BIOFORTIFICATION OF IRON IN CHICKPEA BY PLANT GROWTH PROMOTING RHIZOBACTERIA

SAIRA KHALID, HAFIZ NAEEM ASGHAR*, MUHAMMD JAVED AKHTAR, ANA ASLAM AND ZAHIR AHMAD ZAHIR

Institute of Soil & Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan *Corresponding author e-mail: naeemasghar@yahoo.com; Tel. +92 41 9201092

Abstract

Iron deficiency is a major nutritional disorder being responsible to affect millions of people around the globe. Its malnutrition may be reduced through biofortification: a process to produce micronutrient enriched staple food. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can fortify iron content within edible plant tissues by enhancing its availability through various mechanisms. In a pot study, five bacterial isolates (S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_4 and S_5) were tested for improving plant growth and bioavailable iron (Fe) content in chickpea where Fe was applied in the form of iron sulphate solution. Results showed that inoculation with PGPR significantly enhanced the plant height, root length, root fresh and dry weights, shoot fresh and dry weights and Fe content compared to un-inoculated control plants. Application of FeSO₄ significantly improved the Fe content upto 100 and 173% in grain and shoot iron contents, respectively, over control. These results suggested that PGPR can help plants to uptake extra Fe from soil, if soil is supplemented with additional Fe. These findings advocate that microbial assisted biofortification in grain can alleviate micronutrient deficiency in humans especially in resource limited countries.

Key words: Micronutrients, Siderophore, Bioavailable, Phytohormones.

Introduction

Micronutrient malnutrition is a serious problem to human health throughout the world, primarily in resource limited countries (Kennedy et al., 2003). According to estimates of World Health Organization (WHO), around two billion people are being suffered with vitamin A, iron and iodine deficiencies. Iron deficiency is a highly prevalent nutritional disorder afflicting 2.5 to 5 billion people around the globe (Yip, 2002) where poor households and pre-school children are severely affected due to high demand for iron (Benoist et al., 2008). Iron acts as co-factor for several enzymes performing basic functions in human body. Inadequate supply of iron contributes to disability, anemia and stunted mental growth (Sheftela et al., 2011). Its malnutrition may be reduced by enhancing the bio-available iron content through iron supplementation and food fortification (Rana et al., 2012). These attempts are usually expensive and difficult to sustain on daily basis particularly in undernourished countries (Best et al., 2011). Therefore, it seems most desirable that crop fortification with iron content would be cost effective approach to overcome the hidden hunger of iron.

Biofortification is a process to produce micronutrient enriched staple food through mineral fertilizer, conventional breeding and transgenic approaches but their success have not always been consistent (Murgia *et al.*, 2012). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria have been reported to fortify the iron contents in food crops besides improving the soil fertility and crop yield through siderophore production (Rana *et al.*, 2012). Siderophores are low molecular weight organic compound having high affinity for iron. Typically, there are four major groups of siderophore namelycatecholates, hydroxamates, hydroxypyridonates, or aminocarboxylates (Neilands, 1977). Siderophores form very stable iron complexes and enhance the dissolution of iron bearing minerals by coordinating with iron atom at mineral surface. Plant promoting rhizobacteria synthesize growth siderophores, release those into surrounding environment, dissolute the iron by making iron chelate complex and translocate towards the plant by growing roots. These iron siderophores complexes are taken up by plant through transporter proteins that are located on plasma membrane of root (Boukhalfa & Crumbliss, 2002).Furthermore, these rhizobacteria enhance plant growth by conferring several beneficial effects such as increased nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, synthesis of phytohormones, production of organic acid and reduce susceptibility to disease(Ahemad & Kibret, 2014). Sharma et al. (2013) reported that iron content in rice grain was improved by the application of plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPR). Therefore, biofortification of plant through PGPR is considered as safe measure to improve iron content in different edible plant parts and to alleviate its malnutrition.

Chickpea has vital dietary importance in developing countries including Pakistan. Chickpea is ranked 3^{rd} grain legume in world and first in South Asia for its production. It is a rabi pulse crop grown in rain fed areas (Shah *et al.*, 2013). It is cultivated in 1.12 million hectares with 0.98 million tons production in Pakistan (Anon., 2014) Chickpea is cheapest and readily available source of fats (11.40%), protein (19.5%), ash (4.8%) and carbohydrates (57-60%) (Anon., 2014).So, it is imperative to biofortify the chickpea with iron through PGPR. To address this issue, present study was carried out to improve iron uptake and overall growth and yield of chickpea through rhizobacterial inoculation.

Materials and Methods

Five previously well characterized plant growth promoting bacterial strains $(S_1, S_2, S_3, S_4 \text{ and } S_5)$ having ability to produce siderophore were used in this study.

Inoculum preparation and seed treatment: The inocula of selected strains were prepared by growing them in glucose peptone broth medium. Flasks containing inoculums were incubated at $28\pm 1^{\circ}$ C. Uniform cell density (10^{7} - 10^{8} CFU mL⁻¹) was maintained by measuring the optical density at 535 nm. Inoculum of each culture was injected into sterile peat (100 mLkg^{-1}) obtained from Chhanga Manga Forest Station and incubated for 24 hours at $28 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.For seed inoculation, seeds were dressed with slurry that was prepared by mixing of clay, peat and 10% sugar solution. In case of un-inoculated control, seeds were coated with the same but autoclaved bacterial suspension.

Pot experiment: Pot trial was conducted to study the effect of five bacterial strains (S1, S2, S3, S4 and S5) with and without iron on the growth and iron contents of chickpea. For this purpose, pots were filled with 10 kg soil having sandy clay loam texture, ECe1.14dSm⁻¹ and saturation percentage (32%). Five inoculated chickpea seeds were sown at 5cm depth in each pot. All the isolates were tested alone and in combination with the iron (a) 28 ppm/pot (5.6 kg ha⁻¹) in the form of iron sulphate solution (soil application). A control treatment was also maintained where neither iron nor PGPR were applied. Another treatment was included where only iron was applied but un-inoculated. All thetreatments were arranged according to completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications. Recommended doses of NPK @ (20, 65 and 20 kg ha⁻¹) were applied in each pot as urea, diammonium phosphate and sulphate of potash, respectively. Pots were irrigated with water, meeting the irrigation quality criteria for crop. Five seeds were sown per pot, after germination, thinning was done to maintain the one plant per pot. Furthermore, plant protection measures were adopted against the attack of pests. Plants were harvested and the data related to yield contributing parameters were recorded at maturity. Root and shoot of plants were analyzed for Fe contents. Wolf (1982) method was followed for the digestion of plant samples. For this purpose, 0.1 g oven dried and ground plant samples were taken in a digestion tube and 2 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid was poured into it. The samples were left over night at room temperature. Then 1 mL 35% extra pure H₂O₂ was poured into the digestion tube along the sides and the tube was rotated. The tube was heated up to 350°C after mounting it in a digestion block for 20 minutes. Then the tube was removed, cooled and added with 1 mL of H₂O₂ and again heated for 20 minutes. Same procedure was continued until the material became colorless. Fifty mL volume of the colorless extract was made by using distilled water and stored for the determination of Fe contents. Samples from different plant parts (grain, shoot and roots) were analyzed for iron accumulation by atomic absorption spectrophotometer. Iron content in soil was determined by ammonium

bicarbonate-DTPA (AB-DTPA) extractable method (Soltanpour *et al.*, 1976). The data were analyzed by using statistical procedures (Steel *et al.*, 1997) and means were compared according to Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) (Duncan, 1955).

Results

Effect on plant growth and yield: Result regarding the plant growth and yield (Table1) revealed that selected bacterial isolates significantly improved the plant height compared to the un-inoculated control plant, whereas the performance of isolates was better in the presence of iron. Plant height was found to be enhanced with isolate S1 and S₄but maximum plant height was observed bycombined application of isolate S₄+Fe which was 97% more compared to untreated and uninoculated control plants. A significant increase in shoot fresh weight was also observed with PGPR inoculation compared to control and iron treated plants whereas maximum increase (94%) in fresh weight of shoots was recorded by the combined application of Fe and S₁. Similarly, all the PGPR isolates significantly improved shoot dry weight. The highest increase in shoot dry weight (78%) was observed with combined application of Fe and S2over uninoculated control which was statistically different from other isolates. Results regarding root length of chickpea revealed that root length was significantly improved by all the isolates. The isolate S_2 showed the highest improvement in root length (97%) when used in combination with iron and gave significant results compared to other isolates. Moreover, isolateS₂ significantly enhanced the root fresh weight but highest root dry weight was noted when isolate S2 was used in combination with iron. Similarly, the highest root dry weight (84% more) was noted with combined inoculation of isolate S1 and iron. It was also observed that application of PGPR alone and in combination also improved the grain yield over control and Fe treated plants. Inoculation with S₁improved the grain yield upto 53% as compared to the treatment where only iron was applied. However, the maximum increase in grain yield (94%) was obtained by the combined application of S1 and Fe compared to control.

Effect on iron concentration in different plant parts: Data regarding the total iron concentration in grains (Table2) showed that PGPR inoculation significantly increased grain iron content compared to uninoculated iron treated plant. However, the maximum increase (81%) in Fe content of grains was observed with the combined application of Fe and isolate S2 as compared to uninoculated iron treated plant which was statistically different from other isolates. Similarly, inoculation had positive effect on shoot Fe content. It was observed that shoot Fe content enhanced up to 75% by combined application of Fe and isolate S2 over uninoculated iron treated plants. Iron content of root was increased up to 62% with isolate S₁as compared to uninoculated Fe treated plants whereas results were more pronounced (97%) when isolate S₁was used in combination with Fe compared to sole application of inoculation and Fe.

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Shoot fresh weight (g)	Shoot dry weight (g)	Root length (cm)	Root fresh weight (g)	Root dry weight (g)	Grain yield (g/plant)
Neither iron nor inoculation	12.66f	4.79e	2.40e	13.33g	2.83g	1.03f	1.67g
Fe alone	16.66e	5.59d	2.83d	18.00f	3.23f	1.2ef	2.12f
S ₁ alone	21.00cd	7.34c	3.60c	22.00de	4.8cd	1.23e	2.50de
S ₂ alone	19.66de	7.5c	3.66c	21.33e	4.8cd	1.26e	2.46de
S ₃ alone	21.66b-d	7.63c	3.80c	21.66de	4.46e	1.3de	2.43e
S ₄ alone	21.66 b-d	7.8c	3.70c	21.33e	4.56de	1.33с-е	2.38e
S ₅ alone	21.00 cd	7.36c	3.73c	21.66de	4.63de	1.23e	2.57d
S ₁ +Fe	24.33 ab	9.33a	4.53b	22.66с-е	5.03bc	1.90a	3.24a
S ₂ +Fe	23.33 а-с	8.66ab	5.06a	26.333a	5.56a	1.60b	3.03b
S ₃ +Fe	23.00 а-с	8.86ab	4.63b	24.66b	5.13b	1.46b-d	2.86c
S ₄ +Fe	25.00a	8.76b	4.66b	23.00cd	5.1b	1.53b	2.91bc
S ₅ +Fe	22.00ad	8.83ab	4.70b	24.00bc	5.03bc	1.50bc	3.00bc

Table 1. Application of PGPR alone and in combination with Fe to improve growth and yield of chickpea.

*Means sharing the same letter do not differ significantly at p≤0.05

 Table 2. Application of PGPR alone and in combination with iron (Fe) on iron

 uptake indifferent plants parts of chickpea.

The sector sector	Fe Concentration (mg 100 g ⁻¹)				
Treatments —	Grains	Shoot	Root		
Neither iron nor inoculation	1.20g	0.66g	0.14g		
Fe alone	2.40f	1.80f	0.86f		
S ₁ alone	3.26de	2.23e	1.40ce		
S ₂ alone	3.30с-е	2.50cd	1.30e		
S ₃ alone	3.36b-e	2.26e	1.33de		
S ₄ alone	3.20e	2.36de	1.36ce		
S ₅ alone	3.40b-е	2.40b	1.30e		
S ₁ +Fe	3.60bc	2.73b	1.70a		
S ₂ +Fe	4.36a	3.16a	1.56ab		
S ₃ +Fe	3.50b-e	2.80b	1.50bc		
S ₄ +Fe	3.53b-d	2.70b	1.50bc		
S ₅ +Fe	3.63b	2.63bc	1.46b-d		

*Means sharing the same letter do not differ significantly at p≤0.05

Discussion

Iron deficiency is a major nutritional disorder throughout the world. Biofortification is a technique to produce the micronutrient-dense staple crops. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria have potential to fortify the iron content within edible plant parts through various mechanisms. In the present study, five bacterial isolates were tested alone and in combination with iron to increase iron concentration in edible parts of chickpea. The results of our study revealed that inoculation with PGPR isolates improved the plant growth, yield and Fe uptake. However, combined application of Fe and PGPR showed more pronounced results. Growth promotion by PGPR may be attributed to increased nitrogen fixation, Psolubilization, production of phytohormones and synthesis of organic acids (Remans et al., 2008). It has been reported that PGPR inoculation enhanced the root and shoot growth due to nitrogen fixation. Psolubilization as well as their ability to produce certain compounds (Salantur et al., 2006). PGPR improved the growth of chickpea plant by enhancing P-solubilization and indole acetic acid production (Yadav et al., 2010).

Moreover, siderophore production by rhizobacteria play important role in Fe nutrition of plant and thereby improve the plant growth. Husen (2003) found that siderophore produced by the bacterial isolates Bacillus cereus UW 85 and Azotobactervinelandii MAC 259 increased the plant growth. Inoculation of soybean and chickpea seed with siderophore producing fluorescent Pseudomonas improved the plant growth and yield (Kumar & Dube, 1992). Similarly, growth factors such as shoot and root dry weight were improved by siderophore producing strains in bean (Omidvari, 2010). Growth promotion and reduction in pathogenicity was observed due to siderophore production by Bacillus megaterium isolated from tea rhizosphere (Chakraborty et al., 2006). Gangwar & Kaur (2009) isolated the E. coli from rye grass and sugarcane which had ability to produce siderophore and ultimately improved the plant growth and yield. Moreover, it has been reported that soybean growth under non-sterilized soil condition improved due to siderophore production by PGPR (Cattelan et al., 1999).

In our study, results regarding Fe concentration in different plant parts (grain, shoot and root) showed that Fe contents were enhanced by PGPR application. It was observed that combined application of Fe and PGPR inoculation gave best results compared to all other treatments. This enhancement in iron content might be due to siderophore production by PGPR which made this combination more competitive than control and Fe application alone. Many bacteria release ferric ironspecific ligands, known as siderophores, under conditions of Fe scarcity help in binding and transport of iron (Abd-Alla, 1998). Sharma et al. (2013) found that application of PGPR improved iron translocation from root to grain through siderophore production. Similarly, inoculation of wheat seed with three cyanobacterial strains (CW₁, CW₂ and CW₃) and one bacterial strain (PW₅) improved the protein content of grain and micronutrients (Fe, Zn and Cu) concentration (Rana et al., 2012). Furthermore, increase in iron content mightbe due to over expression of ferritin genes. Previous reports showed that increasing capacity for iron storage in plants by over expressing ferritin genes that induced iron uptake mechanism, resulted in enhanced iron content (Goto & Yoshihara, 2001; Curie & Briat, 2003).

Conclusion

Inoculation with PGPR along with Fe increased the iron uptake and overall growth and yield of chickpea. Therefore, it can be concluded that application of Fe with rhizobacteria could be the most promising and cost effective strategy for improving the iron contents of chickpea. However, more work is still needed to reveal the exact mechanism of biofortification of micronutrients by rhizobacteria.

References

- Abd-Alla, M.H. 1998. Growth and siderophore production in vitro of *Bradyrhizobium* (Lupin) strains under iron limitation. *Eur. J. Soil. Biol.*, 34(2): 99-104.
- Anonymous. 2014. Economic Survey of Pakistan. Economic Advisory Wing, Finance Division, Islamabad, Pakistan.
- Ahemad, M. and M. Kibret. 2014. Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Current perspective. J. King Saud Univ. Sci., 26(1): 1-20.
- Benoist, B.D., E. Mclean, M. Andersson and L. Rogers. 2008. Iodine deficiency in 2007: Global progress since 2003. *Food Nutr. Bull.*, 9(3):195-202.
- Best, C., N. Neufingerl, J.M.D. Rosso, C. Transler, T.V.D. Briel and S. Osendarp. 2011. Can multi-micronutrient food fortification improve the micronutrient status, growth, health, and cognition of schoolchildren? A systematic review. *Nutr. Rev.*, 69(4): 186-204.
- Boukhalfa, H. and A.L. Crumbliss. 2002. Chemical aspects of siderophore mediated iron transport. *Biometals.*, 15(4): 325-339.
- Cattelan, A.J., P.G. Hartel and J.J. Fuhrmann. 1999. Screening for plant growth–promoting rhizobacteria to promote early soybean growth. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 63(6): 1670-1680.
- Chakraborty, U., B. Chakraborty and M. Basnet. 2006. Plant growth promotion and induction of resistance in *Camellia* sinensisby Bacillus megaterium. J. Basic. Microbiol., 46(3): 186-195.
- Curie, C. and J.F. Briat. 2003. Iron transport and signaling in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant. Biol., 54: 183-206.

- Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F-test. *Biometrics*, 11: 1-42.
- Goto, F. and T. Yoshihara. 2001. Improvement of micronutrient content by genetic engineering-development of high iron content crops. J. Plant. Biotech., 18(1): 7-15.
- Gangwar, M. and G. Kaur. 2009. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from endorhizosphere of sugarcane and ryegrass. *Int. J. Microbiol.*, 7:139-144.
- Husen, E. 2003. Screening of soil bacteria for plant growth promotion activities in vitro. Indo. J. Agri. Sci., 4(1):27-31.
- Kennedy, G., G. Nantel and P. Shetty. 2003. The scourge of "hidden hunger": Global dimensions of micronutrient deficiencies. *Food Nutr. Agric.*, 32: 8-16.
- Kumar, B.S.D. and H.C. Dube. 1992. Seed bacterization with a fluorescent *Pseudomonas* for enhanced plant growth, yield and disease control. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 24(6): 539-542.
- Murgia, I., P. Arosio, D.Tarantino and C. Soave. 2012. Biofortification for combating 'hidden hunger' for iron. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 17(1):47-55.
- Neilands, J.B. 1977. Siderophores: biochemical ecology and mechanism of iron transport in enterobacteria. In: Bioorganic chemistry II. (Ed.): Raymond, K.N. American Chemical Society, Washington D.C. pp. 322.
- Omidvari, M. 2010. Role of fluorescent pseudomonads siderophore to increase bean growth factors. J. Agric. Sci., 2(3): 242-247.
- Rana, A., M. Joshi, R. Prasanna, Y.S. Shivay and L. Nain. 2012. Biofortification of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. J. Soil Biol., 50: 118-126.
- Remans, R., L. Ramaekers, S. Schelkens, G. Hernandez, A. Garcia, J.L. Reyes, N. Mendez, V. Toscano, M. Mulling, L. Galvez and J. Vanderleyden. 2008. Effect of *Rhizobium–Azospirillum*coinoculation on nitrogen fixation and yield of two contrasting *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. genotypes cultivated across different environments in Cuba. *Plant Soil*, 312(1-2): 25-37.
- Salantur, A., A. Ozturk and S. Akten. 2006. Growth and yield response of spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) to inoculation with rhizobacteria. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 52(1): 111-118.
- Shah, T.M., Z. Iqbal, M.R. Asi and B.M. Atta. 2013. Induced genetic variability for fatty acids and oil contents in chickpea (*Cicerarietinum*). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 15: 419-426.
- Sharma, A., D. Shankhdhar and S.C. Shankhdhar. 2013. Enhancing grain iron content of rice by the application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Plant Soil Environ.*, 59(2): 89-94.
- Sheftela, A.D., A.B. Mason and P. Ponka. 2011. The long history of iron in the universe and in health and disease. Biochim. *Biophys. Acta, Gen Subj.*, 1820(3): 161-187.
- Soltanpour, P.N., A. Khan and W.L. Lindsay. 1976. Factors affecting DTPA- extractable Zn, Fe, Mn, and Cu from soils.*Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 7: 797-821.
- Steel, R.G.D., J.H. Torrie and D. Dickey. 1997. Principles and Procedure of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach 3rd Ed. McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. New York, pp. 352-358.
- Wolf, B. 1982. A comprehensive system of leaf analysis and its use for diagnosing crop nutrient status. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.*, 13: 1035-1059.
- Yadav, J., J.P. Verma and K.N. Tiwari. 2010. Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on seed germination and plant growth chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.) under in vitro conditions. *Biol. Forum -Int. J.*, 2(2): 15-18.
- Yip, R. 2002. Prevention and control of iron deficiency: policy and strategy issues. J. Nutr., 132(4): 802-805.

(Received for publication 13 April 2014)