

## ROLE OF PROLINE, K/Na RATIO AND CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT IN SALT TOLERANCE OF WHEAT (*TRITICUM AESTIVUM* L.)

M.A. KHAN, M.U. SHIRAZI, MUHAMMAD ALI KHAN, S.M. MUJTABA, E. ISLAM, S. MUMTAZ, A. SHEREEN, R.U. ANSARI AND M. YASIN ASHRAF\*

*Plant Physiology Division, Nuclear Institute of Agriculture, Tandojam, Pakistan.  
Plant Stress Physiology Lab, Nuclear Institute for Agriculture & Biology (NIAB),  
Jhang Road, Faisalabad, Pakistan.*

### Abstract

Studies to determine the role of proline, K/Na ratio and chlorophyll contents in salt tolerance of wheat genotypes were conducted in lysimeters using hydroponics technique. Seeds were allowed to germinate under normal condition ( $1.5 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ ) and salinity treatment of  $12 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$  was imposed after one week of germination. Crop was irrigated at the interval of two weeks or whenever required with  $1/4^{\text{th}}$  Hoagland nutrient solution of respective concentrations. Results clearly indicated that wheat genotypes with higher proline, K/Na ratio and chlorophyll contents had higher grain yield. On the basis of yield reduction, three genotypes viz. Lu-26s, Sarsabz and KTDH were found tolerant. These genotypes also maintained the higher concentration of proline, K/Na ratio and chlorophyll contents under saline conditions.

### Introduction

High soil salinity is one of the important environmental factors that limit distribution and productivity of major crops (Ashraf *et al.*, 2005; Chandan *et al.*, 2006). Agricultural productivity in arid and semiarid regions of the world is very low due to accumulation of salts in soils (Ashraf *et al.*, 2002; Munns, 2002). Saline medium causes many adverse effects on plant growth, which is due to low osmotic potential of soil solution (osmotic stress) specific ion effects (salt stress), nutritional imbalance or a combination of these factors (Marschner, 1995, Ashraf, 2004). All these factors cause adverse effects on plant growth and development at physiological and biochemical activities (Ashraf & Sarwar, 2002; Munns & James, 2003).

Wheat is the major cereal crop of Pakistan, which is grown all over the country. It is grown to meet the food demand of over growing population of Pakistan, but per hectare yield of wheat is far below than its yield potential, which may be due to different reason i.e., lack of proper water and nutrient managements, unavailability of fertile soils, salinity, water logging and drought. In Pakistan, salinity is a serious threat for wheat production. The most of underground water utilized for wheat cropping is brackish, however, some areas are irrigated with canal water but having lack of drainage system both the irrigation systems are increasing the soil salinity problem in the country due to which heavy losses in crop yields are reported (Khan *et al.*, 2006). The wheat crop is a moderately salt tolerant (Khan *et al.*, 2004) and for screening or developing salt tolerant wheat varieties, physiological and biochemical studies are necessary to identify the physiological and biochemical markers. By using these markers available wheat germplasm can be screened for salt tolerance or by incorporating them new high yielding salt tolerant wheat varieties can be developed. This is essential to fulfill the wheat grain yield demands of ever-growing population of Pakistan.

---

\*E-mail: niabmyashraf@hotmail.com

Plants differ genetically in their response to salt stress (Ahmed *et al.*, 2005). Different mechanisms of salt tolerance by plants have been suggested by different workers (Flowers & Hajibagheri, 2001; Gorham, 1994; Schachtman & Munns, 1992). Keeping in view the importance of wheat and salinity, present study has been planned to examine the role of proline, K/Na ratio and chlorophyll content in salt tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.).

## Material and Methods

The experiment was conducted in lysimeters (cemented tanks), filled with river sand. The growing media was irrigated by 1/4<sup>th</sup> strength Hoagland solution, salinized by commercial NaCl salt to attain salinity level of 1.5 dS/m (control) and 12.0 dS/m. Six wheat genotypes viz., Lu-26s, Sarsabz, KTDH-22, V-7012, Khirman and Bakhtawar were sown in a randomized manner with three replicates. Growth observations were recorded at the time of maturity. Plant samples (flag leaves) were analyzed for soluble salts (Na, K and K/Na ratio), after extraction with 0.1 M. Acetic acid as described by Ansari & Flowers, (1986). Fresh leaves samples at flowering stage were analyzed for Chlorophyll (Lichtenthaler, 1987) and proline contents (Bates *et al.*, 1973).

## Results

Leaf chemical analysis of different wheat genotypes indicated that sodium (Na) contents increased under saline condition (Table 1). The genotypes Lu-26s Sarsabz and KTDH had comparatively less sodium contents than V-7012, Khirman and Bakhtawar. Results also indicated that Check wheat genotype (Lu-26s) had minimum Na contents than that of Sarsabz and KTDH-22 while potassium (K) contents reduced due to increase in salinity in all the wheat genotypes (Table 1). However, genotypes Lu-26s, Sarsabz and Khirman maintained higher potassium contents than others under saline conditions. The increase in sodium contents resulted a decrease in K/Na ratio in all the wheat genotypes (Table 1). However, salt tolerant check genotype (Lu-26s) showed minimum reduction in K/Na ratio which was closely followed by Sarsabz and KTDH-22.

Biochemical analysis of leaves of different wheat genotypes for proline accumulation and chlorophyll contents indicated that proline accumulation increased and chlorophyll contents decreased under saline condition (Table 2). Wheat genotypes Sarsabz, Lu-26s and KTDH showed higher accumulation of proline than others (Table 2). Similarly minimum reduction in chlorophyll content was noted in Sarsabz, Lu-26s and KTDH. Maximum chlorophyll contents were maintained by Sarsabz and KTDH followed by Lu-26s.

Grain yield of different wheat genotypes was significantly influenced by the salinity (Table 2). The genotype Lu-26s showed minimum reduction, when compared with control, whereas maximum reduction over control was recorded in Khirman. The genotypes Sarsabz and KTDH were successful in maintaining grain yield more than 60% under salinity stress (12 dS/m).

**Table 1. Effects of salinity on sodium (Na), potassium (K) contents and K/Na ratio in different wheat genotypes.**

| S.No. | Variety    | Control                 |        |       | Saline                  |        |       |
|-------|------------|-------------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--------|-------|
|       |            | Na                      | K      | K/Na  | Na                      | K      | K/Na  |
|       |            | mg g <sup>-1</sup> D.W. |        |       | mg g <sup>-1</sup> D.W. |        |       |
| 1.    | Lu-26s     | 0.18                    | 2.19   | 12.20 | 1.36                    | 1.43   | 1.05  |
| 2.    | Sarsabz    | 0.18                    | 2.41   | 13.17 | 1.57                    | 1.56   | 0.83  |
| 3.    | KTDH-22    | 0.16                    | 2.67   | 16.74 | 1.72                    | 1.44   | 0.69  |
| 4.    | V-7012     | 0.20                    | 2.20   | 12.03 | 2.74                    | 1.20   | 0.43  |
| 5.    | Khirman    | 0.16                    | 2.15   | 12.49 | 2.50                    | 1.30   | 0.56  |
| 6.    | Bakhtawar  | 0.15                    | 2.18   | 14.43 | 2.81                    | 1.20   | 0.43  |
|       | Mean       | 0.17                    | 2.30   | 12.20 | 2.12                    | 1.36   | 0.67  |
|       | LSD (0.05) | 0.0919                  | 0.1404 | 1.084 | 0.0919                  | 0.1404 | 1.084 |

**Table 2. Effect of salinity on accumulation of proline, total chlorophyll and grain yield of different wheat genotypes.**

| S. No. | Variety    | Control                             |  |                           | Saline                              |  |                           |
|--------|------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
|        |            | Proline<br>μmol g <sup>-1</sup> F.W | Total<br>chlorophyll<br>mg g <sup>-1</sup> | Yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup> | Proline<br>μmol g <sup>-1</sup> F.W | Total<br>chlorophyll<br>mg g <sup>-1</sup> | Yield kg ha <sup>-1</sup> |
| 1.     | Lu-26s     | 5.02                                | 2.96                                       | 3503                      | 19.37                               | 2.43                                       | 2728                      |
| 2.     | Sarsabz    | 4.93                                | 3.08                                       | 3747                      | 22.77                               | 2.56                                       | 2458                      |
| 3.     | KTDH-22    | 5.17                                | 3.07                                       | 3298                      | 18.31                               | 2.41                                       | 2017                      |
| 4.     | V-7012     | 5.07                                | 2.75                                       | 2906                      | 10.21                               | 1.51                                       | 1458                      |
| 5.     | Khirman    | 5.15                                | 2.79                                       | 3684                      | 11.32                               | 1.62                                       | 1358                      |
| 6.     | Bakhtawar  | 5.03                                | 2.69                                       | 2345                      | 10.60                               | 1.62                                       | 1195                      |
|        | Mean       | 5.06                                | 2.89                                       | 3247                      | 15.43                               | 2.03                                       | 1869                      |
|        | LSD (0.05) | 0.993                               | 0.2056                                     | 429.7                     | 0.993                               | 0.2056                                     | 429.7                     |

**Discussion**

Sodium contents increased due to salinity in all wheat genotypes however genotypes Bakhtawar and Khirman maintained the highest leaf Na concentration which is closely followed by V-7012. Minimum Na content was recorded by Lu-26s followed by Sarsabz and KTDH (Table 1). The low sodium accumulation in Lu-26s, Sarsabz and KTDH-22 indicated that these varieties were more tolerant than those which translocated maximum Na in leaves. It is well established fact that Na is a toxic element whose higher concentration disturbs the different metabolic activities (Akram *et al.*, 2007). The varieties which were successful in retaining the Na in the root were tolerant (Khan *et al.*, 1990; Akram *et al.*, 2007). Based on these reports, it may be concluded that genotypes Lu-26s, Sarsabz and KTDH-22 maintained less amount of Na in their leaves and hence were tolerant to salinity.

In studies where salinity is developed with NaCl, a focus has been the transport systems that are involved in the utilization of Na as an osmotic solute (Yasar *et al.*, 2006). Literature indicated that intracellular Na homeostasis and salt tolerance are modulated by calcium and high Na concentrations negatively affect K acquisition (Munns *et al.*, 2002). Sodium competes with K for uptake through common transport system and does this effectively since the Na concentration in saline environments is usually considerably greater than that of K. It is also reported that sensitivity of some crops to salinity is due to the inability to keep Na and Cl out of transpiration streams (Gorham *et al.*, 1990). Plants limiting the uptake of toxic ions or maintaining normal nutrient ion contents could show greater tolerance which was the case with present study. Uptake mechanism that

discriminates similar ions such as Na and K could be useful selection criteria for salt tolerance in wheat and breeding for efficient nutrient uptake. A significant negative correlation was observed between grain yield and increase in sodium contents of the wheat genotypes grown under sodium chloride concentration.

In the present study all wheat genotypes showed decreasing trend in K content due to salinity stress. The decrease in K was due to the presence of excessive Na in the growth medium because high external Na content is known to have an antagonistic effect on K uptake in plant (Sarwar & Ashraf, 2003). It is also reported that salt tolerance is associated with K contents (Ashraf & Sarwar, 2002), because of its involvement in osmotic regulation and competition with Na (Ashraf *et al.*, 2005). Regulation of K uptake and prevention of Na entry, efflux of Na from cell are the strategies commonly used by plants to maintain desirable K/Na ratio in the cytosole. In the present study, the tolerant genotypes are expressing the same trend for K/Na ratios. Genotypes Lu-26s, KTDH are comparatively higher in accumulating more K than sensitive ones. K/Na ratio is the criteria which is established by the scientist and the genetically approved for salt tolerance. So the varieties maintaining higher K/Na ratio are the salt tolerant and showing positive correlation between grain yield and K/Na ratio.

There are some reports where an increase in chlorophyll contents was observed in 6 genotypes of rice (Alamgir & Ali, 1999). However, the reduction in chlorophyll contents is to be expected under stress; being membranous bound, its stability is dependent on membrane stability, which under saline condition seldom remains intact (Ashraf *et al.*, 2005). The decrease in chlorophyll contents under saline conditions is reported by Iqbal *et al.*, (2006); Ashraf *et al.*, (2005). Our results are in agreement with these workers where in all genotypes, chlorophyll contents are decreasing. The decrease is significant in sensitive genotypes in comparison to tolerant.

Accumulation of solutes especially proline, glycine-betaine and sugars is a common observation under stress condition (Ashraf *et al.*, 1994; Naqvi *et al.*, 1994, Qasim *et al.*, 2003). It is reported by Ashraf *et al.*, (1998) that proline is an important osmolyte to adjust the plant under drought/saline conditions. In the present study, the accumulation of proline was commonly observed in almost all genotypes however, the genotypes Sarsabz followed by Lu-26s and KTDH-22 had higher proline accumulation (Table 2). These genotypes are the best performing ones and had higher grain yield under salinity stress. Similar observations were recorded by other workers (Ashraf & Foolad, 2005) in different crops. There are however reasons to believe that proline accumulation may play a role in the salinity tolerance. Firstly it is an osmolyte accumulated under stress in almost all the plant species. Secondly a high proline concentration has been described in organs which naturally have low water contents such as seed and inflorescence. The results of present study showed that there is a positive relationship between proline accumulation and performance of wheat genotypes in terms of grain yield under salinity stress.

It was concluded that on the basis of less than 40% reduction in yield, three genotypes viz. Lu-26s, Sarsabz and KTDH-22 were better. It was also observed that the tolerant genotypes have higher proline accumulation, high K/Na ratio and less chlorophyll degradation as compared to sensitive ones.

## Acknowledgement

The authors are highly thankful to Dr. Mehboob Sial Wheat Breeder of NIA, Tandojam for supplying the seeds of wheat genotypes and Mr. Saqib Ali Memon for composing the manuscript.

## References

- Ahmad, M., B.H. Niazi, B. Zaman and M. Athar. 2005. Varietal differences in agronomic performance of six wheat varieties grown under saline field environment. *Int. J. Environ. Sci Tech*, 2(1): 49-57.
- Akram, M., M.A. Malik, M.Y. Ashraf, M.F. Saleem and M. Hussain. 2007. Competitive seedling growth and  $K^+/Na^+$  ratio in different maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids under salinity stress. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 39: 2553-2563.
- Alamgir, A.N.M. and M.Y. Ali. 1999. Effect of salinity on leaf pigments, sugar and protein concentrations and chloroplast ATPase activity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Bangladesh Journal of Botany*, 28: 145-149.
- Ansari, R. and T.J. Flowers. 1986. Leaf to leaf distribution of ions in some monocotyledonous plants grown under saline conditions. In: *Prospects for Biosaline Research* (Ed.): Ahmed and A. San Pietro. University of Karachi, pp. 167-181.
- Ashraf, M. 2004. Some important physiological selection criteria for salt tolerance in plants. *Flora Review*, 199: 361-376.
- Ashraf, M. and M.R. Foolad. 2005. Pre-sowing seed treatment-a shotgun approach to improve germination, plant growth, and crop yield under saline and non-saline conditions. *Advances in Agronomy*, 88: 223-271.
- Ashraf, M. Y., K. Akhtar, G. Sarwar and M. Ashraf. 2005. Role of rooting system in salt tolerance potential of different guar accessions. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 25: 243-249.
- Ashraf, M.Y. and G. Sarwar. 2002. Salt tolerance potential in members of Brassicaceae. Physiological studies on water relations and mineral contents. In: *Prospects for saline Agriculture*. (Eds.): R. Ahmad and K.A. Malik. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, pp. 237-245.
- Ashraf, M.Y., A.R. Azmi, A.H. Khan and S.A. Ala. 1994. Effect of water stress on total phenol, peroxidase activity and chlorophyll contents in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Acta Physiologica Plantarum*, 16: 185-191.
- Ashraf, M.Y., K. Akhtar, G. Sarwar and M. Ashraf. 2002. Evaluation of arid and semi-arid ecotypes of guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* L.) for salinity (NaCl) tolerance. *Journal of Arid Environment*, 52: 437-482.
- Ashraf, M.Y., Y. Ali and T.M. Qureshi. 1998. Effect of salinity on photosynthetic efficiency and yield of rice genotypes. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 1: 72-74.
- Bates, L.S., R.P. Waldren and I.D. Tears. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant and Soil*, 39: 205-207.
- Chandan, S., A. Singh, E. Blumwald and A. Grover. 2006. Beyond osmolytes and transporters: novel plant salt-stress tolerance-related genes from transcriptional profiling data. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 127: 1-9.
- Flowers, T.J. and M.A. Hajibagheri. 2001. Salinity tolerance in *Hordeum vulgare*: ion concentrations in root cells of cultivars differing in salt tolerance. *Plant and Soil*, 23: 1-9.
- Gorham J., R.G. Wyn Jones and A. Bristol. 1990. Partial characterization of the trait for enhanced  $K^+$  -  $Na^+$  discrimination in the D genome of wheat. *Planta*, 180: 590- 597.
- Gorham, J. 1994. Salt tolerance in the *Triticeae*: K/Na discrimination in some perennial wheat grasses and their *amphiploids* with wheat. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 45: 441-447.
- Iqbal, N., M. Y. Ashraf, Farrukh Javed, Vicente Martinez and Kafeel Ahmad. 2006. Nitrate reduction and nutrient accumulation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown in soil salinization with four different salts. *Journal Plant Nutrition*, 29: 409-421.

- Khan, A.H., M.Y. Ashraf and A.R. Azmi. 1990. Effect of NaCl on growth and nitrogen metabolism of sorghum. *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, 12: 233-238.
- Khan, M.A., M.U. Shirazi, Mukhtiar Ali, S. Mumtaz, A. Shereen and M.Y. Ashraf. 2006. Comparative Performance of some wheat genotypes growing under saline water. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 38(5): 1633-1639.
- Khan, M.A., N. Hussain, M. Abid and T. Imran. 2004. Screening of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars for saline conditions under irrigated arid environment. *Journal of Research (Science)*, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, 15(4): 471-477.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. 1987. Chlorophyll and carotenoids pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. *Methods Enzymols*; 148: 350-382.
- Marschner, H. 1995. *Mineral nutrition of higher plants*. Acad. Pr., London.
- Munns, R. 2002. Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. *Plant Cell Environ.*, 25: 239-250.
- Munns, R. and R.A. James. 2003. Screening methods for salinity tolerance: a case study with tetraploid wheat. *Plant Soil*, 253: 201-218.
- Munns, R., J.B. Passioura, J. Guo, O. Chazen and G.R. Cramer. 2002. Water relations and leaf expansion: importance of time scale. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 51: 1495-1504.
- Naqvi, S.S.M., S. Mumtaz, S.A. Ali, A. Shereen, A.H. Khan, M.Y. Ashraf and M.A. Khan, 1994. Proline accumulation under salinity stress. Is abscisic acid involved? *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, 16(2): 117-122.
- Qasim, M., M. Ashraf, M. Amir Jamil, M.Y. Ashraf and E.S.R. Shafiq-ur-Rehman. 2003. Water relations and leaf gas exchange properties in some elite canola (*Brassica napus*) lines under salt stress. *Annals App. Biol.*, 142: 307-316.
- Sarwar, G. and M.Y. Ashraf. 2003. Genetic variability of some primitive bread wheat varieties to salt tolerance. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 35: 771-777.
- Schachtman, D.P. and R. Munns. 1992. Sodium accumulation in leaves for *Triticum* species that differ in salt tolerance. *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, 19: 331-340.
- Yasar, F., S. Ellialtioglu and S. Kusvuran. 2006. Ion and lipid peroxide content in sensitive and tolerant eggplant callus cultured under salt stress. *Europ. J. Hort. Sci.*, 71(4): 169-172.

(Received for publication 15 November 2008)