

APPRAISAL OF PRESSMUD AND INORGANIC FERTILIZERS ON SOIL PROPERTIES, YIELD AND SUGARCANE QUALITY

MUHAMMAD ALEEM SARWAR¹, MUHAMMAD IBRAHIM²,
MUHAMMAD TAHIR^{3*}, KAFEEL AHMAD⁴, ZAFAR IQBAL KHAN⁴
AND EHSAN ELAHI VALEEM⁵

¹*Sugarcane Res. Institute, Ayub Agricultural Res. Inst. (AARI), Faisalabad- Pakistan.*

²*Dept. of Environmental Sciences, Govt. College Univ., Faisalabad-38000, Pakistan.*

³*Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad-38040, Pakistan.*

⁴*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan.*

⁵*Department of Botany, Govt. Degree College Buffer Zone, Karachi-75850, Pakistan.*

Corresponding authors: aleemsarwar7500@yahoo.com; valeem@hotmail.com.

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted under semi-arid climatic conditions to evaluate the response of pressmud in combination with inorganic fertilizers and alone (only inorganic fertilizers) on the yield and sugarcane quality parameters besides a value-added product (locally called Gur). It is obvious from the results that inorganic fertilizer use (T₂) has increased the tillers per plant, number of millable canes, stripped cane yield, sugar yield and juice present cane by 38.95 %, 38.66 %, 51.96 %, 54.92 % and 21.9 % respectively, over the control (T₁). Similarly when higher dose of pressmud was applied in integration with inorganic fertilizers (in T₃), it increased total soluble solids, sucrose, purity, CSS and sugar recovery of juice by 7.83 %, 10.42 %, 2.80 %, 12.06 %, and 12.07 %, over the control (T₁). The studies concluded that higher doses of mineral fertilizers increased gur % juice but it did not improve gur quality as done by higher doses of organic manures. Soil properties were also investigated before and after crop harvest. Maximum fertilizer use efficiency (124.29) was recorded in T₂.

Introduction

Sugarcane is a long duration exhaustive crop (Paul *et al.*, 2005). It has been found that 85 tons of crops remove 122.24 and 142 kg NPK ha⁻¹, respectively from soil (Bokhtiar *et al.*, 2001). One of the main constraints for its good yield is its high nutritional requirements along with increased cost of fertilizers (Gholve *et al.*, 2001). Similarly, spiralling prices coupled with short availability of fertilizers (Khandagave, 2003) and depletion of available nutrients and organic matter due to continuous cane cropping with inorganic fertilizers (Kumar & Verma, 2002; Ibrahim *et al.*, 2008; Sarwar *et al.*, 2008a) necessitates the integrated use of organic and mineral fertilizer resources.

Pressmud can serve as a good source of organic manure (Bokhtiar *et al.*, 2001) an alternate source of crop nutrients and soil ameliorates (Razzaq, 2001). Dry matter, cane and sugar yields increase with increasing nitrogen and pressmud cake rates (Bangar *et al.*, 2000). The integrated use of pressmud and urea 1:1 ratio at 180 kg ha⁻¹ is beneficial for cane crop in calcareous soil (Sharma *et al.*, 2002). Filter cake increases cation exchange capacity for thirty months after its application (Rodella *et al.*, 1990) and its residual effect remains after four years (Viator *et al.*, 2002). Sharma *et al.*, (2002) recorded an increase in number of millable canes and yield when pressmud and urea were added in 1:1 ratio than pressmud alone. Tiwari *et al.*, (1999) noticed that application of pressmud at 6 t ha⁻¹ increased cane and sugar yield while its application at 4 t ha⁻¹ plus 5 kg *Azotobacter* ha⁻¹

produced analogous results to 6 t pressmud alone. Keeping in view the situation, the present investigations were performed to appraise and compare the efficiency of pressmud along with inorganic fertilizers.

Materials and Methods

Field trial was conducted at farmer's field to evaluate and explore the integrated role of inorganic fertilizers and pressmud in sugarcane. Early maturing cane variety HSF-240 was sown at 80,000 double bud sets (DBS) ha⁻¹ in deep trenches during the first week of March, 2005 and harvested in February, 2006. The same sowing and harvesting schedule was repeated during 2006-07 in randomized complete block design having three replications as tabulated in Table 1. Pressmud obtained from the nearby sugar factory, containing N₂ (2.30 %), P₂O₅ (1.35 %) and K₂O (0.75 %), was applied in field before sowing. Single super phosphate (SSP) and K₂SO₄ were applied at sowing in deep trenches while, urea was applied in three equal splits at 0, 45 and 90 days after plantation. Soil samples were collected before sowing and after harvesting to analyze its fertility status for pH, EC_e, organic matter (OM), organic carbon (OC), nitrogen (N₂), potassium (K), bulk density (BD), exchangeable sodium (Na), exchangeable calcium (Ca) by the methods described by Richard (1954) and phosphorus (P) by Olsen *et al.*, (1954).

Recommended plant protection measures like weeding, irrigation and hoeing *etc.* were carried out when considered necessary and all the cultural practices were same for all the treatments. The data regarding germination and tillering were noted after 45 and 90 days of sowing while number of millable canes, stripped cane and sugar yield at harvest. Three stools were selected randomly from each treatment to determine juice quality according to sugarcane Laboratory Manual for Queensland Sugar Mills (Anonymous, 1970). Similarly gur was prepared and analyzed according to methods described in Gur Monograph (Roy, 1951). The data thus collected were statistically analyzed according to procedures outlined by Steel & Torrie (1980).

Results and Discussion

Germination: The data indicated that difference in treatments were non significant for germination (Table 2). The maximum germination (47.96 %) was registered by T₃ followed by T₄ (47.92 %), T₅ (47.89 %), T₁ (47.70 %), T₆ (47.67 %) and T₂ (47.40 %) in descending order. The results indicated that cane sets' germination was not affected by different fertilizer treatments. However the lowest germination in T₂ might be due to higher dose of mineral nitrogen that depressed germinants by ammonia production due to urea hydrolysis (Verma *et al.*, 1985). These results are also confirmed by the findings of Majeedano *et al.*, (2003) who also recorded non-significant germination in treatments of fertilizer application.

Tillers per plant: The data indicated significant variation for tillering among all treatments (Table 2). T₂ produced highest tillers per plant (1.72) while T₁ produced the lowest values (1.05). The highest tillering in T₂ might be due to quick availability of inorganic nutrients as well as more space availability due to presence of less germinant in it. Similarly the lowest tillers per plant in T₁ (control) was due to non availability of nutrients as no fertilizer was applied. These discussions are in harmony with those elucidated by Aslam & Chattha, (2005).

Table 1. Treatments description.

Treatments	Organic source pressmud (PM) Mg ha ⁻¹	Inorganic sources
T ₁	0	0
T ₂	0	168-112-112 NPK kg ha ⁻¹
T ₃	7.3 Mg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 100 % N ₂ through PM (168-98.5-54.74 NPK kg ha ⁻¹)	0-13.5-57.25 NPK kg ha ⁻¹
T ₄	5.48 Mg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 75 % N ₂ through PM (126-74-41 NPK kg ha ⁻¹)	42-38-71 NPK kg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 25 % N ₂ through inorganic source
T ₅	3.65 Mg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 50 % N ₂ through PM (84-49.28-27.38 NPK kg ha ⁻¹)	84-62.72-84.62 NPK kg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 50 % N ₂ through inorganic source
T ₆	1.83 Mg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 25 % N ₂ through PM (42-24.70-13.73 NPK kg ha ⁻¹)	126-87.3-98.27 NPK kg ha ⁻¹ i.e. 75 % N ₂ through inorganic source

Number of millable canes: The data pertaining to number of millable cane elucidated that all treatments varied significantly among each other (Table 2). The maximum millable canes were recorded in T₂ (114,000/ha) while minimum in T₁ (70,000/ha) T₃ and T₄ were statistically at par with each other. The maximum number of canes in T₂ was due to high tillering as well as ready availability of mineral fertilizers. These results are similar to the findings of Hussain *et al.*, (2005) who, in a fertilizer experiment, proved that higher tillering gave rise to higher number of millable canes.

Stripped cane yield: Statistically significant differences for cane yield among various treatments were confirmed (Table 2). Thus a trend similar to the tillers per plant and number of millable canes was observed. The highest canes were yielded by T₂ (93.77 Mg ha⁻¹) and it was followed by T₆ (86.67 Mg ha⁻¹), T₅ (83.81 Mg ha⁻¹), T₄ (72.32 Mg ha⁻¹) T₃ (70.65 Mg ha⁻¹) and T₁ (45.05 Mg ha⁻¹) in descending order. The results indicated that highest tillering and highest cane count produced maximum cane yield. These results are also supported by Perumal (1999) who concluded that inorganic fertilizer increased cane yield while comparing organic and inorganic fertilizers (Viator *et al.*, 2002).

Sugar yield: It is obtained by multiplication of CCS with cane yield. Significant differences in sugar yield were found. Maximum sugar yield (12.40 Mg ha⁻¹) was recorded in T₂ and it was followed by T₅ (11.56 Mg ha⁻¹), T₆ (11.55 Mg ha⁻¹), T₄ (10.13 Mg ha⁻¹), T₃ (9.96 Mg ha⁻¹) and T₁ (5.59 Mg ha⁻¹). T₃ and T₄ as well as T₅ and T₆ were statistically at par with each other (Table 2). El-Geddawi *et al.*, (1988) concluded that higher cane yield produced higher sugar also. Similarly, Ahmed *et al.*, (1998) observed that sugar yield increased with increasing plant density and nitrogen rate.

FUE: The data regarding fertilizer use efficiency (FUE) presented in (Table 2) indicated that T₂ showed the highest FUE (124.29 kg kg⁻¹) compared with other treatments. The comparison with control also indicated that FUE had increased with increasing the amount of pressmud in the treatments.

Total soluble solids: A glance at data given in Table 3, it is evident that total soluble solids differed statistically from each other. The maximum value (21.70 %) was obtained in T₃ where no mineral nitrogen, but all organic nitrogen was applied. T₄ and T₅ were

statistically at par with it. However the use of heavy dose of mineral nitrogen decreased total soluble solids as in T₂ (21.30%). This might be due to dilution caused by heavy dose of mineral nitrogen or due to increase in ash in cane juice. Similar findings were claimed by Bangar *et al.*, (1994) who proved that increasing pressmud cake increased juice brix.

Table 2. Effect of pressmud and inorganic fertilizers on agronomic characteristics of cane crop.

Treatments	Germination (%)	Tillers per plant	No. of millable canes (000/ha)	Stripped cane yield (Mg ha ⁻¹)	Sugar yield (Mg ha ⁻¹)	FUE (kg kg ⁻¹ of NPK)
T ₁	47.70	1.05d	70e	45.05d	5.59d	-
T ₂	47.40	1.72a	114a	93.77a	12.40a	124.29
T ₃	47.96	1.12d	72de	70.65c	9.96c	65.31
T ₄	47.92	1.15cd	76d	72.32c	10.13c	69.57
T ₅	47.89	1.32bc	87c	83.81b	11.56b	98.88
T ₆	47.67	1.42b	92b	86.67b	11.55b	106.17
LSD at 5 %	N.S.	0.199	4.881	4.881	0.695	

Sucrose: A perusal of tabulated data indicated almost similar trend as that of total soluble solids. The maximum sucrose (18.81 %) was obtained in T₃ and it was statistically at par with T₄, while T₄ and T₅ were also statistically at par. Similarly T₆ and T₂ were statistically at par. The results showed that organic nitrogen increased sucrose while inorganic depressed it. Bangar *et al.*, (1994) also reached similar conclusion that fertilizer nitrogen decreased sucrose.

Purity: Statistically significant and maximum purity was obtained in T₃ (86.68 %) and T₄ and T₅ were also statistically at par with it. Similarly T₆, T₂ and T₁ were also at par. The similar trend of highest and lowest values was recorded as in total soluble solids and sucrose as indicated by Mohammad (1989), that decreases in purity percentage depended upon sucrose and brix values. Similar conclusion was drawn by Bangar *et al.*, (1994) while comparing organic nitrogen fertilizer with pressmud.

CCS and sugar recovery: These two parameters are directly proportional to each other because sugar recovery is calculated from CCS. Here the similar trend of maximum and minimum values was recorded as in case of total soluble solids, sucrose and purity. T₃ produced maximum CCS and sugar recovery (14.10 and 13.26) while T₂ ranked second lowest before control. These results are in conformity with the findings of Bangar *et al.*, (1994).

Ash: The results regarding ash% Juice and ash % gur are depicted in Table 2 and Table 3. Non significant differences were recorded for ash % (juice) indicating the maximum ash% in T₂ (0.58) where only inorganic fertilizers were applied. The increasing dose of organic fertilizers decreased ash % in juice. Similar but significant trend was recorded for ash % gur. This showed that higher concentration of ash in juice also increased ash in gur and higher concentration of ash in juice was directly proportional to higher doses of mineral fertilizers. These results of ash % juice are contrary to Bangar *et al.*, (1994) who concluded an increase in ash with increasing pressmud. But these results are analogous to Hussain *et al.*, (2007) who proved that higher concentration of ash in gur was due to its higher concentration in juice.

Table 3. Effect of pressmud and inorganic fertilizers on agronomic characteristics of cane crop.

Treatments	Total soluble solids (%)	Sucrose (%)	Purity (%)	CCS (%)	Sugar recovery (%)	Ash %	Reducing sugars (%)	Juice % cane
T ₁	20.00d	16.85d	84.25c	12.40c	11.66c	0.25	0.63	52.03e
T ₂	21.30c	17.96c	84.32b	13.22b	12.43b	0.58	0.52	66.66a
T ₃	21.70a	18.81a	86.68a	14.10a	13.26a	0.29	0.34	54.28de
T ₄	21.60ab	18.70ab	86.57a	14.01a	13.17a	0.35	0.40	57.21cd
T ₅	21.53ab	18.50b	85.92a	13.80a	12.97a	0.42	0.45	61.55bc
T ₆	21.45bc	18.10c	84.39b	13.33b	12.53b	0.53	0.49	64.58ab
LSD at 5 %	0.181	0.263	1.304	0.315	0.293	NS	NS	4.881

Reducing sugars: The data relating reducing sugars in juice and gur is described in Table 3 and Table 4. Non significantly varied reducing sugars in juice showed that highest percentage of reducing in juice showed that the highest percentage of reducing sugars (0.63%) was recorded in T₁ (control) while the minimum in T₃ (0.34%). The higher reducing sugars in T₁ were due to un-ripened cane as well as low recovery, while the lower reducing sugars in T₃ (0.34%) were due to higher purity, CCS and sugar recovery. Nearly similar trend was studied by Hussain *et al.*, (2007) in their studies when they found that higher reducing sugars in juice also increased its concentration in gur.

Table 4. Effect of pressmud and inorganic fertilizers on gur quality.

Treatments	Gur % cane	Gur % Juice	Ash	Reducing sugars (%)
T ₁	9.89d	19.01d	2.05c	4.01a
T ₂	13.51a	20.27c	2.70a	3.65b
T ₃	11.21c	20.66a	2.53ab	3.05d
T ₄	11.76bc	20.55ab	2.36abc	3.17d
T ₅	12.61ab	20.48ab	2.30bc	3.29cd
T ₆	13.81a	20.40bc	2.12c	3.49bc
LSD at 5%	1.045	0.182	0.359	0.293

Juice % cane: A perusal of data given in Table 3 indicated that the maximum juice % cane was extracted from T₂ (66.66 %) and it was statistically at par with T₆ (64.58 %). T₁ (control) produced lowest juice % cane (52.03). These results revealed that cane yield and juice % cane had direct relation with each other as higher cane yield supported higher juice % cane and *vice versa*. Hussain *et al.*, (2007) also studied variable juice % cane in different varieties.

Gur % cane and juice: Significant differences among all treatments were noticed for these two parameters. Maximum gur % cane was notice in T₂ (13.51 %) and was followed by T₆ (13.18 %), T₅ (12.61 %), T₄ (11.76 %) T₃ (11.21 %) and T₁ (9.89 %) in descending order (Table 4). Similarly maximum gur % juice was registered by T₃ (20.66 %) and it was followed by T₄ (20.55 %), T₅ (20.48 %), T₆ (20.40 %), T₂ (20.27 %) and T₁ (19.01 %) in descending order. Hussain *et al.*, (2007) also investigated such studies.

Soil analysis: The physio-chemical properties of soil after crop harvest are described in Table 5. The results of P revealed that highest P was found in the inorganic fertilizer application treatment. It was so justified because P from inorganic source was readily available. Among

the organic manure treatments, results varied but were quite insignificant. As far as K was concerned, its concentration showed variation but it remained non significant. Organic carbon, organic matter and N concentration were interlinked and were well justified with the highest value in that treatment which had 100 % N by organic matter followed by those of 75 % and 50 % N by organic source. 100 % organic source application created a sound decreased in bulk density and thus helped in making soil structure better. The pH had no significant effect but a little decrease of pH was found in those treatments where PM was applied. Exchangeable Na also increased with application of treatments but this change was significant in synthetic fertilizer applied treatments while on treatment had lesser increase as compared to T₂. Exchangeable Ca increased with application of treatments but it was significant in PM application treatment while synthetic fertilizer also raised this concentration but it was not significant as compared to PM treatments (Sarwar *et al.*, 2008b).

Table 5. Physio-chemical characteristics of soil after crop harvest.

Treatment	OM (%)	OC (%)	N (%)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	pH	EC _e (dsm ⁻¹)	Exh. Na (ppm)	Exch. Ca (ppm)	BD Mg/m ³
T ₁	0.88	0.51	0.044	5.9ab	110bc	7.7	0.46	119bc	112e	1.45
T ₂	0.88	0.51	0.044	6.1a	115ab	7.8	0.42	155cd	130d	1.48
T ₃	1.15	0.67	0.058	6.1a	120a	7.7	0.40	111d	158a	1.39
T ₄	1.08	0.63	0.054	5.8ab	110bc	7.6	0.39	121b	148b	1.39
T ₅	1.01	0.59	0.051	5.7b	100d	7.8	0.39	128a	141c	1.40
T ₆	0.99	0.58	0.050	5.7b	105cd	7.7	0.47	130a	139c	1.41
LSD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	0.3404	5.458	NS	NS	5.529	5.893	NS

OM=organic matter; OC=organic carbon; Exh.Na=exchangeable Na; Exh. Ca=exchangeable Ca; BD=bulk density.

Conclusion

Incorporating pressmud into the soil had increased the sugar yield and cane juice quality. For the study period, the bulk density was the minimum in T₄. The mean soil organic carbon concentrations ranged between 0.51 to 0.63 %. It might be assumed that the application of pressmud had increased the OC concentrations in soil along with improving the soil conditions. Additional investigations are needed to compare the direct effects of pressmud on cane juice quality and yield under diverse ecological conditions. Long term studies with the employment of pressmud and other organic sources may prove the worth in soil properties management.

References

- Anonymous. 1970. *Sugarcane Laboratory Manual for Queensland Sugar Mills*. Bureau of Sugar Experimental Station, Queensland 2, 9th Edition.
- Aslam M. and A.A. Chattha. 2005. Contribution of potash in increasing sugarcane productivity. *Pak. Sug. J.*, 20(1): 6-8.
- Bangar, K.S., A. Maini and S.R. Sharma. 1994. Effect of fertilizers nitrogen and pressmud cake on growth, yield and quality of sugarcane. *Crop Research (Hisar)*, 8(1): 23-27.
- Bangar, K.S., B.B. Parmar and A. Maini. 2000. Effect of nitrogen and pressmud application on yield and uptake of N, P and K by sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.). *Crop Research (Hisar)*, 19(2): 198-203.
- Bokhtiar, S.M., G.C. Paul, M.A. Rashid and A.B.M. Rahman. 2001. Effect of pressmud and organic nitrogen on soil fertility and yield of sugarcane grown in high Ganges river flood plain soils of Bangladesh. *Indian Sugar*, L1: 235-240.

- El-Geddawi, I.H., T.M. Fayed, M. A. Douh and F.A. Abd El-Latif. 1988. The effect of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers on yield of cane and sugar of sugarcane. *Pak. Sug. J.*, 2(1): 12-21.
- Gholve, S.G., S.G. Kumbhar and D.S. Bhoite. 2001. Recycling of various conventional and non conventional organic sources in adsali sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) planted with different patterns. *Indian Sugar*, L1(1): 23-27.
- Hussain, F., M.A. Sarwar and A.A. Chattha. 2007. Screening of some sugarcane genotypes for gur quality. *J. Anim. Plant Sci.*, 17: 76-78.
- Hussain, G.M.A., A.C. Barma, K. Mahmud, M.S. Arefin and M.S. Islam. 2005. Nutrient requirement of sugarcane potato intercropping system in the high Ganges river flood plain soil of Bangladesh. *Pak. Sug. J.*, 20(2): 2-7.
- Ibrahim, M., A. Hassan, M. Iqbal and E.E. Valeem. 2008. Response of wheat growth and yield to various levels of compost and organic manure. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40: 2135-2141.
- Khandavave, R.B. 2003. Influence of organic and inorganic manure on sugarcane and sugar yield. *Indian Sugar*, 52: 981-989.
- Kumar, V. and K.S. Verma. 2002. Influence of use of organic manures in combination with inorganic fertilizers on sugarcane and soil fertility. *Indian Sugar*, L11(3): 177-181.
- Majeedano, H.I., Y.J. Minhas, A.D. Jarwar., S.D. Tunio and H.K. Puno. 2003. Effect of potash levels and methods of application in sugarcane yield. *Pak. Sug. J.*, 18: 17-19.
- Mohammed, B.D. 1989. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer and harvest time on yield and quality of sugarcane. M.Sc. Thesis, Agron. Dept. Assiut University, Egypt.
- Olsen, S.R., C.V. Cole, F.S. Watanable and L.A. Dean. 1954. Estimation of aqualiable phosphorus in soils by extraction with Sodium Bicarbonate. *USDA Circular*, 939. 19.
- Paul, G.C., S.M. Bokhtiar, H. Rehman, R.C. Kabiraj and A.B.M.M. Rahman. 2005. Efficacies of some organic fertilizers on sustainable sugarcane production in old Himalayan piedmont plain soil of Bangladesh. *Pak. Sug. J.*, 20(1): 2-5.
- Perumal, K.R. 1999. Comparative quality of cane and natural sugar of cane grown with organic and chemical base. *Proc. 61st Annual Convention of Sugar Technologists Association of India, New Delhi, India*, 7-9 Sept.
- Razzaq, A. 2001. Assessing sugarcane filtercake as crop nutrients and soil health ameliorant. *Pak. Sug. J.*, 21(3): 15-18.
- Richards, L.A. 1954. *Diagnosis and improvements of saline and alkali soils*. USDA-SCS Agric. Handbook No.60. US Govt. Printing Office Washington, DC, USA.
- Rodella, A.A., L.C.F.DA. Silva and J.O. Filho. 1990. Effect of filter cake application on sugarcane yields. *Turrialba*, 40: 323-326.
- Roy, S.C. 1951. *Gur Monograph*. Queen Victoria Road, New Delhi. pp 285-88.
- Sarwar, G., H. Schmeisky, N. Hussain, S. Muhammad, M. Ibrahim and E. Safdar. 2008a. Improvement of soil physical and chemical properties with compost application in rice-wheat cropping system. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40: 275-282.
- Sarwar, G., N. Hussain, H. Schmeisky and S. Muhammad, M. Ibrahim and S. Ahmad. 2008b. Efficiency of various organic residues for enhancing rice-wheat production under normal soil conditions. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 40: 2107-2113.
- Sharma, B.L., S. Singh, S. Sharma, V. Prakash and R.R. Singh. 2002. Integerated response of pressmud cake and urea on sugarcane in calcareous soil. *Cooperative Sugar*, 33(12): 1001-1004.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. *Principles and procedures of statistics*. McGraw Hill Book Co. New York. U.S.A.
- Tiwari, R.J. and G.K. Nema. 1999. Response of sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*) to direct and residual effect of pressmud and nitrogen. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 69: 644-646.
- Verma, R.S., M.P. Motiwale and R.N. Singh. 1985. Nitrogen application to sugarcane intercropped with potato. *Sugarcane*, 5: 1-3.
- Viator, R.P., J.L. Kovar and W.B. Hallmark. 2002. Gypsum and compost effects on sugarcane root growth, yield, and plant nutrients. *Agron. J.* 94: 1332-1336.