# STUDIES ON SOME HALOPHYTES OF PESHAWAR DISTRICT

M.S. SARIR, K.B. MARWAT\* AND J.K. KHATTAK

Department of Soil Science, N.W.F.P. Agricultural University, Peshawar.

### Abstract

Suaeda fruticosa and Desmostachya bipinnata, tolerating salinity, were the predominant halophytes in saline area of Peshawar District. Suaeda fruticosa, Desmostachya bipinnata, Cyperus laevigatus, Saccharum spontaneum and Cynodon dactylon having wide ecological range prefer saline and saline-sodic soils, while Acacia jacqumontii, Capparis decidua and Tamarix aphylla preferring saline-sodic soil have narrow ecological range.

### Introduction

Plants and soil characters are so interdependent that they become indicative of each other. A habitat under certain existing environment would permit plants adapted to the condition. The distribution of plants is governed by the chemical and physical nature of the soil in a geographical region. The soil plant relationship becomes so intimate that plants reflect the ecological conditions of the inhabited area. Hilgard (1911) and Kearney et al (1914) recognising this fact, used plants as indicators of salinity. Billings (1945) and Roberts (1950) observed the differences in the chemistry of soil under different plant communities. Chaudhri & Sheikh (1969) recorded plants of halophytic communities of the Punjab and Salim & Suhail (1970) reported some halophytes during their study on hydrophytes. Similarly Khattak & Khattak (1976) worked on soil plant relationship of salted soils of D.I. Khan. The present study was conducted to provide some information on the halophytes of Peshawar district.

## Material and Methods

Plants collected from saline areas (Anon., 1967) of Peshawar district in July 1973, were identified. Soil samples from the same locality were analysed using standard methods of USDA Hand-book-60 (Anon., 1954).

# Results and Discussion

Species listed in Table 1 were identified to be either salt tolerant or salt loving in the area with pH of the soil ranging from 7.2 to 9.9 under various plants. Suaeda and Desmostachya present in soils with total salts from 0.5 to 3.0 percent, represented a mere halophytic situation. The observation agree with El-Gably (1961) and Hussain (1974) who observed Suaeda to be mere halophytic species while Demostachya which

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Biology, University of Agriculture, Peshawar.

Table 1. Plant species in relation to soil physicochemical characteristics.

Name of Plant Specimen	Local Name	ECe X 103 mm hos/cm	Soluble Na %	Exchangeable Na %	Hď	Salt %	Textural Class
Suaeda fruticosa (L.) Fotsak	Zoza/Zmey	5.100	21-99	10-84	7.7-9.9	2.0-3.0	Silty-Sandy clay loam
Desmostachya bipinnata (L.) Stapf.	Poski Boti/ Sarmal	5-100	21-99	10-84	7.7-9.9	2.0-3-0	Silty-Sandy clay loam
Cyperus laevigatus (L.,) Clark	Azghaki	7-100	21-99	30-84	8.0-9.0	0.5-3.0	Silty-Sandy loam
Cyndon daetylon (L.) Pers.	Drub	7-100	55-99	32-80	8.6-0.6	1.5-3.0	Silty-Sandy Ioam
Acacia jacquemontii Benth.	Kiker	8.0-8.5	21-80	20-25	8.0-8.5	0.3-0.33	Silty clay
Capparis decidua (Forsk.) Edgato	Kira	8.0-8.5	74-80	20-25	8.0-8.5	0.3-0.33	Silty clay
Tamarix aphylla (L) karst.	Ghaz	8.2-13.0	45-74	15-20	7.2-8.0	0.3-0.61	Silty clay

is not a ture halophyte but was present due to high salt tolerance. *Tamarix*, *Capparis*, *Acacia*, *Cynodon*, *Cyperus* and *Saccharum spontaneum* present in relatively less saline area are salt tolerable species rather than true salt lover.

Bernstein (1958) reported *Cynodon* to tolerate upto 12 millimhos. Species like *Cyperus*, *Cynodon* and *Saccharum* do better at slight saline condition with moisture. They generally are the poineer species in such communities. *Tamarix* is a climax species of the salted areas of Peshawar valley. *Capparis* and *Acacia*, characteristic member of tropical thorny deciduous vegetation of the valley are salt tolerant and were present occasionally in the saline area. The kind and number of species present in an area depends upon the character of the soil. The absence of some of the halophytes is primarily due to severe biotic interference in the area. However, with unmanaged irrigation system and other edaphic, topographic factors, the kind and number of these halophyte may increase or decrease.

#### References

Anonymons 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. USDA Hand book No. 60.

Anonymons. 1967. Soil Survey Peshawar Valley. Soil Survey project of Pakistan. pp 115.

Bernstein, L. 1958. Salt tolarence of grasses and forage legumes. U.S.D.A. Bull. No. 194.

- Billings, W.D. 1945. The plant association of Garson Desert region Western Nevada. Bot. Stud., 7: 89-123.
- Chaudhri, I.I. and M.Y. Sheikh. 1969. Halophytic flora of saline and waterlogged areas of W. Pakistan. Agr. Pak., 20: 405-414.
- El-Gabaly, M.M. 1961. Studies on salt tolerance and specific ionic effects on plants. *Proc. Tehran Symp.* UNESCO, pp. 169.
- Hilgard, E.W. 1911. Soils, their formation, properties, composition and relations to climate and plant growth. The Macmillan Co. New York.
- Hassain, F. 1974. Phytoecological studies on some saline areas of Peshawar. M.Sc. Thesis, Univ. Peshawar.
- Kearney, J.H., L.J. Briggs and H.L. Shantz. 1914. Indicator significance of vegetation in tooels Valley, Utah. J. Agri. Res., 1: 365-417.
- Khattak J.K. and R.A. Khattak 1976. Appraisal of some salt effected soil of D.I. Khan District. Pak. J. Sci. Ind. Res., 19: 90-93.
- Robberts R.C. 1950. Chemical effects of salt tolerence shrubs on soil. Trans. 4th Int. Cong. Soil Sci., 1: 404-406.
- Salim, K.M. and B.A. Suhail, 1970. Water plants of Peshawar Valley. J. Uni. of Peshawar, 13: 23-24.