

## WEED COMMUNITIES OF WHEAT CROP IN DISTRICT TOBA TEK SINGH, PAKISTAN

RAHMATULLAH QURESHI\*, ABDUL WAHEED AND M. ARSHAD

Department of Botany, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University,  
Murree Road, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

### Abstract

Weed communities of wheat crop were determined using quadrat method at 5 different wheat growing localities of District Toba Tek Singh, during Rabi season 2006-07. A total of 38 weed species distributed across 35 genera and 17 families were recorded. Grassy weeds were constantly present in all the selected sites. *Phalaris minor* and *Avena fatua* were found the most dominant and frequent weed species with an average frequency of 84 and 72% respectively. The dominant families in terms of species were Asteraceae (7 spp.), Fabaceae, Poaceae (6 spp. each) and Chenopodiaceae (4 spp.). Based on Importance Value Index (IVI), five communities viz., 1) *Avena-Phalaris-Chenopodium* in Gojra, 2) *Phalaris-Avena-Polypogon* in Kamalia, 3) *Phalaris-Spergula-Avena* in Pir Mahal, 4) *Polypogon-Avena-Phalaris* in Sandhelian Wali and 5) *Avena-Polypogon-Chenopodium* in Toba Tek Singh were established.

### Introduction

Wheat bears a key position and the most important cereal and staple food crop of Pakistan sharing 13.7% to the value added in agriculture and 3% to GDP. It is cultivated over an area of 8303 thousand hectares (Anon., 2005). Pakistan is the 10<sup>th</sup> largest wheat producing country, contributing about 2% of global wheat supply.

Weed infestation is one of the major impediments to wheat yield including diseases, pest and climatic influences. They consume available moisture, nutrients and compete for space and sunlight with crop plants and result in yield reduction (Khan *et al.*, 2004). They are constant component of our agro-ecosystem and are generally controlled using mechanical method (Powell & Justum, 1993). However, many seeds of exotic species are introduced in many regions accidentally and some of them may become component of natural flora of the area (Werger, 1997; Jauzein, 1998; Maillet & Lopez-Garcia, 2000). It is estimated that in Pakistan, the annual losses in wheat grains due to weeds could be amounting to more than Rs. 28 billion (Hassan & Marwat, 2001).

It has long been recognized that man made activities are exposing the environment to invasion by alien species, which is attributed to habitat heterogeneity, frequent and diverse disturbances, and intensive propagule pressure typical of that environment (Gilbert, 1989; Kowarik, 2003). Weeds decrease the crop yield by competing for water, nutrients, space and light; whereas, some weeds also have allelopathic effects on crops (Shah & Khan, 2006). They compete for available N supply and light in the early growth stage (Cousens, 1996) and for soil moisture during grain filling, reducing both vegetative dry matter and grain yield (Mason & Madin, 1996). Previously, Qureshi & Bhatti (2001a, 2001b and 2001c), Qureshi *et al.*, (2001a, 2001b) and Qureshi (2006) have reported floristic and phytosociological account of the weeds of wheat, onion, sugarcane and tomato crops from Sukkur and Rahim Yar Khan districts respectively. Various studies on

\*Corresponding author: rahmatullahq@yahoo.com, phytotaxonomist@gmail.com

weeds of wheat crop have been reported from different corners of the country i.e., Dir (Ayaz *et al.*, 1993), Chitral (Hussain *et al.*, 2004), Khairpur (Jakhar *et al.*, 2005), Toba Tek Singh (Mohammad *et al.*, 2005) and Sawat (Naveed & Hussain, 2007). Present paper reports the distribution of weed species and their possible association within the study area and will be helpful for recognizing the severity of weed infestation in wheat crop and weed competition in the area.

## Materials and Methods

Five wheat growing localities viz.: 1) Gojra, 2) Kamalia, 3) Pir Mahal, 4) Sandhelian Wali and 5) Toba Tek Singh, all within the radius of 20 Km<sup>2</sup> from Toba Tek Singh were surveyed during 2006-07. The plant species were identified with the help of authentic available literature (Nasir & Ali, 1972-1994; Ali & Qaiser, 1995-2007).

Fifty quadrates each measuring 1m<sup>2</sup> size were randomly placed in each locality for density, frequency and cover of each weed species. These values were computed to get Importance Value Index (IVI). Weed communities were constructed from selected study sites having highest IVI. Each weed community was named on the basis of first three dominants (Qureshi & Bhatti, 2001a). Local inhabitants were interviewed to get vernacular names of these weeds.

## Results and Discussion

Weed flora of the study area is comprised of 38 species including 8 monocots distributed across 35 genera and 17 families. The dominant families contributing to weed flora were Asteraceae (7 spp.) followed by Fabaceae, Poaceae (6 spp. each) and Chenopodiaceae (4 spp.) while, other families had fewer species (Table 1). The weed species *Phalaris minor* and *Avena fatua* were found the most frequent with an average frequency of 84% and 72% respectively (Table 2). On the basis of IVI, five weed communities determined in all the five localities are 1) *Avena-Phalaris-Chenopodium* in Gojra, 2) *Phalaris-Avena-Polypogon* in Kamalia, 3) *Phalaris-Spergula-Avena* in Pir Mahal, 4) *Polypogon-Avena-Phalaris* in Sandhelian Wali and 5) *Avena-Phalaris-Chenopodium* in Toba Tek Singh were recognized (Table 2). The dominant species within these communities were *Phalaris minor*, *Polypogon fugax*, *Avena fatua*, *Spergula arvensis* and *Chenopodium album*. The total importance value (TIV) was in the order of Kamalia (135.81) > Gojra (127.2) > Sandhelian Wali (116.01) > Pir Mehal (111.21) and Toba Tek Singh (107.52). Within the sites, Gojra and Toba Tek Singh possessed the same type of weed communities. This resemblance could be due to similar nature of soil and climatic conditions. The total importance value (TIV) was higher in Gojra than the Toba Tek Singh.

The most frequently distributed species was *Phalaris minor* with a constancy percentage of 84, followed by *Avena fatua*, *Polypogon fugax*, *Carthamus oxyacantha*, *Rumex dentatus*, *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Melilotus indica* and *Spergula arvensis* with the constancy percentages ranging from 43-72 (Table 2). The other widespread weed species found in all sites were *Chenopodium album*, *C. ficifolium*, *Cirsium arvense*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Phalaris minor* and *Polypogon fugax* due to the best adaptability in different edaphic and environmental conditions. Similar study conducted by Waheed *et al.*, (2009) in wheat fields of district Rahim Yar Khan has reflected same weed community composition except *Chenopodium ficifolium* species that has not been recorded in that study.

**Table 1. Share of plant families in the weed flora of wheat crop in District Toba Tek Singh.**

S. No.	Family	No. of species	% Age
1.	Asteraceae	7	18.4
2.	Fabaceae	6	15.8
3.	Poaceae	6	15.8
4.	Chenopodiaceae	4	10.5
5.	Caryophyllaceae	2	5.3
6.	Convolvulaceae	2	5.3
7.	Apiaceae	1	2.6
8.	Boraginaceae	1	2.6
9.	Brassicaceae	1	2.6
10.	Cyperaceae	1	2.6
11.	Euphorbiaceae	1	2.6
12.	Fumariaceae	1	2.6
13.	Liliaceae	1	2.6
14.	Polygonaceae	1	2.6
15.	Primulaceae	1	2.6
16.	Solanaceae	1	2.6
17.	Verbenaceae	1	2.6

The highest number of weeds were observed in Sandhelian Wali (34 spp.), followed by Kamalia (33 spp.) (Table 2). Due to loamy nature of soils and well irrigated areas, almost equal numbers of weeds were recorded from aforementioned localities, while less number of weeds were found in Gojra (16 spp.). It may be attributed to saline nature of soil and less water availability for irrigation.

Hussain (1983) reported that weed species with high percentage of density and frequency might exert competitive and allelopathic stress to reduce growth and yield of associated crop. The weed flora composition of these communities has definitely negative impact for lowering the yield and its yield components. The weeds with less importance values cannot be underestimated in their impact upon desired crop yield and its yield components due to their possible allelopathic effects (Qureshi & Bhatti, 2001a).

*Parthenium hysterophorus* is reported as an emerging weed in the study area though it is well known weed and has already worldwide distribution in many countries of the world (Williams and Grovers, 1980). This species like other Asteraceous species has minute seeds armed with hairy attachment facilitating its dispersal by wind. It is therefore, spreading at an alarming pace in various parts of the country (Shah & Khan, 2006). Personal communication with the farmers indicated that this species has been observed in the area for last three years. They further reported that this species can be controlled only in very early growth stages through chemical spray.

*Avena ftua* and *Phalaris minor* are very noxious and constant weeds of wheat crop recorded from different parts of the country (Qureshi & Bhatti, 2001, Hassan *et al.*, 2003; Marwat *et al.*, 2006). Moreover, these weeds are hardy in nature and wide ecological amplitude. These weeds have been reported to cause highest yield reduction in wheat crop (Wilson, 1985; Marwat *et al.*, 2006).

It is generally considered that yield losses in wheat crop are either due to high population of weeds or environmental influences. The heavy weed pressure not only reduces grain yield significantly, but also has a detrimental effect on the following crops due to an unmanageable weed population (Lemerle *et al.*, 1996).





Many weeds ripened and harvested with the wheat result in an amalgamation of their seeds with wheat grains. The species *Asphodelus tenuifolius*, *Avena fatua* and *Convolvulus arvensis* are obnoxious weeds to wheat crop. These species are also reported from Sukkur (Qureshi & Bhatti, 2001a) and Khairpur (Jakhar *et al.*, 2005). These weeds have similar maturity time as wheat crop and are usually harvested along with wheat. As a result, they are disseminated/mixed with the wheat grains. The authors are of the view that this is the main reason for their consistency.

It is very difficult for the farmers to identify the obnoxious weeds due to their resemblance with the wheat plants in early stages, as the fields of this area are severely infested with the Monocot weeds especially *Phalaris minor*, *Avena fatua* and *Polypogon fugax*. These weed species were also reported from Sukkur (Qureshi & Bhatt, 2001a) Khirpur (Jakhar *et al.*, 2005) and Rahim Yar Khan (Qureshi, 2006).

From this study it is clearly evident that wheat crop in this area is heavily infested with weeds that may cause huge losses to yield of wheat crop. The weed species dominantly inhabiting this area are common problems in almost all wheat growing areas of Punjab including Rahim Yar Khan, Bahawalpur (unpublished data) and Toba Tek Singh. It is suggested that this problem must be addressed by all the concerned quarters to eradicate/control these weeds by adapting appropriate measures including cultural, mechanical, biological and chemical methods that would give huge boost to the wheat yield and will enable the nation to ascertain the food security to the masses.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to Mr. Zunnurain (Field Assistant, FSC&RD, T.T. Singh) for help in data collection. The authors are also thankful to Dr. Abdul Khalil Chaudhry and Prof. Dr. Farrukh Hussain for their encouragement and support in write up.

### References

- Ali, S.I. and M. Qaiser. 1995-2007. *Flora of Pakistan. (Fascicles)*, Department of Botany, University of Karachi.
- Anonymous. 2005. *Agriculture Statistics of Pakistan*, Government of Pakistan, Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, Economic Wing, Islamabad.
- Ayaz, S., F. Hussain and Z.H. Malik. 1993. Distribution and population of weeds in the wheat fields of Mayar-Jandool, District Dir. *Sci. Khyber*, 6: 43-57.
- Cousens, R.D. 1996. Comparative growth of wheat, barley, and annual ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) in monoculture and mixture. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 47: 449-464.
- Gilbert, O.L. 1989. *The ecology of urban habitats*. Chapman and Hall, London, UK.
- Hassan, G., B. Faiz, K.B. Marwat and M. Khan. 2003. Effects of planting methods and tank mixed herbicides on controlling grassy and broadleaf weeds and their effects on wheat cv. Fakhre-Sarhad. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 9(1-2): 1-11.
- Hassan, G. and K.B. Marwat. 2001. Integrated weed management in agricultural crops. *National Workshop on Technologies for Sustainable Agriculture*, Sep.24-26, 2001. NIAB, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
- Hussain, F. 1983. Biochemical inhibition-a less understood ecological factor in Ecosystem. *Progressive Farming (PARC)*, 3: 33-37.
- Hussain, F., A. Murad and M.J. Durrani. 2004. Weed communities in wheat fields of Mastuj, District Chitral, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 10: 101-108.
- Hussain, S.S. 2007. *Pakistan manual of plant ecology*. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. National Book Foundation, Islamabad. pp. 69.

Jakhar, G.S., A.Q. Mahar, S.A. Abro and R. Qureshi. 2005. Weed communities of wheat crop under diverse *Edaphography* of District Khaipur. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 37(3): 709-714.

Jauzein, P.H. 1998. Bilan des espèces naturalisées en France Méditerranéenne *Pro. 6<sup>th</sup> Mediterranean Symposium EWRS*, Montpellier, 18-25.

Khan, I., G. Hassan, M.I. Khan and I.A. Khan. 2004. Efficacy of some new herbicidal molecules on grassy and broadleaf weeds in wheat-II. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 10(1-2): 33-38.

Kowarik, I. 2003. *Biologische Invasionen: Neophyten und Neozoen in Mitteleuropa*. Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart, Germany.

Lemerle D, H.Y. Tang, G.M. Murray and S. Morris. 1996. Survey of weeds and diseases in cereal crops in the southern wheat belt of New South Wales. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 36: 545-554.

Maillet, J. and C. Lopez-Garcia. 2000. What criteria are relevant for predicting the invasive capacity of a new agricultural weed? The case of invasive American species in France. *Weed Research*, 40(1): 11-26.

Marwat, K.B., Z. Hussain, B. Gul, M. Saeed and S. Din. 2006. Survey on weed problems in wheat crop in district Mardan. *Pak J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 12(4): 353-358.

Mason, M.G. and R.W. Madin. 1996. Effect of weeds and nitrogen fertilizer on yield and grain protein concentration of wheat. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 36: 443-450.

Mohammad, S., T.A. Cheema, Z. Bashir and R. Mehmood. 2005. Analytical characteristics of weeds of wheat crop of Tehsil Gojra, Toba Tek Singh, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Pl. Sci.*, 11(1): 57-60.

Nasir, E. and S.I. Ali. 1972-1994. *Flora of Pakistan*. National Herbarium, NARC., Islamabad. Department of Botany, University of Karachi, Karachi. (Fascicles).

Naveed, A. and F. Hussain. 2007. Weeds of wheat fields of village Gambar, District Swat, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Pl. Sci.*, 13(1): 31-35.

Powell, K. and R.R. Justum. 1993. Technical and commercial aspects of bio control products. *Pesticide Science*, 37: 315-321.

Qureshi, R. 2006. Impact of weeds on yield of wheat crop in District Rahim Yar Khan, *Science, Technology and Development*, 25(1): 60-64.

Qureshi, R. and G.R. Bhatti. 2001a. Determination of weed communities in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) fields of district Sukkur. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 33(1): 109-115.

Qureshi, R. and G.R. Bhatti. 2001b. Floristic study of weeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* Linn.), *Pak. J. Bio. Sci.*, 1: 63-66.

Qureshi, R. and G.R. Bhatti. 2001c. Weed Flora composition of onion (*Allium cepa* Linn.) crop in District Sukkur. *Science Vision*, 6(4): 86-90.

Qureshi, R., G.R. Bhatti and A.S. Ghanghro. 2001a. Quantitative Analysis of Weed Communities of Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum* Mill.) Crop of Kacha Area (River Indus) of District Sukkur, *Hamdard Medicus*, 49(4): 136-141.

Qureshi, R., G.R. Bhatti and A.S. Ghanghro. 2001b. Survey of weed communities of Sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* Linn.) crop in District Sukkur. *Hamdard Medicus*, 44(2): 107-111.

Shah, G.M. and M.A. Khan. 2006. Checklist of noxious weeds of district Mansehra, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Weed Sci. Res.*, 12(3): 213-219.

Waheed, A., R. Qureshi and G.S. Jakhar. 2009. Weed Community dynamics in wheat crop of District Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, (In press).

Werger, M.J.A. 1997. *The study of vegetation*. Hauge: Dr. W. Junk Publishers.

Williams, D.J. and R.H. Grovers. 1980. The influence of temperature and photoperiod on growth and development of *Parthenium hysterophorus* L., *Weed Research*, 20(1): 47-52.

Wilson, B.J. 1985. Effect of seed age and cultivation on seedling emergence and seed decline of *Avena fatula* L. in winter barley. *Weed Research*, 25(3): 213-219.