tomato COI genes, total RNA was extracted from all samples using TRIZOL reagent following manufacturer's instructions. The RNA was qualified using nanodrop (Thermo USA) and quality was assessed by 2% (w/v) stained agarose gel electrophoresis. The cDNA was synthesised using Prime Script <sup>™</sup> RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara, JAPAN) and qRT-PCR performed using SYBR-Premix Ex Taq-II (TliRNaseH Plus) on CFX96 Touch ™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (BIO-RAD, USA). Th gene specific primer pairs used for qPCR are listed in Table 1. The SlUBQ (Solyc01g056940) used as housekeeping gene. The  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method was used to calculate relative expression (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). The heat map was generated using MeV 4.9 software package.

Gene name	Primer forward	Primer reverse
SlOPT1	ACCCTTACATCCCCGCGTTCC	TGGTGCCGGGTATGACCCTT
SIOPT2	TGCGGATGAGAGCCCGAGCA	GGACGAGCACCAACTGGCAGG
SIOPT3	ACCGTCCCCATCACCGACGA	GGCCTAACGGAACCACCGCA
SlOPT4	TGGTGCTGTTGCGTCTGGGT	GGTGCGCACAGAAGGCCCAA
SIOPT5	AGTCCTACCAGCCTTCACGGCA	CCTCTGCAGGGGATGCACGC
SlOPT6	ACGATTTTCGCGAACTCCGGC	AAGCCCGGCCCATCCAAAGC
SlOPT7	GAGGGCACGAGTTGGGCGTT	AAACAGTTCCAGCGCCCGCA
SIOPT8	AGTTCAGCGATTCCGAGCCTCA	GCCCCCACCACGAAAGCTGA
SIYSL1	TCAACATGGCAACAGCGTGGT	GGGCCATCGCGCCTCCTAAA
SIYSL2	CCTTGGACTTGCCCCGGTGA	CGCTCCAAGAAGCACGGGGA
SIYSL3	CAGCAGCCATGTGGTGGCCT	AGCCCCTTTAGGCCCGAACC
SIYSL4	TCCATCGCGCTCCTCCTTGGT	CTGCCTCACCCCTGCTGCTG
SIYSL5	ACTGGGCAGCAGCTGTGGGA	GCCCAGCAATCACACCACCGT
SIYSL6	GGTGCATTGCTACCGGTGCCT	GTTGCTGGTGGCATTCCCGC
SIYSL7	GCTCCGTCCAACCACCACCG	GTCCCAGAAACCATGCCCGGA
SIYSL8	CTTATGGAGTTGGCTTTGCTG	TTCCCAAATACACAAACCCTGC
SIUBI	CACCAAGCCAAAGAAGATCA	TCAGCATTAGGGCACTCCTT

Table 1. List of qPCR primers used in this study.

### Results

Identification of SIOPT genes in tomato genome: By removing redundant sequences, we obtained sixteen candidates OPT genes in tomato genome. The OPT genes were named according to their chronological position on chromosomes and classified into two sub-families including SIOPT and SIYSL according to their phylogeny and sequence similarity with Arabidopsis AtOPTs. The characteristic features of OPT genes are given in Table 2. In-silico subcellular prediction analysis of tomato OPT proteins were performed to explore putative functions of candidate genes. All the candidate OPT proteins are localized in membranes of various cellular organelles such as endoplasmic reticulum, vascular membrane, or cytosol (Table 2). Moreover, the molecular weight (kDa) of PT sub-family proteins ranged from 82.72 (SlOPTI) to 84.45 (SlOPT7) with pI from 6.68 (SlOPT7) to 9.2 (SlOPT8). The tomato genome encodes highly hydrophobic polypeptides (0.353 (SlOPT6) to 0.515 (SlOPT4)) ranging in peptide length from 733 (SlOPT1) to 756 (SlOPT6). For YSL subfamily, the OPT genes in this clade are slightly alkaline and pI values ranged from 5.82 (SIYSL3) to 9.44 (SIYSL4). Similarly, SIYSL proteins molecular weight (kDa) to hydrophobicity varies from 64.19 (SIYSL6) to 76.17 (SIYSL2) and 0.425 (SIYSL8) to 0.551 (SIYSL3).

**Multiple sequence alignment and phylogeny:** Multiple sequence alignment of tomato OPT revealed the presence of peptide transporter (PT) motifs including NPG and KIPPR motifs (Fig. 1) which is characteristic feature of PT sub-family but absent in YSL clade. In our study, the dendrogram of tomato OPT proteins divided into two clades; the PT sub-family and YSL sub-family (Fig. 2a) that validated the multiple protein alignment of OPT protein. To further validate our phylogenetic dissection of OPT genes in tomato genome. We have investigated the phylogenetic relationship of tomato OPT proteins with rice and Arabidopsis OPT proteins. The comparison supported our phylogenetic classification of tomato SIOPT genes (Fig. 2b). The phylogenetic tree unveiled the genetic independence between PT and YSL clades.

Chromosome location and gene configuration: Tomato OPT genes were distributed on five chromosomes (Fig. 3a). For PT sub-family single SIOPT gene (SIOPT1, SIOPT7, SIOPT8) was localized on chromosome 2, 8, and 11. SIOPT2 and SIOPT3 was localized on chromosome 3 but SIOPT4, SIOPT5 and SIOPT6 was localized on chromosome 4. Similarly, for YSL clade, SIYSL6, SIYSL7, and SIYSL8 was anchored on chromosome 5, 8, and 9, respectively. Moreover, three SIYSLs (SIYSL1, SIYSL2, SIYSL3) and two SIYSLs (SIYSL4, SIYSL5) were localized on chromosome 2 and 3, accordingly (Fig. 3a). To obtain more information about SIOPT genomic organization, we have assessed gene exon and intron boundaries. We have found that most of SIOPT genes have similar intron-exon boundaries (Fig. 3c). In YSL sub-family, genes SIYSL3, SIYSL5, and SIYSL7 contain seven exons and six introns but the length of second to sixth exon was identical. Similarly, for SIYSL3 and SIYSL2, exon length in second and fifth was identical. For PT sub-family, SIOPT8, SIOPT4, SIOPT5 and SIOPT6 have five intron and six exons but the length of first exon (SIOPT8, SIOPT4) and third (SIOPT5, SIOPT6) was non-identical. In addition, a 98bp exon was found in both PT and YSL sub-family members except SIOPT2, SIOPT1, SIYSL6, SIYSL8 (Fig. 3c).

Analysis of conserved motifs and cis-regulatory elements in putative SIOPT genes: To investigate the SIOPT protein architecture, ten motifs in the peptide sequences were predicted using MEME searches. It was observed that some motifs highly conserved and found only in specific clade of OPT proteins. For an instance, motif 3, motif 5, and motif 9 were confined to PT sub family but, motif8 and motif 10 was specific to YSL clade. However, motif 1, motif 2, motif 4, motif 6, and motif 7 were common in both sub-families (Fig. 3d). To certain how tomato OPT genes responded to a stress, a 1kb 5`UTR sequences was used to predict presence of various stress-related regulatory sequences in Plant CARE database. We found hormone-responsive regulatory elements, ABRE, ERE, TGA-element, TATC-box, TCAelement, and CGTCA/TGACG-motif, associated with

MUHAMMAD WASEEM

abscisic acid, gibberellin, auxin, salicylic acid, and methyl jasmonate responses were identified in SIOPTs. Moreover, stress-responsive regulatory elements associated with defense/ stress and low-temperature responses (TC-rich repeats and LTR) were also identified in the promoter sequences (Fig. 4).

**Tissue/organ specific expression profiling of SIOPT genes:** To investigate biological role of SIOPT in tomato plant growth and development, we have performed a tissue/organ specific expression analysis in various plant parts including different stages fruits. The expression profile of the SIOPT genes significantly varies among different plant parts but no expression of SIOPT4, SIOPT7 and SIYSL6 detected. Is was observed that some genes showed more expressions or expressed in specific plant part than other while, others express in all parts of plant with varying expressions. For example, SIYSL4 and

SIYSL5 of YSL sub-family and SIOPT1 and SIOPT2 of PT sub-family showed high expression levels in root tissues but SIYSL1, SIOPT3 and SIOPT5 expression was limited to flowers only. Moreover, SIYSL7 and SIYSL8 have more transcript abundance in leaf than in other plant parts (Fig. 5).

It was also noticed that some genes expressed only at specific stage of fruit development but, others showed varying degrees of expressions. For an instance, SIOPT1, SIYSL2, SIYSL5, and SIYSL8 showed high expressions in mature green fruit but, SIYSL4 and SIYSL7 had high transcript levels in ten days breaker fruit. Additionally, SIYSL3 and SIOPT6 exhibited descending order of expression from 3cm/2cm fruit to ten-day breaker fruit while, opposite trends were observed for SIYSL4 only (Fig. 5). These finding suggesting that tomato SIOPT genes may play pivotal role in tomato various plant parts development.

a					
	90	100	110	120	130
SlYSL6 •	.IKKLGFVSV <mark>P</mark>	F T R Q <mark>E</mark> N T M I Q T	CSV <mark>A</mark> CYSIAI	L G G <mark>G</mark> L G S Y <mark>L</mark> L	GMDKKTYE
SlYSL3 ·	.MSQLGFSVK <mark>P</mark>	F T K Q E N T V I Q T	' C <mark>V</mark> V <mark>A</mark> C Y <mark>G L</mark> A I	F        S        G <mark>G</mark> F        G        S        Y <mark>L</mark> L	AMDEKTYN
SlYSL4 •	.LDKSG <mark>V</mark> LKQ <mark>P</mark>	F T R Q <mark>E</mark> N T <mark>V I Q</mark> T	' C <mark>V V <mark>A</mark> T S G I A I</mark>	F        S        G <mark>G</mark> F        G        S        Y <mark>L</mark> F	GMSEVIAK
SlYSL2 •	. LEKSG <mark>V</mark> LKQ <mark>P</mark>	F T R Q <mark>E</mark> N T <mark>V I Q</mark> T	' C <mark>V V A</mark> T S G I A I	F        S        G <mark>G</mark> F        G        S        Y <mark>L</mark> F	AMSEVAAK
SlYSL8 •	P	F T R Q <mark>E</mark> N T <mark>V I Q</mark> T	'C <mark>VV<mark>A</mark>SSGIAB</mark>	F	GMSPYIAE
SlYSL1 •	.IDKFG <mark>M</mark> LKO <mark>P</mark>	FTROENTVIOT	CIV <mark>A</mark> SSGIAI	F S S <mark>G</mark> T A S Y <mark>M</mark> L	GMSPYIAS
SlYSL7 . I	RWGSFSLNPG <mark>P</mark>	FNIKEHVVIT.	.VMANCGVSI	I G G <mark>G</mark> D A Y S <mark>I</mark> G	AITVM
SlOPT9 F	G S R E F S <mark>L</mark> N P G <mark>P</mark>	FNMKEHVLIT.	. IF <mark>A</mark> NAGFAI	F G N <mark>G</mark> S A Y A <mark>V</mark> G	IVNII
SlOPT4 •	. SWEFSFNPG <mark>P</mark>	FNVKEHVLIS.	. IF <mark>A</mark> NAGSAI	F G N <mark>G</mark> P A Y A <mark>V</mark> G	IVDII
SlOPT5 F	G L S E F S <mark>L</mark> N P G <mark>P</mark>	FSMKEHVLIS.	. IF <mark>A</mark> NAGAGI	F G S <mark>G</mark> G A Y A I T	IIDII
SlOPT3 .	I SWAFT <mark>L</mark> NPGR	FNIKEHVLIT.	. IF <mark>A</mark> NAGA.	<b>G</b> TVYATH	ILSAV
SlOPT7 . S	SKWEFSLNPG <mark>P</mark>	FNVKEHVLIT.	. IF <mark>A</mark> NSGA.	<mark>G</mark> TVYA <mark>I</mark> H	VVTAV
SlOPT1 . S	SKWEFTLNPG <mark>P</mark>	FNVKEHVLIT.	. IF <mark>A</mark> NSGA.	<mark>G</mark> NPYAIH	VVSAV
SlOPT2 . I	R K F E F T <mark>M</mark> N P G <mark>P</mark>	FNVKEHVLIT.	.IF <mark>A</mark> NSGA.	<mark>G</mark> NPYA <mark>I</mark> H	IVSAV

## NPG motif

b	47 <u>0</u>	480	490	5 O O	510
SlYSL6	IKSV <mark>V</mark> NIS	SCIL <mark>MQ<b>D</b>F</mark> KT	GHLT LTSPKT <mark>N</mark>	4FLS <mark>Q</mark> AI <b>GT</b> A	LGCVIGPLCFF
SlYSL3	MMSIVST	A D L MQ <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> T	G Y L T L S S A K S M	4FVSQLV <b>GT</b> A	MGCI <mark>I</mark> APLTFW
SlYSL4	MMNVVTT	A S D L T Q <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> T	GYMT LASPRS <mark>M</mark>	4FIS <mark>Q</mark> MI <b>GT</b> A	MGCVISPCVFW
SlYSL2	MMNI <mark>V</mark> STZ	A S D L T Q <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> T	GYMT LASPRS <mark>M</mark>	4FIS <mark>Q</mark> II <b>GT</b> A	MGCLISPCVFW
SlYSL8	MMCIVDT	A S G L M G <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> T	G Y L T L S S P R S M	4FFS <mark>Q</mark> LI <b>GT</b> A	MGCI <mark>I</mark> TPLVFS
SlYSL1	MMSILDTZ	A S G L M G <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> T	G Y L T L T S P R S M	4FFS <mark>Q</mark> LI <b>GT</b> A	MGCVITPLVFW
SlYSL7	GRTSTVH	A L S F L A <mark>D</mark> L <mark>K</mark> L	G H Y M K I P P R C M	4YTA <mark>Q</mark> LV <b>GT</b> L	VAGTINLAVAW
SlOPT9	GYMSMTQZ	A I S F L S <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> L	G H Y M K I P P R S M	4FLVQFV <mark>GT</mark> I	IAGTVNLTVAW
SlOPT4	AYMSMSQZ	AVSFLQ <b>D</b> F <mark>K</mark> L	G H Y M K <mark>V</mark> P P R S <mark>M</mark>	4FIV <mark>Q</mark> LV <b>GT</b> I	LGGTINMGVAW
SlOPT5	GYIS <mark>M</mark> SQZ	AVSFLQ <b>D</b> F <mark>K</mark> L	G H Y M K I P P R S M	4FVVQLV <b>GT</b> L	IASTINMATAW
SlOPT3	GYISMAQZ	A L T F V Y <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> L	G H Y M K I P P R A <mark>M</mark>	4 F M A <mark>Q</mark> M L <mark>G T</mark> F	ISIIIYTITAW
SlOPT7	GYISMTQZ	AITFLQ <mark>D</mark> F <mark>K</mark> L	<mark>G</mark> НҮМК <mark>ІРР</mark> КІМ	4FMAQVV <b>GT</b> L	IAGFVYLGTAW
<i>SlOPT1</i>	GYISMKQ	GLTFLQDL <mark>K</mark> L	G H Y M K I P P R A <mark>N</mark>	4FVAQVV <b>GT</b> L	ISAFAHLGTAW
SlOPT2	GYISMKQ	GLTF <mark>LQ<mark>D</mark>L<mark>K</mark>L</mark>	G H Y M K I P P R A M	4FMAQVA <b>GT</b> L	I S A L V H L G T A W
	L				

# KIPPR motif

Fig. 1. Multiple sequence alignment of tomato OPT gene family. Tomato OPT (a) the NPF motifs (b) the KIPPR motifs of PT sub-family members.

pIGRAVYRositionStartExon #StortEvon #Stort7.85 $0.428$ 2 $41,608,636$ $41,613,932$ 7plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:18.23 $0.419$ 3 $9,297,101$ $9,302,965$ 6plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:18.75 $0.433$ 3 $46,163,596$ $46,167,478$ 6plas:11,vacu:1,vacu:18.75 $0.463$ 4 $59,232,077$ $59,233,653$ 6plas:148.72 $0.515$ 4 $59,233,956$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:148.86 $0.463$ 4 $59,233,957$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:148.87 $0.353$ 4 $59,233,957$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:148.88 $0.471$ 8 $62,776,752$ $62,780,190$ 7plas:13,vacu:19.2 $0.425$ 11 $5,473,409$ 6plas:14,E.R.:3,vacu:19.2 $0.447$ 2 $40,050,013$ 6plas:14,E.R.:3,vacu:19.2 $0.447$ 2 $2,273,350$ 3plas:14,E.R.:3,vacu:19.2 $0.447$ 2 $2,273,350$ 3plas:14,E.R.:3,vacu:19.2 $0.447$ 2 $40,050,013$ 6plas:14,E.R.:3,vacu:19.2 $0.447$ 2 $2,273,350$ 3plas:9,9001329.2 $0.447$ 2 $40,050,013$ 6plas:14,E.R.:3,vacu:19.2 $0.457,524$ $8,664,052$ 6plas:9,vacu:3,E.R.:1,vacu:19.2 $0.541$ 3 $9,445,952$ 7pl								Chromosom	•		
7.850.428StartEnd20007.85 $0.419$ 3 $9.297,101$ $9,302,965$ 6 $plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:1$ 8.75 $0.419$ 3 $9.297,101$ $9,302,965$ 6 $plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:1$ 8.75 $0.433$ 3 $46,167,478$ 6 $plas:13,vacu:1$ 8.75 $0.433$ 3 $46,167,478$ 6 $plas:14$ 8.72 $0.515$ 4 $59,235,653$ 6 $plas:14$ 8.86 $0.463$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6 $plas:14$ 8.88 $0.353$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6 $plas:13,vacu:1$ 6.68 $0.373$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6 $plas:13,vacu:1$ 6.68 $0.471$ 8 $62,776,752$ $62,780,190$ 7 $plas:13,vacu:1$ $9.2$ $0.427$ 11 $5,473,409$ 6 $plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1$ $8.85$ $0.447$ 2 $40,045,892$ $40,050,013$ 6 $plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1$ $8.88$ $0.447$ 2 $40,045,892$ $40,050,013$ 6 $plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1$ $8.88$ $0.447$ 2 $40,045,892$ $40,050,013$ 6 $plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1$ $8.867,524$ $8,664,052$ 6 $plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1$ $922$ $0.551$ 2 $2273,323$ $9.22$ $0.551$ 2 $2273,350$ 3 $plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1$ $922$ $9.24$ $0.467$ 8 $62,375,524$ $8,664,052$ 6 $plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1$	Cana locuis ID Cana nama aa MW	Cana nama aa MW	MM	MW	In	CBAVV		Curomosom	e	Hvon #	Sub-cellular I oralization
7.85 $0.428$ 2 $41,608,636$ $41,613,932$ 7plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:18.73 $0.419$ 3 $9,297,101$ $9,302,965$ 6plas:13,vacu:18.75 $0.433$ 3 $46,167,478$ 6plas:13,vacu:18.75 $0.515$ 4 $59,232,077$ $59,235,653$ 6plas:148.72 $0.515$ 4 $59,233,570$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:148.86 $0.463$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:148.58 $0.353$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:13,vacu:1 $6.68$ $0.471$ 8 $62,776,752$ $62,780,190$ 7plas:13,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.425$ 11 $5,469,691$ $5,473,409$ 6plas:13,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.447$ 2 $2,271,065$ $3$ plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1 $8.52$ $0.438$ 2 $2,271,065$ $2,273,350$ $3$ plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.447$ 2 $40,056,013$ 6plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.447$ 2 $49,437,687$ $49,445,952$ 7plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.551$ 2 $2,273,350$ 3plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.551$ 2 $60,0013$ 6plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.551$ 2 $60,05013$ 6plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1 $9.2$ $0.54$ 8,664,05267plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1 $9.24$ </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>AA TAT</th> <th>hı</th> <th></th> <th>Position</th> <th>Start</th> <th>End</th> <th></th> <th>Bub-conulat rocalization</th>				AA TAT	hı		Position	Start	End		Bub-conulat rocalization
8.23 $0.419$ 3 $9,297,101$ $9,302,965$ 6plas:13,vacu:18.75 $0.433$ 3 $46,163,596$ $46,167,478$ 6plas:13,vacu:18.72 $0.515$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,235,653$ 6plas:148.86 $0.463$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:148.85 $0.353$ 4 $59,239,550$ $59,242,872$ 6plas:13,vacu:18.68 $0.471$ 8 $6.67,7652$ $62,776,752$ $62,780,190$ 7plas:13,vacu:19.2 $0.471$ 8 $6.57,76,752$ $62,780,190$ 7plas:13,vacu:19.2 $0.447$ 2 $2,271,065$ $5,273,409$ 6plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:18.52 $0.447$ 2 $40,045,892$ $40,050,013$ 6plas:10,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:19.24 $0.447$ 2 $49,437,687$ $49,445,952$ 7plas:10,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:19.24 $0.551$ 2 $2,273,350$ 3plas:10,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:19.24 $0.447$ 2 $40,045,892$ $40,050,013$ 6plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:19.24 $0.551$ 2 $49,437,687$ $49,445,952$ 7plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:19.24 $0.551$ 2 $40,045,892$ $40,050,013$ 6plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:19.24 $0.551$ 2 $49,437,687$ $49,445,952$ 7plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:19.24 $0.54$ 5 $62,373,723$ $62,375,642$ 3plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1 <td< td=""><td>Solyc02g083740 SlOPT1 733 82.79</td><td>SIOPT1 733 82.79</td><td>733 82.79</td><td>82.79</td><td>7.85</td><td>0.428</td><td>2</td><td>41,608,636</td><td>41,613,932</td><td>L</td><td>plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:1</td></td<>	Solyc02g083740 SlOPT1 733 82.79	SIOPT1 733 82.79	733 82.79	82.79	7.85	0.428	2	41,608,636	41,613,932	L	plas:11,vacu:2,E.R.:1
8.75   0.433   3   46,163,596   46,167,478   6   plas:14     8.72   0.515   4   59,232,077   59,235,653   6   plas:9,E.R.:3,mucl:1,vacu:1     8.86   0.463   4   59,235,053   59,242,872   6   plas:13,vacu:1     8.86   0.463   4   59,239,550   59,242,872   6   plas:13,vacu:1     8.58   0.353   4   59,239,550   59,242,872   6   plas:13,vacu:1     6.68   0.471   8   62,776,752   62,780,190   7   plas:13,vacu:1     9.22   0.425   11   5,469,691   5,473,409   6   plas:13,vacu:1     8.52   0.437   2   2,773,350   3   plas:13,vacu:1     8.53   0.447   2   2,273,350   3   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     8.54   0.447   2   2,40,045,892   40,050,013   6   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     9.44   0.437,687   49,445,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     9.24   0.551   2   49,445,952   7   plas:1	Solyc03g033460 SIOPT2 751 84.42	SIOPT2 751 84.42	751 84.42	84.42	8.23	0.419	ω	9,297,101	9,302,965	9	plas:13,vacu:1
8.72   0.515   4   59,233,077   59,235,653   6   plas:9,E.R.:3,nucl:1,vacu:1     8.86   0.463   4   59,239,550   59,242,872   6   plas:14     8.58   0.353   4   59,239,550   59,242,872   6   plas:13,vacu:1     6.68   0.471   8   62,776,752   62,780,190   7   plas:13,vacu:1     9.2   0.425   11   5,469,691   5,473,409   6   plas:13,vacu:1     8.52   0.438   2   2,271,065   2,273,350   3   plas:13,vacu:1     8.52   0.447   2   40,045,892   40,050,013   6   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1     8.58   0.447   2   2,273,350   3   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     9.24   0.551   2   2,273,350   3   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     9.44   0.447   2   40,045,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     9.24   0.551   2   49,445,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1     9.24   0.551   2   49,445,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1 </td <td>Solyc03g082700 SIOPT3 739 83.81</td> <td>SIOPT3 739 83.81</td> <td>739 83.81</td> <td>83.81</td> <td>8.75</td> <td>0.433</td> <td>б</td> <td>46,163,596</td> <td>46,167,478</td> <td>9</td> <td>plas:14</td>	Solyc03g082700 SIOPT3 739 83.81	SIOPT3 739 83.81	739 83.81	83.81	8.75	0.433	б	46,163,596	46,167,478	9	plas:14
8.86     0.463     4     59,239,550     59,242,872     6     plas:13,vacu:1       8.58     0.353     4     59,248,010     59,253,947     6     plas:13,vacu:1       6.68     0.471     8     62,776,752     62,7780,190     7     plas:13,vacu:1       9.2     0.425     11     5,469,691     5,473,409     6     plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1       9.2     0.425     11     5,469,691     5,473,409     6     plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1       9.2     0.425     11     5,469,691     5,473,409     6     plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1       8.52     0.438     2     2,271,065     2,273,350     3     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1       8.58     0.447     2     40,045,892     40,050,013     6     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1       9.44     0.428     3     8,657,524     8,664,052     6     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1       9.22     0.551     2     49,445,952     7     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1       9.24     0.553     66,086,918     7     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu	Solyc04g076750 SIOPT4 738 82.72	SIOPT4 738 82.72	738 82.72	82.72	8.72	0.515	4	59,232,077	59,235,653	9	plas:9,E.R.:3,nucl:1,vacu:1
8.58   0.353   4   59,248,010   59,253,947   6   plas:13,vacu:1     6.68   0.471   8   62,776,752   62,780,190   7   plas:13,vacu:1     9.2   0.425   11   5,469,691   5,473,409   6   plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1     8.52   0.438   2   2,271,065   2,273,350   3   plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2     8.52   0.447   2   40,045,892   40,050,013   6   plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2     8.88   0.447   2   40,045,892   40,050,013   6   plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2     8.88   0.447   2   40,045,892   40,050,013   6   plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2     9.44   0.428   3   8,657,524   8,664,052   6   plas:10,E.R.:2,vuc1,vacu:1     9.22   0.551   2   49,445,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1     9.24   0.55   3   8657,524   8,664,052   6   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1     9.24   0.55   3   46,082,668   46,086,918   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1     9.24   0.54	Solyc04g076760 SIOPT5 746 84.07	SIOPT5 746 84.07	746 84.07	84.07	8.86	0.463	4	59,239,550	59,242,872	9	plas:14
6.680.471862,776,75262,780,1907plas:13,vacu:19.20.425115,469,6915,473,4096plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:18.520.43822,271,0652,273,3503plas:9,vacu:3,golg:28.880.447240,045,89240,050,0136plas:9,vacu:3,golg:25.820.551249,437,68749,445,9527plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:19.440.42838,657,5248,664,0526plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:19.220.53346,082,66846,086,9187plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu: 29.240.54562,373,72362,375,6423plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 19.240.467862,808,53262,811,4137plas: 9, golg: 3, vacu: 29.240.425962,259,53662,261,4733plas: 9, vacu: 3, vacu: 1, golg: 18.550.425962,259,53662,261,4733plas:9, vacu: 2, golg: 7, R.:1, golg: 1	Solyc04g076780 SlOPT6 756 85.39	SIOPT6 756 85.39	756 85.39	85.39	8.58	0.353	4	59,248,010	59,253,947	9	plas:13,vacu:1
9.2     0.425     11     5,469,691     5,473,409     6     plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1       8.52     0.438     2     2,271,065     2,273,350     3     plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2       8.52     0.447     2     40,045,892     40,050,013     6     plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2       8.88     0.447     2     40,045,892     40,050,013     6     plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2       5.82     0.551     2     49,437,687     49,445,952     7     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1       9.44     0.428     3     8,657,524     8,664,052     6     plas:10,E.R.:2,vacu:1,vacu:1       9.22     0.5     3     46,086,918     7     plas:9,vacu:2,vacu:1,vacu:1       9.24     0.457     5     62,373,723     62,375,642     3     plas:11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.54     5     62,373,723     62,375,642     3     plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,205,536     62,811,413     7     plas:9,vacu:3,E.R.:1,golg:1       9.24     0.425     9     6	Solyc08g082990 SIOPT7 750 84.45	SIOPT7 750 84.45	750 84.45	84.45	6.68	0.471	8	62,776,752	62,780,190	Ζ	plas:13,vacu:1
8.52   0.438   2   2,271,065   2,273,350   3   plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2     8.88   0.447   2   40,045,892   40,050,013   6   plas:9,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:1     5.82   0.551   2   49,437,687   49,445,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:3,rucl:1,vacu:1     9.44   0.428   3   8,657,524   8,664,052   6   plas:10,E.R.:2,rucl:1,vacu:1     9.22   0.551   2   49,437,687   49,445,952   7   plas:10,E.R.:2,rucl:1,vacu:1     9.24   0.428   3   8,657,524   8,664,052   6   plas:10,E.R.:2,rucl:1,vacu:1     9.22   0.5   3   46,085,918   7   plas:9, golg:3, vacu: 2     9.24   0.54   5   62,373,723   62,375,642   3   plas:9, vacu: 3, racu: 1     9.24   0.467   8   62,808,532   62,811,413   7   plas:9,vacu:3, F.R.:1, golg:1     8.55   0.425   9   62,259,536   62,261,473   3   plas:9,vacu:2,golg:2,E.R.:1	Solyc11g012700 SIOPT8 756 84.05	SIOPT8 756 84.05	756 84.05	84.05	9.2	0.425	11	5,469,691	5,473,409	9	plas:11,E.R.:2,vacu:1
8.88     0.447     2     40,045,892     40,050,013     6     plas:9,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:1       5.82     0.551     2     49,437,687     49,445,952     7     plas:10,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:1       9.44     0.428     3     8,657,524     8,664,052     6     plas:10,E.R.:2,cyto:1,vacu:1       9.22     0.5     3     46,082,668     46,086,918     7     plas:10,E.R.:2,cyto:1,vacu:1       9.22     0.5     3     46,082,668     46,086,918     7     plas:10,E.R.:2,cyto:1,vacu:1       9.22     0.5     3     46,085,513     62,375,642     3     plas:11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,375,642     3     plas:9,vacu:3,E.R.:1,golg:1       9.24     0.467     8     62,280,536     62,261,473     3     plas:9,vacu:2,golg:2,E.R.:1	Solyc02g005340 SIYSL1 653 71.98	SIYSL1 653 71.98	653 71.98	71.98	8.52	0.438	2	2,271,065	2,273,350	3	plas:9,vacu:3,golg:2
5.82     0.551     2     49,437,687     49,445,952     7     plas:10,E.R.:2,nucl:1,vacu:1       9.44     0.428     3     8,657,524     8,664,052     6     plas:10,E.R.:2,cyto:1,vacu:1       9.22     0.5     3     46,082,668     46,086,918     7     plas: 9, golg: 3, vacu: 2       7.97     0.54     5     62,373,723     62,375,642     3     plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,808,532     62,811,413     7     plas: 9, golg: 3, vacu: 2       9.24     0.467     8     62,5375,642     3     plas: 9, vacu: 3, E.R.: 1, golg: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,375,642     3     plas: 9, vacu: 3, E.R.: 1, golg: 1       8.55     0.425     9     62,259,536     62,261,473     3     plas: 9, vacu: 2, golg: 3, E.R.: 1	Solyc02g081570 SIYSL2 694 76.17	SIYSL2 694 76.17	694 76.17	76.17	8.88	0.447	7	40,045,892	40,050,013	9	plas:9,E.R.:3,cyto:1,vacu:1
9.44     0.428     3     8,657,524     8,664,052     6     plas:10,E.R.:2,cyto:1,vacu:1       9.22     0.5     3     46,082,668     46,086,918     7     plas: 9, golg: 3, vacu: 2       7.97     0.54     5     62,373,723     62,375,642     3     plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,808,532     62,811,413     7     plas: 9, vacu: 3, E.R.: 1, golg: 1       8.55     0.425     9     62,259,536     62,261,473     3     plas:9, vacu: 2, golg: 2, E.R.: 1	Solyc02g094280 SIYSL3 674 73.53	SIYSL3 674 73.53	674 73.53	73.53	5.82	0.551	7	49,437,687	49,445,952	L	plas:10,E.R.:2,nucl:1,vacu:1
9.22     0.5     3     46,082,668     46,086,918     7     plas: 9, golg: 3, vacu: 2       7.97     0.54     5     62,373,723     62,375,642     3     plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,808,532     62,811,413     7     plas: 9, vacu: 3, E.R.: 1, golg: 1,	Solyc03g031920 SIYSL4 696 76.78	SIYSL4 696 76.78	696 76.78	76.78	9.44	0.428	ω	8,657,524	8,664,052	9	plas:10,E.R.:2,cyto:1,vacu:1
7.97     0.54     5     62,373,723     62,375,642     3     plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1       9.24     0.467     8     62,808,532     62,811,413     7     plas: 9, vacu: 3, E.R.: 1, golg: 1       8.55     0.425     9     62,259,536     62,261,473     3     plas: 9, vacu: 2, golg: 2, E.R.: 1	Solyc03g082620 SIYSL5 658 72.52	SIYSL5 658 72.52	658 72.52	72.52	9.22	0.5	ω	46,082,668	46,086,918	L	plas: 9, golg: 3, vacu: 2
9.24     0.467     8     62,808,532     62,811,413     7     plas:9,vacu:3,E.R.:1,golg:1       8.55     0.425     9     62,259,536     62,261,473     3     plas:9,vacu:2,golg:2,E.R.:1	Solyc05g053110 SIYSL6 580 64.19	SIYSL6 580 64.19	580 64.19	64.19	7.97	0.54	S	62,373,723	62,375,642	ю	plas: 11, E.R.: 2, vacu: 1
8.55 0.425 9 62,259,536 62,261,473 3 plas:9,vacu:2,golg:2,E.R.:1	Solyc08g083060 SIYSL7 663 73.26	SIYSL7 663 73.26	663 73.26	73.26	9.24	0.467	8	62,808,532	62,811,413	L	plas:9,vacu:3,E.R.:1,golg:1
	Solyc09g074960 SIYSL8 606 66.84	SIYSL8 606 66.84	606 66.84	66.84	8.55	0.425	6	62,259,536	62,261,473	3	plas:9,vacu:2,golg:2,E.R.:1

Heavy metals induced expression profiling of OPT genes in tomato: To unveil the biological role of the OPT genes against various metal induced stress, their expression profiles were investigated in tomato seedling (shoot and root) under various heavy metals including calcium, cadmium, iron, lead, magnesium, and positum (Figs. 6, 7 and 8). For calcium treatment, expression of all SlOPTs was induced both in root and shoot but more significant expression in root (Fig. 6a). In shoot, SlOPT2, SIYSL3, SIYSL6, and SIYSL7 was downregulated temporally but, SIYSL5 and SIYSL8 was upregulated. In root SIOPT4 and SIOPT5, and SIOPT6 upregulated while, SIYSL1, SIYSL6, and SIYSL8 downregulated. In comparison, SlOPT2 induced in root but supressed in shoot. Moreover, SIYSL6 was suppressed in both root and shoot. The SIOPT4, SIOPT5, and SIOPT6 were upregulated both in root and shoot but SIYSL3 supressed in shoot (Fig. 6a).

Under cadmium stress, SIOPT2, SIOPT3, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, SIOPT8, SIYSL1, and SIYSL7 upregulated at 12h interval in shoot while SIOPT1, SIOPT2, SIOPT3, SIOPT4, SIOPT7 was downregulated at 24h interval after treatment. In root, SIOPT4, SIOPT5, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, and SIYSL3 has high expressions at all intervals but SIYSL8 and SIOPT3 was supressed at 24h after treatment. By comparing, SIOPT4, SIOPT7, SIOPT7, SIOPT8, SIYSL1, and SIYSL2 were supressed in shoot at 24h interval but upregulated in root tissues. Moreover, for SIYSL7 and SIYSL8 opposite trends were observed both in root and shoot tissues after treatment (Fig. 6b).

Number of genes were induced against iron stress both in root and shoot tissues. For example, SIOPT3, SIOPT4, SIOPT5, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, SIYSL6, SIYSL7, and SIYSL8 was upregulated both in root and shoot. However, SIOPT8 was supressed in shoot but upregulated in root. Similar trends observed for SIYSL2 but opposite for SIYSL3 and SIYSL1 (Fig. 7a). For lead induced stress treatment, SIOPT3, SIOPT4, SIOPT5, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, SIOPT8, SIYSL1, SIYSI3, and SIYSL7 were induced at 6h after treatment in shoot while, at 24h interval in root tissues. SIYSL1, SIYSL3, SIYSL5, SIYSL6, SIYSL7, and SIYSL8 suppressed at 24h interval in shoot but elevated levels were found in root tissues at same interval of time. Moreover, SIOPT5 and SIOPT6 induced in shoot at 24h but supressed in root tissues. For SIOPT1 opposite trends were detected in both root and shoot (Fig. 7b).

For magnesium induced expression, SIOPT1, SIOPT2, SIOPT3, SIOPT4, and SIOPT5 was upregulated in shoot at 6h after treatment but at 24h in root tissues, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, SIOPT8, SIYSL1, SIYSL2, SIYSL3, and SIYSL4 was upregulated in shoot at 24h. Additionally, SIYSL5, SIYSL6, SIYSL7, and SIYSL8 were induced in root tissues across all intervals. For SIYSL8 opposite trends observed both in root and shoot tissues (Fig. 8a). A very diverse expression pattern was observed against potassium induced expression of SIOPT genes. All genes in PT sub-family were induced in shoot at 24h while, YSL sub-family induced in root tissues at same interval to time. Moreover, in root SIOPT5, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, and SIOPT8 were upregulated at 6h but downregulated in shoot at same internal after treatment (Fig. 8b).



Fig. 2. Phylogeny of OPT gene family. An unrooted neighbour joining phylogenetic tree of (a) tomato OPT family (b) Tomato, Arabidopsis (Koh *et al.*, 2002b) and rice genome (Vasconcelos *et al.*, 2008) was constructed using MEGAX. SIOPT with blue circle, OsOPT with black circle, AtOPT with red circle, tomato SIYSL with black triangle, OsYSL with blue and AtYSL with red triangle. Red arc represents PT sub-family and black arc corresponding to YSL sub-family of OPT gene family.

### Discussion

The OPT transporter proteins play an important role in active transport of ions and other micronutrients from soil to various vegetative or non-vegetative plant parts (Curie *et al.*, 2009) help to maintain ion homeostasis during plant growth and development. in this study, members of OPT gene family was first identified in tomato based on genome wide identification, with their physiochemical features, tissues specific expression and heavy metal induced expression patterns.

Tomato is an ideal vegetable fruit due to its good quality, yield and high nutritive (Seymour *et al.*, 2013) value. OPT genes have been identified and characterized in various plants species including rice (Vasconcelos *et al.*, 2008), *Arabidopsis* (Koh *et al.*, 2002), turnip (Pu *et al.*, 2018), wheat (Kumar *et al.*, 2018), grapes and poplus (Cao *et al.*, 2011). We have identified 16 OPT genes sequences in tomato (Table 1) whole genome based on *Arabidopsis* sequences (Koh *et al.*, 2002). The rice and turnip encode highly hydrophobic polypeptides (GRAVY 0.35-0.525. The tomato OPT genes also encode highly hydrophobic proteins with molecular weight varies from 580 to 756 kDa and average GRAVY ranges from 0.353 to 0.551, sharing high similarly with rice and turnip. This showed that these proteins are highly conserved and positively charged (Table 1).

The OPT genes are classified into two clades based on evolutionary analyses including, PT sub-family and YSL sub-family (Vasconcelos et al., 2008). Tomato OPT genes family division is also consistent with previous analyses. We have found that tomato OPT genes in YSL sub-family contained 3-7 exons and PT sub-family have 4-6 exons (Fig. 3c), like rice, Arabidopsis, turnip, wheat, grapes and poplus. This diverse gene configuration in different phylogenetic clades may exhibit gene family originated from multiple ancestry. The OPT proteins have been identified and characterized in heavy metal detoxification (Cagnac et al., 2004), germination (Müntz, 1998), embryo development (Stacey et al., 2008), and nitrogen translocation (Williams & Miller, 2001). In tomato, the tissue specific expression analysis revealed that SIYSL3, SIYSL4, SIYSL5, SIYSL6, SIYSL7, and SIYSL8 expressed ubiquitously in all plant parts while, others expressed in specific tissues such as root and flowers (Fig. 5). These finding suggested that these proteins may involve in plant growth and development as well.



Fig. 3. Physical location, exon/intron distribution, and conserved motif predicted in tomato OPT gene family members. (a)Chromosome location map of tomato OPT genes. The scale of chromosomes is in megabases (MB). (b) Tomato OPT gene intron and exon configuration. The identical exon formation of SIOPT genes is connected with slashes. The scale at the bottom is corresponding to gene size in kb. (c) Prediction of conserved motifs in tomato OPT gene family. MEME identified motifs and length of each motif indicated proportionally. Each color of box is corresponding to a motif.

### MUHAMMAD WASEEM



Fig. 4. The putative cis-regulatory elements predicted in tomato OPT gene family members using PlantCARE database.

To date, no distinct heavy metal induced expression profile analysis have been conducted in tomato. In this study, we uncovered the heavy metal tolerance mechanism, laying foundation for exploring the physiological and biochemical role of OPT genes in tomato. Heavy metals like cadmium, calcium, iron and so on pollute the agricultural land therefore, affecting plant growth and development that subsequently resulted in lower yield and productivity the OPT proteins play important role in metal ion absorption and entry into the food chain. We have investigated, the expression profile of tomato OPT proteins under heavy metals stress (Figs. 6, 7 and 8). The expression analysis revealed that most of SIOPT genes expression levels were changed in response to these metals. For example, under calcium stress, SIOPT4, SIOPT5, and SlOPT6 of PT sub-family upregulated in both root and shoot but SIYSL5, SIYSL8, SIYS4 in YSL sub-family was downregulated (Fig. 6a). In case of cadmium, OPT proteins expressed temporally. For an instance, SlOPT2, SlOPT6, SIOPT7, SIYSL1, and SIYSL7 induced at 12h interval only but SIOPT1, SIOPT2, and SIOPT3 was downregulated at 24h after treatment. Similarly, some gens show opposite trends like SIYSL7 and SIYSL8 (Fig. 6b).

SIOPT3, SIOPT6, SIOPT7, SIYSL8, and SIYSL7 was upregulated in both root and shoot under iron stress

but, SIOPT4, SIOPT5, SIOPT8, and SIYSL1 induced in shoot at 6h after treatment. Moreover, SIOPT5 and SIOPT6 was supressed in root against lead stress but SIOPT8 was upregulated in root under iron treatment (Fig. 7a). For potassium, a very unique expression pattern was observed. All PT clade members were induced in shoot and YSL clade members were induced in root at 24h after treatment (Fig. 8b). SIOPT1, SIOPT2, SIOPT3, SIOPT3, and SIOPT5 in root and YSL1, SIYSL2, SIYSL3, and SIYSL in shoot were upregulated at 24 intervals under magnesium (Fig. 8a). These considerable differences in expression among tomato OPT genes suggest that these genes involved in various physiological and biochemical processes to help plant adopt under complicated circumstances.

Taken together, this study provides new information about the OPT gene family in tomato genome including various gene characteristic properties, conserved motif prediction, cis-regulatory sequences prediction, chromosome location and gene intron/exon configuration. Moreover, the expression profiling in various plant parts and heavy metal induced expression analysis helped in understanding the biological role of these gene in tomato plant growth, development, and their physiological role under stresses conditions.





Fig. 6. Expression profile of tomato OPT family under (a) calcium (b) cadmium in shoot and root of tomato seedlings. \_S; shoot, \_R; root. Green, black, and red color represent lowest, moderate, and highest expressions, respectively.



Fig. 7. Expression profile of tomato OPT family under (a) iron (b) lead in shoot and root of tomato seedlings. \_S; shoot, \_R; root. Green, black, and red color represent lowest, moderate, and highest expressions, respectively.



Fig. 8. Expression profile of tomato OPT family under (a) magnesium (b) potassium in shoot and root of tomato seedlings. \_S; shoot, R; root. Green, black, and red color represent lowest, moderate, and highest expressions, respectively.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Chinese Scholarship Council (2015GXZ930).

### References

- Aoyama, T., T. Kobayashi, M. Takahashi, S. Nagasaka, K. Usuda, Y. Kakei, Y. Ishimaru, H. Nakanishi, S. Mori and N.K. Nishizawa. 2009. Osys118 is a rice iron(iii)-deoxymugineic acid transporter specifically expressed in reproductive organs and phloem of lamina joints. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 70(6): 681-692. DOI 10.1007/s11103-009-9500-3.
- Bailey, T.L. and C. Elkan. 1994. Fitting a mixture model by expectation maximization to discover motifs in biopolymers. *Proc. Int. Conf. Intel.l Syst. Mol. Biol.*, 2: 28-36.
- Bogs, J., A. Bourbouloux, O. Cagnac, A. Wachter, T. Rausch and S. Delrot. 2003. Functional characterization and expression

analysis of a glutathione transporter, bjgt1, from brassica juncea: Evidence for regulation by heavy metal exposure. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 26(10): 1703-1711. DOI doi:10.1046/j.1365-3040.2003.01088.x.

- Cagnac, O., A. Bourbouloux, D. Chakrabarty, M.Y. Zhang and S. Delrot. 2004. Atopt6 transports glutathione derivatives and is induced by primisulfuron. *Plant Physiol.*, 135(3): 1378-1387. DOI 10.1104/pp.104.039859.
- Cao, J., J. Huang, Y. Yang and X. Hu. 2011. Analyses of the oligopeptide transporter gene family in poplar and grape. *BMC Genom.*, 12: 465-465. DOI 10.1186/1471-2164-12-465.
- Curie, C., G. Cassin, D. Couch, F. Divol, K. Higuchi, M. Le Jean, J. Misson, A. Schikora, P. Czernic and S. Mari. 2009. Metal movement within the plant: Contribution of nicotianamine and yellow stripe 1-like transporters. *Ann. Bot.*, 103(1): 1-11. DOI 10.1093/aob/mcn207.
- Curie, C., Z. Panaviene, C. Loulergue, S.L. Dellaporta, J.F. Briat and E.L. Walker. 2001. Maize yellow stripe1 encodes a

membrane protein directly involved in fe(iii) uptake. *Nature*, 409(6818): 346-349. DOI 10.1038/35053080.

- Fernandez-Pozo, N., N. Menda, J.D. Edwards, S. Saha, I.Y. Tecle, S.R. Strickler, A. Bombarely, T. Fisher-York, A. Pujar, H. Foerster, A. Yan and L.A. Mueller. 2015. The sol genomics network (sgn)--from genotype to phenotype to breeding. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 43(Database issue): D1036-1041. DOI 10.1093/nar/gku1195.
- Finn, R.D., J. Tate, J. Mistry, P.C. Coggill, S.J. Sammut, H. R. Hotz, G. Ceric, K. Forslund, S.R. Eddy, E.L.L. Sonnhammer and A. Bateman. 2008. The pfam protein families database. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 36(Database issue): D281-D288. DOI 10.1093/nar/gkm960.
- Gross, J., R.J., Stein, A.G., Fett-Neto and J.P. Fett. 2003. Iron homeostasis related genes in rice. *Genet. Mol. Biol.*, 26: 477.
- Hu, B., J. Jin, A.Y. Guo, H. Zhang, J. Luo and G. Gao. 2015. Gsds 2.0: An upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics*, 31(8): 1296-1297. DOI 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu817.
- Inoue, H., T. Kobayashi, T. Nozoye, M. Takahashi, Y. Kakei, K. Suzuki, M. Nakazono, H. Nakanishi, S. Mori and N.K. Nishizawa. 2009. Rice osysl15 is an iron-regulated iron(iii)-deoxymugineic acid transporter expressed in the roots and is essential for iron uptake in early growth of the seedlings. J. Biol. Chem., 284(6): 3470-3479. DOI 10.1074/ jbc.M806042200.
- Koh, S., A.M. Wiles, J.S. Sharp, F.R. Naider, J.M. Becker and G. Stacey. 2002. An oligopeptide transporter gene family in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.*, 128(1): 21-29.
- Koike, S., H. Inoue, D. Mizuno, M. Takahashi, H. Nakanishi, S. Mori and N.K. Nishizawa. 2004. Osysl2 is a rice metalnicotianamine transporter that is regulated by iron and expressed in the phloem. *Plant J.*, 39(3): 415-424. DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02146.x.
- Kumar, A., G. Kaur, P. Goel, K.K. Bhati, M. Kaur, V. Shukla and A.K. Pandey. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of oligopeptide transporters and detailed characterization of yellow stripe transporter genes in hexaploid wheat. *Fun. Int. Genom.*, DOI 10.1007/s10142-018-0629-5.
- Kumar, S., G. Stecher, M. Li, C. Knyaz and K. Tamura. 2018. Mega X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 35(6): 1547-1549. DOI 10.1093/molbev/msy096.
- Lee, S., J.C. Chiecko, S.A. Kim, E.L. Walker, Y. Lee, M.L. Guerinot and G. An. 2009. Disruption of osys115 leads to iron inefficiency in rice plants. *Plant Physiol.*, 150(2): 786-800. DOI 10.1104/pp.109.135418.
- Lescot, M., P. Dehais, G. Thijs, K. Marchal, Y. Moreau, Y. Van de Peer, P. Rouze and S. Rombauts. 2002. Plantcare, a database of plant cis-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 30(1): 325-327.
- Li, J., Q. Ding, F. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Li and J. Gao. 2015. Integrative analysis of mRNA and miRNA expression profiles of the tuberous root development at seedling stages in turnips. *PloS One*, 10(9): e0137983. DOI 10.1371/ journal.pone.0137983.
- Livak, K.J. and T.D. Schmittgen. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative pcr and the  $2-\delta\delta$ ct method. *Methods*, 25(4): 402-408. Available from.
- Lubkowitz, M.A., D. Barnes, M. Breslav, A. Burchfield, F. Naider and J.M. Becker. 1998. Schizosaccharomyces pombe isp4 encodes a transporter representing a novel family of oligopeptide transporters. *Mol. Microbiol.*, 28(4): 729-741.
- Lubkowitz, M.A., L. Hauser, M. Breslav, F. Naider and J.M. Becker. 1997. An oligopeptide transport gene from candida albicans. *Microbiol.*, 143 (2): 387-396. DOI 10.1099/00221287-143-2-387.

- Marchler-Bauer, A., Y. Bo, L. Han, J. He, C.J. Lanczycki, S. Lu, F. Chitsaz, M.K. Derbyshire, R.C. Geer, N.R. Gonzales, M. Gwadz, D.I. Hurwitz, F. Lu, G.H. Marchler, J.S. Song, N. Thanki, Z. Wang, R.A. Yamashita, D. Zhang, C. Zheng, L.Y. Geer and S.H. Bryant. 2017. Cdd/sparcle: Functional classification of proteins via subfamily domain architectures. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 45(D1): D200-d203. DOI 10.1093/nar/gkw1129.
- Morrissey, J. and M.L. Guerinot. 2009. Iron uptake and transport in plants: The good, the bad, and the ionome. *Chem. Rev.*, 109(10): 4553-4567. DOI 10.1021/cr900112r.
- Müntz, K. 1998. Deposition of storage proteins. *Plant Mol. Biol.*, 38(1): 77-99. DOI 10.1023/A:1006020208380.
- Osawa, H., G. Stacey and W. Gassmann. 2006. Scopt1 and atopt4 function as proton-coupled oligopeptide transporters with broad but distinct substrate specificities. Biochem. J., 393(Pt 1): 267-275. DOI 10.1042/bj20050920.
- Pike, S., A. Patel, G. Stacey and W. Gassmann. 2009. Arabidopsis opt6 is an oligopeptide transporter with exceptionally broad substrate specificity. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 50(11): 1923-1932. DOI 10.1093/pcp/pcp136.
- Pu, Y., D. Yang, X. Yin, Q. Wang, Q. Chen, Y. Yang and Y. Yang. 2018. Genome-wide analysis indicates diverse physiological roles of the turnip (*Brassica rapa* var. *rapa*) oligopeptide transporters gene family. *Plant Divers.*, 40(2): 57-67.
- Reiser, L. and S.Y. Rhee. 2005. Using the Arabidopsis information resource (tair) to find information about Arabidopsis genes. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinform.*, Chapter 1: Unit 1.11. DOI 10.1002/0471250953.bi0111s9.
- Rentsch, D., S. Schmidt and M. Tegeder. 2007. Transporters for uptake and allocation of organic nitrogen compounds in plants. *FEBS Lett.*, 581(12): 2281-2289. DOI 10.1016/j.febslet.2007.04.013.
- Roberts, L.A., A.J. Pierson, Z. Panaviene and E.L. Walker. 2004. Yellow stripe1. Expanded roles for the maize ironphytosiderophore transporter. *Plant Physiol.*, 135(1): 112-120. DOI 10.1104/pp.103.037572.
- Saitou, N. and M. Nei. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 4(4): 406-425. DOI 10.1093/oxfordjournals. molbev.a040454.
- Schultz, J., F. Milpetz, P. Bork and C.P. Ponting. 1998. Smart, a simple modular architecture research tool: Identification of signaling domains. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 95(11): 5857.
- Seymour, G.B., L. Ostergaard, N.H. Chapman, S. Knapp and C. Martin. 2013. Fruit development and ripening. Ann. Rev. Plant biol., 64: 219-241. DOI 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120057.
- Sievers, F., A. Wilm, D. Dineen, T.J. Gibson, K. Karplus, W. Li, R. Lopez, H. McWilliam, M. Remmert, J. Söding, J.D. Thompson and D.G. Higgins. 2011. Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using clustal omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.*, pp: 539.
- Stacey, M.G., A. Patel, W.E. McClain, M. Mathieu, M. Remley, E.E. Rogers, W. Gassmann, D.G. Blevins and G. Stacey. 2008. The Arabidopsis atopt3 protein functions in metal homeostasis and movement of iron to developing seeds. *Plant Physiol.*, 146(2): 589-601. DOI 10.1104/pp. 107.108183.
- Stothard, P. 2000. The sequence manipulation suite: Javascript programs for analyzing and formatting protein and DNA sequences. *Biotechniques.*, 28(6): 1102, 1104. DOI 10.2144/00286ir01.
- Vasconcelos, M.W., G.W. Li, M.A. Lubkowitz and M.A. Grusak. 2008. Characterization of the pt clade of oligopeptide transporters in rice. *Plant Genome.*, 1(2): 77-88. DOI 10.3835/plantgenome2007.10.0540.

- Williams, L. and A. Miller. 2001. Transporters responsible for the uptake and partitioning of nitrogenous solutes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.*, 52: 659-688. DOI 10.1146/ annurev.arplant.52.1.659.
- Yordem, B.K., S.S. Conte, J.F. Ma, K. Yokosho, K.A. Vasques, S.N. Gopalsamy and E.L. Walker. 2011. *Brachypodium distachyon* as a new model system for understanding iron homeostasis in grasses: Phylogenetic and expression analysis of yellow stripe-like (ysl) transporters. *Ann. Bot.*, 108(5): 821-833. DOI 10.1093/aob/mcr200.
- Zhang, M.-Y., A. Bourbouloux, O. Cagnac, C.V. Srikanth, D. Rentsch, A.K. Bachhawat and S. Delrot. 2004. A novel family of transporters mediating the transport of glutathione derivatives in plants. *Plant Physiol.*, 134(1): 482-491. DOI 10.1104/pp.103.030940.
- Zhao, X., J. Huang, H. Yu, L. Wang and W. Xie. 2010. Genomic survey, characterization and expression profile analysis of the peptide transporter family in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *BMC plant biol.*, 10: 92. DOI 10.1186/1471-2229-10-92.

(Received for publication 3 December 2018)