

ALLELOPATHIC INFLUENCE OF RICE EXTRACTS ON PHENOLOGY OF VARIOUS CROPS AND WEEDS

RIAZ AHMAD AFRIDI¹, MUHAMMAD AZIM KHAN^{2*}, HASINA GUL¹ AND MUHAMMAD DAUD KHAN³

¹Agricultural Research Institute Peshawar

²Department of Weed Science, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, 25130 Pakistan

³Department of Biotechnology & Genetic Engineering, Kohat University of Science and Technology, KP

*Corresponding author: azim@aup.edu.pk

Abstract

In order to study the allelopathic effects of rice straw on different weeds and crops, a lab experiment was conducted at the Weed Science Laboratory, Institute of Plant Environmental Protection, National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad during 2007 with a factorial arrangement (species and extract concentration) to evaluate the allelopathic effect of various concentrations of rice straw extract on germination percent, mortality percent, and days to germination of different test plants. The rice plants (Basmati super) were collected from experimental fields of National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad. The samples were put into water for 48 hours after getting them cleaned, dried, and ground for obtaining the extract. The rice stems and leaves were used for the extraction and concentrations of 0, 50 and 100% rice straw extracts were used for the bioassay. A total of 90 Petri dishes were sterilized in autoclave at 110 -120°C for 1 hour. Two filter papers were kept in each Petri dish and ten seeds of each test plant were placed in each Petri dish. All the experimental Petri dishes were kept at room temperature of 20°C for 15 days. The results uncovered that 100% rice straw extract convincingly decreased the germination and growth of the test plants, in comparison with the 0 and 50% rice straw extracts. Among the crop plants, *Gossypium hirsutum*; and among the weeds, *Ipomoea batatas*, *Rumex dentatus* and *Convolvulus arvensis* were mostly affected by the rice straw extracts whereas *Helianthus annuus*, *Zea mays*, *Oryza sativa* and *Vigna radiata* were somewhat resistant to rice straw extract concentrations. Hence, it has been concluded from the results that rice straw can prove to be a good alternative and environment friendly bio-herbicide for weed management in crops.

Introduction

Allelopathy in crops may act as a biological weed control in the agro-ecosystem. Allelopathic can cause poor germination, impaired root growth and stunted shoot growth, however these symptoms can also have other causes apart from allelopathy. In practice, it is often quite difficult to distinguish true allelopathic effects. Neighbor plants are affected through leaching, root exudation, residue decomposition and other processes. A myriad of chemical compounds include toxic gases, organic acids, aromatic acids, unsaturated lactones, coumarins, quinones, flavonoids, tannins, alkaloids, terpenoids and steroids, etc. (Rice, 1984). In 1970s, accessions with an allelopathic effect have been found in crops such as beet, lupine, maize, wheat, oat, pea, barley, rye, and cucumber (Rice, 1984). Putnam & Duke (1974) screened a total of 538 accessions of cultivated and wild cucumber by pot and field tests, and several accessions inhibited the growth of weeds. Several oat accessions were found with a fluorescent microscope to exude a large amount of an allelochemical called scopoletin (Fay & Duke, 1977).

Weed species that are reported by Rizvi *et al.*, (1992) showing allelopathic properties are couch grass, creeping thistle and chickweed; in addition where they occur together they may have a synergistic negative effect on crops (Putnam & Duke, 1974). Allelopathy can be utilized in two ways for weed control i.e., in development of crops that suppress their associated weeds and secondly as natural herbicides. Moreover, allelopathy is one of the factors responsible for appearance and disappearance of species and changes in species dominance over time. Thus allelopathy may lead to monoculture but on the other hand, management of allelopathy may lead to biodiversity.

Weed seed longevity is related to allelopathy that happens with two mechanisms, firstly the chemical inhibitors in the seed may prevent seed decay induced by

microbes, and secondly the inhibitors keep the seeds dormant but viable for many years. The aggressive perennial weed species that quickly dominate may do so by allelopathic mechanisms (Fay & Duke, 1977). Some of the more aggressive perennial weed species including *Cirsium arvense*, *Sorghum halepense* and *Cyperus rotundus* impose allelopathic influences particularly through toxins released from their residues; *Digitaria sanguinalis* inhibit the nodulation of legumes (Rizvi *et al.*, 1992). Zain *et al.*, (2013) reported that *Pennisetum purpureum* extracts can be used as natural herbicide for weed management while aqueous extracts of maize possessed allelopathic effects which altered the physiology of soybean plants.

Therefore, keeping in view the importance of allelopathy in agro ecosystems, an experiment was conducted at National Agriculture Research Center (NARC), Islamabad Pakistan with the objectives to study the allelopathic effects of rice straw, to quantify the relative tolerance of different weeds and crop species to rice extracts, and to decipher the interaction of different extract concentrations and various crop and weed species.

Materials and Methods

An experiment was conducted at the Weed Science Laboratory, NARC Islamabad Pakistan during September 2007. Rice plants (Basmati super) were collected from experimental fields of the NARC Islamabad. The samples were cleaned, dried, ground and then put into water for 48 hours to obtain the extract. The stem + leaves of rice were used for extraction. The aqueous extract was prepared by adding 100g of ground rice straw (stem + leaves) into 1L distilled water for 48 hrs. The extract was filtered through muslin cloth and Whatman No 1 filter paper. The treatments of 0 (check), 50 and 100 percent aqueous extracts were used for the bioassay. For testing, 90 Petri

dishes were washed and dried which were then sterilized in autoclave at 110 -120°C for 1 hr. Two filter papers were kept in each Petri dish and ten seeds of each test plants were placed. All the experimental Petri dishes were kept at room temperature of 20°C for 15 days.

Test plants crops	Weeds
<i>Zea mays</i> (maize)	<i>Rumex dentatus</i> (Broad leaf dock)
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i> (cotton)	<i>Sorghum halepense</i> (Johnson grass)
<i>Vigna radiata</i> (mungbean)	<i>Echinochola crus-galli</i> (Barnyard grass)
<i>Helianthus annuus</i> (sunflower)	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> (Field bind weed)
<i>Oryza sativa</i> (cultivated rice)	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> (Ipomoea)

The following concentrations of the extract were used in the experiment.

- 1 = 0% (distilled water)
- 2 = 50% rice straw extract
- 3 = 100% rice straw extract

Each treatment was replicated three times in a completely randomized design with factorial arrangement (species and extract concentrations). The whole experiment was repeated once to confirm the findings. During the course of studies data were recorded on germination percentage (for which plants germinated in each treatment were counted and percentages were computed for each treatment), mortality percentage (for which plants killed/affected adversely during the duration of the experiment were counted and the percentage were computed), and germination percentage (for which the first germinated plants in each treatment were counted and the duration was recoded from the date of the start of the experiment till the date of initial germination).

Statistical analysis: The data for each parameter were subjected to the analysis of variance technique and the significant means were separated by Duncan's Multiple Range test (Steel & Torrie, 1980).

Results and Discussion

Germination percentage: The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences for rice straw extracts, test species, and their two and three-way interactions (Table 1a). The mean values exhibited that germination percentage was lowest (12%) in 100% rice straw extracts followed by 50% rice straw extract (21.5%) while in untreated check it was the highest (55.2%). Among the test plants, maximum (40.6%) germination was noticed in *V. radiata* while minimum (21.1%) germination was noticed in *C. arvensis*. Among Extract x species interactions highest germination was recorded in the check x *Z. mays* (69.3%) and *V. radiata* (68.3%); whereas lowest (5.0%) germination was recorded in 100% rice straw extract x *G. hirsutum*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis*. The mean values of the three-way interactions showed (Table 1b) that different concentrations of rice straw extract affected all the test plants. Among Runs x species x Extracts interactions the maximum germination was recorded in untreated check x *V. radiata* (73.3%), while the minimum (0%) germination was recorded in 100% rice straw extract x *G. hirsutum*, *I. batatas*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis*. This study shows that different concentrations of rice straw extract inhibited the growth and development of the *G. hirsutum*, *I. batatas*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis*. The reduction in germination in case of *G. hirsutum*, *I. batatas*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis* was more in 100% rice straw extract as compared to untreated check. Ibrahim *et al.*, (1999) stated that leaf and litter extracts of *E. camaldulensis* and *E. microthecia* delayed and inhibited germination of the *G. hirsutum* and other crops. Ahn *et al.*, (2005) declared rice extracts as a source of natural herbicide. There may be genetic differences among rice cultivars for allelopathic potential on weeds like barnyard grass etc. Aamer & Cheema (2004), Khanh *et al.*, (2005) and Park *et al.*, (2006) found synergistic effect on algal growth inhibition when added two or three phenolic compounds from the rice straw. The growth of *M. aeruginosa* was inhibited by rice straw extract concentrations. This activity was due to the synergistic effects of various phenolic compounds in the rice straw extracts. Shalini & Inderjit (2007) reported that rice extract may affect germination and growth of *P. minor* by changing soil chemical and biological properties.

Table 1a. Effect of rice straw extracts on extract x species means for germination percentage.

Tested plants	0	50%	100%	Test plant means
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	58.3 b	20.0 fgh	13.3 hi	30.6 c
<i>Oryza sativa</i>	65.0 a	21.7 fg	16.7 fghi	34.4 b
<i>Zea mays</i>	68.3 a	30.0 e	21.7 fg	40.0 a
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	68.3 a	31.7 e	21.7 fg	40.6 a
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	46.7 d	16.7 fghi	5.0 k	22.8 ef
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	53.3 bc	16.7 fghi	6.7 jk	25.6 de
<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	53.3 bc	23.3 f	11.7 ij	29.4 c
<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	48.3 cd	16.7 fghi	5.0 k	23.3 ef
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	43.3 d	15.0 ghi	5.0 k	21.1 f
<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	46.7 d	23.3 f	13.3 hi	27.8 cd
Extract means	55.2 a	21.5 b	12.0 c	

LSD_{0.05} for Test species = 3.408, LSD_{0.05} for Extracts = 1.866, LSD_{0.05} for Interaction = 5.902

Table 1b. Effect of rice straw extract on runs x species x extracts means for germination percentage.

Period	Tested plants	0	50%	100%
Run 1 st	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	60.0 cde	20.0 mno	16.7 mno
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	63.3 bcd	16.7 mno	16.7 mno
	<i>Zea mays</i>	70.0 ab	26.7 lm	23.3 mn
	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	63.3 bcd	26.7 lm	16.7 mno
	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	46.7 ghi	13.3 no	0.0 p
	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	50.0 fgh	10.0 o	0.0 p
	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	60.0 cde	23.3 mn	13.3 no
	<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	43.3 hij	13.3 no	0.0 p
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	46.7 ghi	10.0 o	0.0 p
	<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	53.3 efg	20.0 mno	13.3 no
Run 2 nd	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	56.7 def	20.0 mno	10.0 o
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	66.7 abc	26.7 lm	16.7 mno
	<i>Zea mays</i>	66.7 abc	33.3 kl	20.0 mno
	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	73.3 a	36.7 jk	26.7 lm
	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	46.7 ghi	20.0 mno	10.0 o
	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	56.7 def	23.3 mn	13.3 no
	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	46.7 ghi	23.3 mn	10.0 o
	<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	53.3 efg	20.0 mno	10.0 o
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	40.0 ijk	20.0 mno	10.0 o
	<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	40.0 ijk	26.7 lm	13.3 no

LSD_{0.05} value for Interaction = 8.347

Seedling mortality percentage: The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences for rice straw extracts, test species, experimental runs and their two and three-way interactions (Table 2a). The mean values indicated that maximum (80.0%) mortality was recorded in 100% rice straw extract while minimum (44.0%) mortality was recorded in untreated check. Variability was exhibited among the test plants. The maximum mortality was noticed in *G. hirsutum* (77.2%) and *C. arvensis* (77.8%) which was statistically at par with the *R. dentatus* (76.1%) and *I. batatas* (74.4%). The minimum mortality was recorded in *V. radiata* (58.9%) and *Z. mays* (60.0%). Among Extract x Species interactions the maximum (95.0%) mortality was recorded in 100% rice straw extract x *G. hirsutum*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis*, it was however statistically at par with the interaction of 100% extract involving *I. batatas* (93.3%) and *S. halepense* (88.3%). The minimum mortality was recorded in untreated check x *Z. mays* (31.7%) and *V. radiata*

(30.0%), which was at par with *H. annuus* (40.0%) and *O. sativa* (35.0%) under untreated check (Table 2a). The data on effect of different concentrations of rice straw extract on seedling mortality of different test plants (Table 2b) exhibited that all the rice straw extract concentrations had significant three-way interactions with Runs and species. Among Runs x Species x Extracts interaction the maximum (100%) mortality was recorded in 100% rice straw extract x *G. hirsutum*, *I. batatas*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis* test plants while minimum mortality was recorded in untreated check x *V. radiata* (26.7%). The results indicate that rice straw extract acted as growth inhibitor to different test species. Lesser inhibition was recorded in *Z. mays* and *V. radiata* while severe inhibition was recorded in *G. hirsutum*, *I. batatas*, *R. dentatus* and *C. arvensis* test plants. Muhammad *et al.*, (2009) and Velu *et al.*, (1996) stated that rice straw extract restricted the germination and growth of certain plants and caused mortality as well.

Table 2a. Effect of rice straw extracts on extract x species for mortality %age.

Tested plants	0	50%	100%	Test plant means
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	40.0 jk	80.0 def	86.7 bcd	68.9 de
<i>Oryza sativa</i>	35.0 kl	78.3 ef	83.3 cdef	65.6 e
<i>Zea mays</i>	31.7 l	70.0 gh	78.3 ef	60.0 f
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	30.0 l	68.3 h	78.3 ef	58.9 f
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	53.3 I	83.3 cdef	95.0 a	77.2 a
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	46.7 ij	83.3 cdef	93.3 ab	74.4 abc
<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	46.7 ij	76.7 fg	88.3 abc	70.6 cd
<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	50.0 I	83.3 cdef	95.0 a	76.1 ab
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	53.3 I	85.0 cde	95.0 a	77.8 a
<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	53.3i	76.7 fg	86.7 bcd	72.2 bcd
Extracts mean	44.0 c	78.5 b	88.0 a	

LSD_{0.05} for Test species = 3.745, LSD_{0.05} for Extracts = 2.051, LSD_{0.05} for Interaction = 6.487

Table 2b. Effect of rice straw extracts on runs x species x extracts means for mortality percentage.

Period	Tested plants	0	50%	100%
Run 1 st	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	36.7 klmn	80.0 bcd	83.3 bcd
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	36.7 klmn	83.3 bcd	83.3 bcd
	<i>Zea mays</i>	30.0 mn	73.3 def	76.7 cde
	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	33.3 lmn	73.3 def	83.3 bcd
	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	53.3 hi	86.7 bc	100.0 a
	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	50.0 hij	90.0 ab	100.0 a
	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	40.0 jklm	76.7 cde	86.7 bc
	<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	53.3 hi	86.7 bc	100.0 a
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	46.7 ijk	90.0 ab	100.0 a
	<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	46.7 ijk	80.0 bcd	86.7 bc
Run 2 nd	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	43.3 ijk	80.0 bcd	90.0 ab
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	33.3 lmn	73.3 def	83.3 bcd
	<i>Zea mays</i>	33.3 lmn	66.7 efg	80.0 bcde
	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	26.7 n	63.3 fg	73.3 def
	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	53.3 hi	80.0 bcd	90.0 ab
	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	43.3 ijkl	76.7 cde	86.7 bc
	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	53.3 hi	76.7 cde	90.0 ab
	<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	46.7 ijk	80.0 bcd	90.0 ab
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	60.0 gh	80.0 bcd	90.0 ab
	<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	60.0 gh	73.3 def	86.7 bc

LSD_{0.05} value for Interaction = 9.173

Days to germination: The analysis of variance revealed highly significant differences for rice straw extracts, test species, and their two and three-way interactions (Table 3a). The mean values indicated that maximum (5.6 days) days to germinations were recorded in 100% rice straw extract while minimum (3.3 days) days were recorded in untreated check. Among the test plants, maximum days to germination were recorded in *G. hirsutum* (5.4 days) and *R. dentatus* (5.2 days) while minimum days to germination were recorded in *Z. mays* (3.5 days) and *V. radiata* (3.9 days). Among Extract x Species interactions the maximum days to germination were recorded in 100% rice straw extract x *G. hirsutum* (6.8 days) and *R. dentatus* (6.3 days) while minimum days to germination were recorded in untreated check x *Z. mays* (2.3 days). The mean values (Table 3b) for Runs x Species x Extract interactions reveal the maximum days to germination as recorded in 100% rice straw extract x *G. hirsutum* (7.0 days) while minimum days to germination were recorded in untreated

check x *Z. mays* (2.0 days). The data pertaining to the variable under reference show that germination was affected by different concentrations of rice straw extract. It could be concluded from the results that delay in germination of the test plants was due to the fact that injury was caused by allelochemicals of the rice straw extract during the experiment; therefore, test plants took some time to overcome the effect. Similar results have been reported by Sharma & Mishra (1997) who stated that some plant allelochemicals caused injury in different plants species. Meena & Varshney (1998) and Leela (1995) stated that aqueous extracts of *C. rotundus* inhibited germination and growth of test plants. Castro *et al.*, (1983) also indicated that *C. rotundus* tuber caused injury in plants during germination time and also reduced the growth of crops. Similar results have been reported by Ibrahim *et al.*, (1999) who stated that leaf and litter extracts of *E. camaldulensis* and *E. microthecia* delayed and inhibited germination of the *G. hirsutum* and other crops.

Table 3a. Effect of rice straw extract on extract x species means for days to germination.

Tested plants	0	50%	100%	Test plant means
<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	3.2 jkl	4.3 ghi	5.0 defg	4.2 de
<i>Oryza sativa</i>	2.7 lm	4.3 ghi	5.3 cdef	4.1 de
<i>Zea mays</i>	2.3 m	3.7 ijk	4.5 fg	3.5 f
<i>Vigna radiata</i>	2.5 lm	4.2 ghi	5.2 cdef	3.9 ef
<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	4.0 hij	5.3 cdef	6.8 a	5.4 a
<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	3.3 jkl	4.3 ghi	5.3 cdef	4.3 de
<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	3.8 ijk	5.0 defg	6.0 bc	4.9 b
<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	4.0 hij	5.3 cdef	6.3 ab	5.2 ab
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	3.0 klm	4.7 efgh	5.5 bcde	4.4 cd
<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	3.7 ijk	5.0 defg	5.7 bcd	4.8 bc
Extract means	3.3 c	4.6 b	5.6 a	

LSD_{0.05} for Test species = 0.4277, LSD_{0.05} for Extracts = 0.2343, LSD_{0.05} value for Interaction = 0.7408

Table 3b. Effect of rice straw extracts on runs x species x extracts means for days to germination.

Period	Tested plants	0	50%	100%
Run 1st	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	3.3 hijk	4.7 efg	5.3 cdef
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	2.7 jkl	5.3 cdef	6.0 abcd
	<i>Zea mays</i>	2.7 jkl	4.3 fgh	4.7 efg
	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	2.3 kl	4.7 efg	4.7 efg
	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	4.3 fgh	5.7 bcde	6.7 ab
	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	3.3 hijk	4.7 efg	5.7 bcde
	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	3.7 ghij	5.3 fgh	6.3 abc
	<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	4.7 efg	5.7 bcde	6.3 abc
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	3.7 ghij	5.7 bcde	6.0 abcd
	<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	4.3 fgh	5.7 bcde	5.7 bcde
Run 2nd	<i>Helianthus annuus</i>	3.0 ijkl	4.0 fgghi	4.7 efg
	<i>Oryza sativa</i>	2.7 jkl	3.3 hijk	4.7 efg
	<i>Zea mays</i>	2.0 l	3.0 ijkl	4.3 fgh
	<i>Vigna radiata</i>	2.7 jkl	3.7 ghij	5.7 bcde
	<i>Gossypium hirsutum</i>	3.7 ghij	5.0 defg	7.0 a
	<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>	3.3 hijk	4.0 fgghi	5.0 defg
	<i>Sorghum halepense</i>	4.0 fgghi	4.7 efg	5.7 bcde
	<i>Rumex dentatus</i>	3.3 hijk	5.0 defg	6.3 abc
	<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	2.3 kl	3.7 ghij	5.0 defg
	<i>Echinochola crusgalli</i>	3.0 ijkl	4.3 fgh	5.7 bcde

LSD_{0.05} value for Interaction = 1.048**References**

- Aamer, I. and Z.A. Cheema. 2004. Influence of some plant water extracts on the germination and seedling growth of barnyard grass (*Echinochloa crusgalli* (L.) Beauv). *Pak. J. Sci. & Ind. Res.*, 47(3): 222-226.
- Ahn, J.K., S.J. Hahn, J.T. Kim, T.D. Khan and I.M. Chung. 2005. Evaluation of allelopathic potential among rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm for control of *Echinochloa crusgalli* P. Beauv in the field. *J. Crop Prot.*, 24(5): 413-419.
- Castro, P.R.C., J.D. Rodrigues, M.A. Moraes and V.L.M. Carvalho. 1983. Allelopathic effect of some plant extracts on the germination of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *Seed Abstr.*, 16(2): 64-68.
- Fay, P.K. and W.B. Duke. 1977. An assessment of allelopathic potential in *Avena* germplasm. *Weed Sci.* 25: 224-228.
- Ibrahim, E.E., H.A. Mohammad and A.F. Mustafa. 1999. Allelopathic effects of *Eucalyptus* and *Conocarpus* plantation on germination and growth of two *Sorghum* species. *Sudan J. Agric. Res.*, 2: 9-14.
- Khanh, T.D., M.I. Chung, T.D. Xuan and S. Tawata. 2005. The exploitation of crop allelopathy in sustainable agricultural production. *J. Agron. & Crop Sci.*, 191(3): 172-184.
- Leela, D. 1995. Allelopathic effects of purple nutsedge *Cyperus rotundus* L., tubers on growth of field crops. *Allelopathy J.*, 2(1): 89-92.
- Meena, L.R. and J.G. Varshney. 1998. Effect of purple nutsedge on germination and seedling growth of pigeon pea and kidney bean. *Allelopathy J.*, 5(2): 195-197.
- Muhammad, K., B.K. Muhammad, H. Mushir and A.G. Madiha. 2009. Weed management in wheat field (*Triticum aestivum*) using allelopathic crop water extracts. *Internation J. Agric. & Bio.*, 11(6): 751-755.
- Park, M.H., M.S Han, C.Y. Ahn, H.S. Kim, B.D. Yoon and H.M. Oh. 2006. Growth inhibition of bloom forming cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* by rice straw extract. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.*, 43(3): 307-312.
- Putnam, A. R. and W.B. Duke. 1974. Biological suppression of weeds: Evidence for allelopathy in accessions of cucumber. *Sci.*, 185: 370-72.
- Rice, E.L. 1984. *Allelopathy*. 2nd ed. Academic Press, London.
- Rizvi, S.J.H. and V. Rizvi. 1992. Exploitation of allelochemicals in improving crop productivity. In: (Eds.): S.J.H. Rizvi and V. Rizvi. *Allelopathy: Basic and applied aspects*. Chapman and Hall London. pp. 443-473.
- Shalini, K. and Inderjit. 2007. *Oryza sativa* straw restricts *Phalaris minor* growth allelochemicals or soil resource manipulation. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 43(5): 557-563.
- Sharma, S.N. and P.J. Mishra. 1997. Effect of weed control methods on weed, crop growth and yield of Indian Mustard *Brassica juncea* (L.) Czernj and Cosson varieties. *Envir. & Eco.* 15(3): 511-514.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics*. McGraw Hill Book Co., Inc. New York. 481. Pp.
- Velu, G., P.S. Srinivasan, A.M. Ali and S.S. Narwal. 1996. Phytotoxic effect of tree crops on germination and radical extension of legumes. *Allelopathy: field observations and methodology. Proc. Inter. Conf. Allelopath.* 1: 299-302.
- Zain, N.M.D., O.H. H. Yew, I. Sahid and C.T. Seng. 2013. Potential of napier grass (*Pennisetum purpureum*) extracts as a natural herbicide. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 45(6): 2095-2100.

(Received for publication 2 January 2013)