STUDY OF HEAVY TRACE METALS IN SOME MEDICINAL-HERBAL PLANTS OF PAKISTAN

MANZOOR IQBAL KHATTAK^{1*} AND MAHMOOD IQBAL KHATTAK²

¹Chemistry Department, Balochistan University, Quetta, Pakistan, ²PSCIR Laboratories, Karachi *E-mail: manzoor_iqbal@yahoo.com

Abstract

The paper presents heavy trace metals analysis in some widely used medicinal- herbal plants of Pakistan by using Inductively Coupled Plasma. Because these commonly used medicinal- herbal plants from Pakistan are being specifically utilized for the treatment of various diseases, so samples of medicinal-herbal plants were collected from open market and from the fields. Collected samples were digested and analyzed for their nutritional trace metals (Pb, Cd, Fe, Zn, Ni, Cu & Mn) composition and then the results obtained were compared to international and national standards as required by World Health Organizations. The deficiency or excess of the samples for essential trace metals are reported.

Introduction

Heavy metals are naturally present in the environment. Their occurrence, however, has gradually been increasing with the increase of industrialization. Agricultural soils, as an essential part of the environment, are no exception of this phenomenon. Cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), and zinc (Zn) are among the most abundant heavy metals in the agricultural soils (Förstner, Copper and Zn, when present in low 1995) concentrations, are important micronutrients, while in high concentrations, these two metals become toxic to plants. Although Cd and Pb have no known role as nutrients, plants readily accumulate them in their system. The ability of plants to accumulate heavy metals is used in the process of phytoremediation where the green plants are employed to cleanse contaminated soils.

The screening of the actual bioactive elements of plants origin and assessment of elemental composition of the widely used medicinal plants is highly essentials (Saiki *et al.*, 1990). The quantitative estimation of various trace elements concentrations are necessary for the effective determination of medicinal plants for the treatment of various diseases and also for the understanding of their pharmacological actions.

There are two major reasons to monitor levels of toxic metals in medicinal plants (De Smet, 1992). The first reason is contamination of the general environment with toxic metals has increased (Ali, 1983). The sources of this environmental pollution are quite varied, ranging from industrial and traffic emissions to the use of purification mud and agricultural expedients, such as cadmium-containing dung, organic mercury fungicides and the insecticide lead arsenate (Gosselin *et al.*, 1984; Schilcher *et al.*, 1987). The second reason is that exotic herbal remedies, particularly those of Asian origin, have been repeatedly reported to contain toxic levels of heavy metals and/or arsenic.

Several investigators have studied the residual levels of toxic metals in medicinal herbs (Schilcher, 1982; Ali, 1983, 1987; Peters & Schilcher, 1986; Schilcher *et al.*, 1987). Most studies on residual levels of toxic metals in medicinal herbs have focused on lead, cadmium and mercury (Schilcher, 1985; Ali, 1987; Schilcher *et al.*, 1987).

Medicinal plants appear to be a good choice for phytoremediation since these species are mainly grown for secondary products (essential oil) thus the contamination of the food chain with heavy metals is eliminated. Aromatic and medicinal plants also have a demonstrated ability to accumulate heavy metals (Schneider & Marquard, 1996; Scora & Chang, 1997; Zheljazkov & Nielsen, 1996). Research has shown that heavy metals accumulated by aromatic and medicinal plants do not appear in the essential oil (Scora & Chang, 1997; Zheljazkov & Nielsen, 1996) and that some of these species are able to grow in metal contaminated sites without significant yield reduction. There is therefore, a need for major interest to establish the levels of some metallic elements in commonly used herbal plants because at elevated levels these metals may also be dangerous and toxic (Schumacher *et al.*, 1991).

Medicinal plants occurring wild show more anomalous values than cultivated herbs, in particular with respect to lead levels Peters & Schilcher, (1986) and Schilcher *et al.*, (1987). The reason is, of course, that drugs grown wild are more difficult to control for all the potential ways of environmental pollution. As was to be expected, the research group also demonstrated that the levels of lead and cadmium in the same crude herb may vary considerably with plant part.

It is estimated that 70-80% of people worldwide rely chiefly on traditional largely herbal - medicine to meet their primary healthcare needs (Farnsworth *et al.*, 1991; Shengji, 2001). The global demand for herbal medicine is not only large but is growing as per the increasing of the population (Srivastava, 2000). Factors contributing to the growth in demand for traditional medicine include the increasing human population and the frequently inadequate provision of Western (allopathic) medicine in developing countries (Table 1). The Traditional Medical Systems are especially concentrated in Asia and some of the more widely familiar are in Chinese Traditional Medicine, Tibetan Medicine, Ayurveda, Siddha and Unani.

Use of medicinal-herbal plants for amelioration of toxic effects in man and animals is receiving attention worldwide. The magnitude of their uses during recent years for the cure of various ailments is obvious from the report of the World Health Organization (Anon., 1998) which indicated that many people in developing countries still rely on herbal medicine for treatment of various ailments. Medicinal plants today are cultivated commercially in polluted environments where soil (Sahu et al., 1987), water (Chandra, 1980) and air (Sadasivam, 1987) contain rather high levels of pollutants. Therefore, the environment required for growth and synthesis of these plants is affected drastically, and the possibility that toxic pollutants are deposited in the plants cannot be disregarded. Little is known about the status of toxic metals in these medicinal-herbal plants grown in polluted environments. The possibility that toxic pollutants can be trans-located to humans and animals through the use of herbs grown in polluted zones has concerned scientists who promote use of herbal medicines.

Uganda

patients in East and Southern Africa.						
Country	Doctor : Patient	TMP: Patient				
Ethiopia	1:33,000					
Kenya	1 : 833 (urban)	1 : 987 (urban)				
Malawi	1:50,000	1:138				
Mozambique	1:50,000	1:200				
South Africa	1:17,400	1:700-1200				
Swaziland	1:10,000	1:100				
Tanzania	1:33.000	1:350-450				

Table 1. Ratios of doctors (practicing western medicine) and traditional medical practitioners (TMPs) (practicing largely plant-based medicine) to patients in Fast and Southern Africa

This study was therefore, designed to assess the levels of heavy metals (i.e., Copper, Iron, Nickel, Zinc, Manganese, Lead and Cadmium) in commonly used medicinal-herbal plants in Pakistan.

1:25,000

Materials and Methods

of 17 А total commonly used parts of medicinal plants were used in present investigation, the plants were identified and authenticated prior to toxic metal analysis from the area of Karachi. The identities, as well as the medicinal properties, of the herbal plant samples under investigation are presented in Table 2.

Fable 2. Medicinal-herbal	plants under in	vestigation; name,	parts studied an	d medicinal properties.
		0 /		

1:708

Plant species	Local name	Part used	Medicinal properties
Mangifera indica	Aa'm	Leaf	Antidiabetic
Andrographis paniculata	Kalmegh	Whole plant	Stomachic, bactericidal, laxative, tonic, alterative
Datura alba	Dahtoora	Leaf	Sedative, hypnotic
Ipomoea hederacea	Kaladana	Seed	Narcotic, psychedelic
Ferula foetida	heing	Root-gum	Carminative and intestinal anti-septic
Zingiber officinale	Adrak	Root	stimulant and used to cure diarrhea
Allium sativum	Lahsan	Root	Antihypertensive, astringent
Lagenaria sicerana	Lauki	Fruit	Antibacterial, analgesic and sedative
Beta vulgaris	Chuqandar	Root	Cooling, diaphoretic
Ocimum basilicum	Niazboo	Flower	Stimulant, styptic, diuritic and carminative
Pongamia glabra	Tukham-karanjwa	Seed	Antihyperglycaemic
Gentiana kurroo	Nilkhanth	Root	Anthelmintic
Emblica officinalis	Amal	Fruit	Revitalizer
Abelmoschus esculantus	Bhindi	Fruit	Venereal diseases, pneumonia, bronchitis, pulmonary tuberculosis
Achyranthes aspera	Safed aghedo	plant	Laxative, anti-periodic in malaria, stomachic
Azadirachta indica	Neem	Leaf	Antiseptic, tonic, spermicidal

A total of 35 commonly used medicinal plants were colleted from Sindh & Balochistan area of Pakistan. The plants were identified through literature (Ali *et al.*, 1983 & 1987) given in Table 3. The materials used were Nitric Acid and Perchloric Acid etc., details can be found in Ogunwande *et al.*, (2006). The samples were washed with deionized water and allowed to dry in oven for 72 hours at a tempareture of 65° C. The samples were then ground and sieved through 0.5 mm sieve. The powdered sample then subjected to the acid digestion using nitric acid and perchloric acid (Ogunwande *et al.*, 2006).

Digested samples were analyzed for their metal content by using Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP) in PCSIR Laboratories of Karachi. The performance of the instrument was checked daily. For routine analysis one of the primary parameters is the stability of the instrument.

For the second time, samples analysis were made by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (A-1800 Hitachi Japan) following specific instrumental conditions given in Table 4. Analysis of each sample was made in duplicate Calibration of the instrument was repeated periodically during operation.

Quality control: All the samples were preserved during the whole analytical procedure from sampling to final analysis (Cornelis *et al.*, 1994; Gardiner *et al.*, 1988). For the purpose of reliability of the data reference to environmental protection. A number of contamination risks were identified: The eventual leaching from tubes used during sample handling; impurities in the nitric acid and impurities in the internal standard selected.

For the accuracy of the analytical results by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer, Citrus Leaves Standard Reference Material (SRM 1572, National Bureau of Standards, Washington) was analysed percent recoveries of analysed metals in the SRM were found to be in the range of 99-105%.

Analysis of Variance was performed, using SPSS Software Version 11.0. Difference between mean values were determined using Tukey's test at p < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

Heavy metal contents in spices and medicinal plants depend on climatic factors, plant species, air pollution, and other environmental factors (Sovljanski *et al.*, 1989). In Table 2 are listed medicinal-herbal plants analyzed for trace metals, local name, parts used and medicinal properties. The results of analysis are shown in Table 5 and Figure I & 2.

Table 3. List of studied medicinal plants.								
Botinical name	Family	English name	Vernicular name	Part used				
Curcuma longa	Zingiberaceae	Tumeric	Hadi	Roots				
Syzgium aromaticum	Myrtacae	Clove	Lounga	Flower buds				
Amomum Subultum	Zingiberacea	Large Cardamom	Badi Elaichi	Fruits				
Cuminum cyminm	Umbelliferae	Cumin	Zira Safaid	Fruits				
Cuminum nigrium	Umbelliferae	Black Carway	Kalajira	Fruits				
Piper nigrum	Piperacae	Black Pepper	Black March	Fruits				
Cinnamomum Zeylanicum	Lauracea	Cinnamon	Dalchini	Bark				
Myristica fragrans	Myristicaceae	Mace	Javitri	Seeds				
Mentha arvensis	Labiatae	Mint	Pudina	Leaves				
Eucalyptus citriodora	Myrtaceae	Eucalyptus	Safaidah	Leaves				
Azadirachta Indica	Meliaceae	Neem	Neem	Leaves				
Cassia fistula	Leguminosae	Cassia	Amaltas	Pods				
Coriandrum sativum	Umbelliferae	Coriander	Dhania	Fruits				
Cichorium intybus	Compositae	Chicory	Kasni	Seeds				
Ricinus communis	Euphorbiaceae	Castor	Arand	Seeds				
Ocimun basilicum	Labiteasae	Basil	Niyazbo	Seeds				
Glycyrrhizagalbra	Leguminosae	Liquorice	Mulathi	Roots				
Foeniculum vulgare	Umbelliferae	Fennel	Saunf	Seeds				
Elettaria Cardamomum	Zingiberacea	Small Cardamom	Choti Elliachi	Fruits				
Peganum harmala	Zygophllaceae	Syrian rue	Harmal	Seeds				
Zingiber officinale	Zingiberaceae	Ginger	Adrak	Fruits				
Trachyspermum ammi	Umbelliferae	Bishop's weed	Ajwain	Seeds				
Capcicum frutenscens	Solanoceae	Chilli	Surkh Mirch	Fruits				
Aneilema scapiflorum	Commelinaceae	Musli	Musli Siyah	Seeds				
Ziziphus vulgris	Rhamnaceae	Jujube Fruit	Unnab	Fruits				
Nigella sativa	Renunculaceae	Black Cumin	Kawanji	Seeds				
Mimosa pudica	Leguminosae	Sensitive plants	Lajvanti	Seeds				
Syzigium cumin	Myrtaceae	Black Plum	Jaman	Fruits				
Aloe barbedensis	Lilliaceae	Aloe	Mosabar	Leaf Pulp				
Citrullus colocynthis	Curcurbitaceae	Colcynth	Tumba	Fruits				
Rheum emodi	Poygonaceae	Rhubarb	Revendchini	Roots				
Gymnem sylvester	Asciepiabaceae	God Mar	Gurmar Buti	Leaves				
Trapa bispinosa	Onagraceae	Water chestnut	Singhar	Fruits				
Cassia absus	Leguminosae	Chaksu	Chaksu	Seeds				
Withania coagulans	Soleaceae	Nuts-cooling	Paneer Buti	Seeds				

Table 4. Instrumental conditions for heavy trace metals analysis by FAAS.

	Zn	Mn	Cu	Fe
Wavelength (nm)	213.8	279.6	324.8	248.3
Band Pass (nm)	1.3	0.4	1.3	0.2
Lamp Current (m A)	10	7.5	15	10
Fuel Pressure (Kg cm ⁻²)	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Burner Height (mm)	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.5
Calibration Range (mg L ⁻¹)	0.3-3.0	1.0-7.0	0.3-5.0	1.0-10.0
Detection Limit (mg L ⁻¹)	0.01	0.2	0.04	0.4
Flame Composition ^a				
Oxidant Pressure ^b (Kg cm ⁻²)				
Atomizer ^c				
Measurement Mode ^d				

A total of 7 metals (Pb, Cd, Fe, Zn, Ni, Cu and Mn) were determined in the medicinal herbal plant samples by Inductively Coupled Plasma (ICP). Table 5 show the mean concentration of trace metals in the medicinal herbal plants under study. Analytical results shows that the Pb concentration varies between 0.07 to 0.8 ppm in general except for Beta

A Air: C2 H2; B 1.60; c Standard Burner, d Absorbance

vulgaris Linn., (0.3 ppm). Datura alba had the lowest Pb level and Lagenaria sicerana the highest. The levels of Pb were comparable in Zingiber officinale, Emblica officinalis, Ocimum basilicum, Pongamia glabra and Abelmoschus esculentus. The maximum limit of lead prescribed in the local food laws is 0.8 ppm (Marshall, 1998; Sahu *et al.*, 1987). The findings for lead residue in medicinal herbal plants as per present study remained within the stipulated tolerance limits. However, the level lead in *Lagenaria sicerana* Standl and *Beta vulgaris* Linn., samples is higher then permissible limit. Cd concentration varies between 0.05–2.5 ppm with *Beta*

vulgaris Linn., had the highest Cd level and *Azadirachta indica* had the lowest. The permissible limit laid down in the local law for Cd in food stuff is 0.6 ppm (Sadasivam *et al.*, 1987). Accordingly all commodities under study were found to be within permissible limits except for *Lagenaria sicerana* Standl and *Beta vulgaris* Linn., which contain Cd level higher then proposed limit.

The highest level of Fe was found in *Lagenaria sicerana* and lowest in *Mangifera indica*, whereas the Fe concentration varies from 90 to 590 ppm. The Mn concentration varied from 24 to 90 ppm and its mos values lying in the range of 24-70 ppm. Similar to the case

of Fe *Mangifera indica* contains the lowest level of Mn and *Lagenaria sicerana* contains highest.

The highest level of Ni occurred in *Beta vulgaris* Linn., and the lowest were in *Azadirachta indica*. The Ni concentration varied from 0.2 to 4 ppm in general, except for *Beta vulgaris*. The concentration of Ni is comparable in *Zingiber officinale*, *Datura alba*, *Mangifera indica* and *Abelmoschus esculentus* with a range of 2 to 3 ppm, the same being true for *Ipomoea hederacea* and *Lagenaria sicerana* at 3.2 to 3.5 ppm. The results of present study except for *Beta vulgaris* shows Ni contents well within the permissible limit of 8 ppm.

Table 5	Recult of f	he metal conter	nte from the '	analyzed car	nnles (nnm)
rapic 5.	incount of t	ne metar conter	no nom uic a	analy Luu Sal	npics (ppin).

	Plants	Pb	Sigma	Cd	Sigma	Fe	Sigma	Zn	Sigma	Ni	Sigma	Cu	Sigma	Mn	Sigma
1.	Mangifera indica	0.19	0.02	0.11	0.02	90.6	1.12	35.57	0.02	2.00	0.03	3.55	0.01	24.5	0.01
2.	Andrographis paniculata	0.45	0.01	0.13	0.01	181	0.82	40.58	0.01	1.12	0.01	4.67	0.01	25.3	0.01
3.	Datura alba	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.01	242	0.11	32.57	0.01	2.87	0.02	3.65	0.02	25.3	0.02
4.	Ipomoea hederacea	0.34	0.02	0.13	0.01	319	11.3	46.09	0.01	3.49	0.03	3.92	0.01	33.8	0.01
5.	Ferula foetida	0.78	0.01	0.11	0.01	159	10.6	62.63	0.01	4.00	0.01	7.69	0.01	42.7	0.03
6.	Zingiber officinale	0.26	0.01	0.12	0.02	468	2.28	17.54	0.02	2.87	0.01	9.19	0.01	50.9	0.01
7.	Allium sativum	0.41	0.03	0.06	0.01	196	1.12	37.58	0.01	4.31	0.06	5.96	0.01	58.0	0.01
8.	Lagenaria sicerana	0.71	0.01	0.09	0.02	589	0.02	42.08	0.02	3.21	0.02	9.12	0.05	90.6	0.02
9.	Beta vulgaris	0.30	0.01	0.34	0.03	340	1.02	32.57	0.03	1.05	0.03	4.64	0.01	68.5	0.02
10.	Ocimum basilicum	0.26	0.01	0.25	0.01	242	0.01	27.56	0.01	0.21	0.01	6.72	0.01	72.9	0.01
11.	Pongamia glabra	0.19	0.01	0.13	0.02	385	1.0	47.60	0.02	N.D	ND	4.93	0.02	32.8	0.01
12.	Gentiana kurroo	0.09	0.02	0.07	0.02	317	0.02	61.62	0.02	0.61	0.01	7.78	0.02	69.3	0.02
13.	Emblica officinalis	0.30	0.01	0.10	0.01	536	10.4	77.15	0.01	0.31	0.03	2.98	0.02	82.5	0.01
14.	Abelmoschus esculantus	0.26	0.01	0.16	0.01	521	3.52	56.11	0.01	2.37	0.02	5.63	0.01	71.7	0.01
15.	Achyranthes aspera	0.52	0.01	0.13	0.02	264	0.62	17.54	0.02	1.63	0.01	5.88	0.01	76.3	0.01
16.	Azadirachta indica	0.56	0.01	0.05	0.02	196	0.02	21.04	0.02	0.12	0.01	4.99	0.01	74.7	0.03

The Zn concentration varied from 17 to 77 ppm, most samples having contents between 30 to 50 ppm. Zingiber officinale had the lowest Zn concentration and Emblica officinalis the highest. The concentration of Zn was compared in Beta vulgaris and Datura alba, similarly, between Ipomoea hederacea and Pongamia glabra in a range of 46 to 48 ppm. The concnentration of Cu varied from 2 to 19 ppm. Most of the samples containing Cu level between 3 to 9 ppm, Lagenaria sicerana contains the highest level of Cu and Emblica officinalis contains the lowest. There is no permissible limit prescribed in local food law or by WHO, however, range of 4-15 ppm for Cu and 15-200 ppm for Zn is considered to be safe. After comparison of the metal limits as proposed, it is found that in the case of Cu except for Lagenaria sicerana all other herbs contain Cu level within the proposed limits. In case of Zn all the samples contains level of Zn within the proposed limits.

While for the second time samples collection, Atomic Absorption Spectrometry has been successfully used for the determination of four essential heavy trace metals i.e., Zn, Mn, Fe & Cu in 35 species of medicinal plants commonly used for the treatments of various ailments. Metals levels are given in Table 6.

The results show the presence of variable amount of metals in these medicinal plant samples. In general, the order of concentration of metals in these medicinal plants has been found to be: Fe > Mn >Zn > Cu given in Figure 2. Plant samples of Black Caraway (*Cuminum nigrium*), Cassia (*Cassia fistula*), Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*), Chicory (*Cichorium intybus*), Castor (*Ricinus communis*), Basil (*Ocimun basilicum*), Bishop's weed (*Trachyspermum ammi*), Musli (*Aneilema scapiflorum*),

Black Cumin (Nigella sativa), Sensitive plants (Mimosa pudica), Water chestnut (Trapa bispinosa), Chaksu (Cassia absus) and Nuts-cooling (Wathania coagulans) contained comparatively higher amount of Zinc (i.e., <50 µg g⁻¹) whereas Clove (Syzgium aromaticum), Large Cardamom (Amomum subultum), Black Pepper (Piper nigrium), Cinnamon (Cinnamomum zeylanicum), Basil (Ocimun basilicum), Small Cardamom (Elettaria cardamomum). Fennel (Foeniculum vulgare), Syrian rue (Peganum harmala), Ginger (Zingiber officinale), Bishop's weed (Trachyspermum ammi), Musli (Aneilema scapiflorum), Black Cumin (Nigella sativa), Sensitive plants (Mimosa pudica), Rhubarb (Rheum emodi). God Mar (Gymnem sylvester), Water chestnut (Trapa bispinosa), Chaksu (Cassia absus) and Nuts-cooling (Withania coagulans) showed manganese levels >200 µg g^{-1} . Copper levels >50 µg g^{-1} were found in Basil (*Ocimun basilicum*), Liquorice (*Glycyrrhizo glapra*), Fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*), Syrian rue (*Peganum harmala*), Bishop's weed (Trachyspermum ammi), Chilli (Capcicum frutenscens), Musli (Aneilema scapiflorum), Jujube fruit (Ziziphu vulgris), Black Cumin (Nigella sativa), Sensitive plants (Mimosapudica), Colcynth (Citrullus colocynthis), God Mar (Gymnem sylvester), Water chestnut (Trapa bispinosa), Chaksu (Cassia absus) and Nuts-cooling (Withania coagulans). Iron levels in these plant samples were found to be comparatively higher than all other metals investigated but some of the plants including Mint (Mentha arvensis), Liquorice (Glycyrrhiza glapra), Syrian rue (Peganum harmala), Musli (Aneilema scapiflorum), Sensitive plants (Mimosapudica), Rhubarb (Rheum emodi). God Mar (Gymnem sylvester), Chaksu (Cassia absus) and Nuts-cooling (Withania coagulans) Showed very high Iron contents (i.e., >400 μ g g⁻¹).



Fig. 1. Plot of trace metals conc. (ppm) vs Medcinal Herbal Plants.



Fig. 2 . Heavy trace metals (Fe, Cu, Mn & Zn) in plants.

Earlier reported data show varation in studies related to the determination of miniral constituents in some medicinal plants of Pakistan. Syed *et al.*, (1987), estimated lead in tumeric by atomic absorption spectrometry. Ahmad *et al.*, (1994) reported the leaves of major, minor and trace elements in Henna (*Lawsonia intermis*) leaves. Saleem *et al.*, reported the chemistry of the medicinal plants of the genus *Acacia*. They collected about 11 species of this genus and described the medicinal importance in treatments of various diseases.

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	[m	[metal concentration (ug g-1 of the dried plant materials)].									
		Zn	Mn	Cu	Fe						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Metals detection limits	1.0 (ug g-1)	10 (ug g-1)	4.0 (ug g-1)	40 (ug g-1)						
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	SRM 1572 certified value	29.0 ± 2.0	23.0 ± 2.0	16.5 ± 1.0	90.0 ± 1.3						
% Recovery1051009999Curcuma longa18.3 ± 3.816.5 ± 2.2 5.3 ± 0.9 800 ± 103Syzgium aromaticum13.3 ± 0.6 539 ± 26 4.7 ± 1.7 235 ± 48 Amomum subultum 45.3 ± 5.2 223 ± 18 14.0 ± 3.3 285 ± 44 Cuminum cyminum 43.0 ± 2.6 228 ± 0.9 17.0 ± 3.7 482 ± 35 Cuminum nigrum 55.6 ± 22.2 43.3 ± 9.5 14.3 ± 2.5 1726 ± 138 Piper nigrum 5.0 ± 5.3 237 ± 3 14.3 ± 1.7 155 ± 69 Cinnamomum zeylanicum 10.0 ± 3.7 323 ± 15 4.0 ± 0.0 129 ± 49 Myristica fragrans 28.0 ± 11.5 4.3 ± 3.4 32.6 ± 4.9 222 ± 44 Mentha arvensis 40.3 ± 4.0 92.5 ± 4.8 30.6 ± 6.0 4144 ± 193 Eucalyptus citriodora 32.3 ± 6.5 19.1 ± 2.7 14.3 ± 2.05 501 ± 15 Azadirachta indica 33.3 ± 9.9 29.5 ± 4.1 BDL 475 ± 23 Cassia fistula 66.3 ± 20.4 35.3 ± 6.8 8.7 ± 2.5 59 ± 14 Coriandrum sativum 51.6 ± 19.4 21.0 ± 0.5 18.0 ± 2.5 424 ± 74 Cichorium intybus 89.6 ± 31.6 67.9 ± 6.6 21.3 ± 3.1 2390 ± 88 Ricinus communis 133 ± 12 14.0 ± 1.0 17.3 ± 0.9 397 ± 81 Ocimun basilicum 83.3 ± 6.2 264 ± 21 179 ± 10 1237 ± 124 Gilycyrhiza glapra 12.7 ± 0.0 107 ± 15 80.4 ± 24.2 4823 ± 1370	Determined value	30.4 ± 1.6	23.0 ± 0.6	16.3 ± 0.2	89.0 ± 1.3						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	% Recovery	105	100	99	99						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Curcuma longa	18.3 ± 3.8	16.5 ± 2.2	5.3 ± 0.9	800 ± 103						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Syzgium aromaticum	13.3 ± 0.6	539 ± 26	$4.7 \hspace{0.2cm} \pm \hspace{0.2cm} 1.7$	$235\ \pm 48$						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Amomum subultum	45.3 ± 5.2	223 ± 18	14.0 ± 3.3	285 ± 44						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cuminum cyminum	43.0 ± 2.6	228 ± 0.9	17.0 ± 3.7	482 ± 35						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cuminum nigrum	55.6 ± 22.2	43.3 ± 9.5	14.3 ± 2.5	1726 ± 138						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Piper nigrum	5.0 ± 5.3	237 ±3	14.3 ± 1.7	155 ± 69						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cinnamomum zeylanicum	10.0 ± 3.7	323 ± 15	4.0 ± 0.0	129 ± 49						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Myristica fragrans	28.0 ± 11.5	4.3 ± 3.4	$32.6\ \pm 4.9$	222 ± 44						
Eucalyptus citriodora 32.3 ± 6.5 19.1 ± 2.7 14.3 ± 2.05 501 ± 15 Azadirachta indica 33.3 ± 9.9 29.5 ± 4.1 BDL 475 ± 23 Cassia fistula 66.3 ± 20.4 35.3 ± 6.8 8.7 ± 2.5 559 ± 14 Coriandrum sativum 51.6 ± 19.4 21.0 ± 0.5 18.0 ± 2.5 424 ± 74 Cichorium intybus 89.6 ± 31.6 67.9 ± 6.6 21.3 ± 3.1 2390 ± 88 Ricinus communis 133 ± 12 14.0 ± 1.0 17.3 ± 0.9 397 ± 81 Ocimun basilicum 83.3 ± 6.2 264 ± 21 179 ± 10 1237 ± 124 Glycyrrhiza glapra 12.7 ± 0.0 107 ± 15 80.4 ± 24.2 4823 ± 1370 Foeniculum vulgare 37.5 ± 3.0 877 ± 85 117 ± 22 1034 ± 293 Elettaria cardamomum 50.6 ± 2.4 2840 ± 112 48.2 ± 20.6 441 ± 61 Peganum harmala 20.5 ± 9.5 352 ± 123 81.0 ± 5.7 4954 ± 684 Zingiber officinale 19.7 ± 1.9 1014 ± 52 49.4 ± 2.7 2457 ± 1110 Trachyspermum ammi 80.6 ± 24.1 771 ± 11 145 ± 27 2792 ± 304 Capcicum frutenscens 22.8 ± 12.7 194 ± 10 141 ± 34 3708 ± 919 Aneilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102	Mentha arvensis	40.3 ± 4.0	92.5 ± 4.8	30.6 ± 6.0	4144 ± 193						
Azadirachta indica 33.3 ± 9.9 29.5 ± 4.1 BDL 475 ± 23 Cassia fistula 66.3 ± 20.4 35.3 ± 6.8 8.7 ± 2.5 559 ± 14 Coriandrum sativum 51.6 ± 19.4 21.0 ± 0.5 18.0 ± 2.5 424 ± 74 Cichorium intybus 89.6 ± 31.6 67.9 ± 6.6 21.3 ± 3.1 2390 ± 88 Ricinus communis 133 ± 12 14.0 ± 1.0 17.3 ± 0.9 397 ± 81 Ocimu basilicum 83.3 ± 6.2 264 ± 21 179 ± 10 1237 ± 124 Glycyrrhiza glapra 12.7 ± 0.0 107 ± 15 80.4 ± 24.2 4823 ± 1370 Foeniculum vulgare 37.5 ± 3.0 877 ± 85 117 ± 22 1034 ± 293 Elettaria cardamomum 50.6 ± 2.4 2840 ± 112 48.2 ± 20.6 441 ± 61 Peganum harmala 20.5 ± 9.5 352 ± 123 81.0 ± 5.7 4954 ± 684 Zingiber officinale 19.7 ± 1.9 1014 ± 52 49.4 ± 2.7 2457 ± 1110 Trachyspermum ammi 80.6 ± 24.1 771 ± 11 145 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Areilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9	Eucalyptus citriodora	32.3 ± 6.5	19.1 ± 2.7	14.3 ± 2.05	501 ±15						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Azadirachta indica	33.3 ± 9.9	29.5 ± 4.1	BDL	475 ± 23						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Cassia fistula	66.3 ± 20.4	35.3 ± 6.8	8.7 ± 2.5	559 ± 14						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Coriandrum sativum	51.6 ± 19.4	21.0 ± 0.5	18.0 ± 2.5	424 ± 74						
Ricinus communis 133 ± 12 14.0 ± 1.0 17.3 ± 0.9 397 ± 81 Ocimun basilicum 83.3 ± 6.2 264 ± 21 179 ± 10 1237 ± 124 Glycyrrhiza glapra 12.7 ± 0.0 107 ± 15 80.4 ± 24.2 4823 ± 1370 Foeniculum vulgare 37.5 ± 3.0 877 ± 85 117 ± 22 1034 ± 293 Elettaria cardamomum 50.6 ± 2.4 2840 ± 112 48.2 ± 20.6 441 ± 61 Peganum harmala 20.5 ± 9.5 352 ± 123 81.0 ± 5.7 4954 ± 684 Zingiber officinale 19.7 ± 1.9 1014 ± 52 49.4 ± 2.7 2457 ± 1110 Trachyspermum ammi 80.6 ± 24.1 771 ± 11 145 ± 27 2792 ± 304 Capcicum frutenscens 22.8 ± 12.7 194 ± 10 141 ± 34 3708 ± 919 Aneilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.0 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 <td>Cichorium intybus</td> <td>89.6 ± 31.6</td> <td>67.9 ± 6.6</td> <td>21.3 ± 3.1</td> <td>2390 ± 88</td>	Cichorium intybus	89.6 ± 31.6	67.9 ± 6.6	21.3 ± 3.1	2390 ± 88						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Ricinus communis	133 ± 12	14.0 ± 1.0	17.3 ± 0.9	397 ± 81						
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Ocimun basilicum	83.3 ± 6.2	264 ± 21	179 ± 10	1237 ± 124						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Glycyrrhiza glapra	12.7 ± 0.0	107 ± 15	80.4 ± 24.2	4823 ± 1370						
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Elettaria \ cardamonum & 50.6 \pm 2.4 & 2840 \pm 112 & 48.2 \pm 20.6 & 441 \pm 61 \\ Peganum harmala & 20.5 \pm 9.5 & 352 \pm 123 & 81.0 \pm 5.7 & 4954 \pm 684 \\ Zingiber officinale & 19.7 \pm 1.9 & 1014 \pm 52 & 49.4 \pm 2.7 & 2457 \pm 1110 \\ Trachyspermum ammi & 80.6 \pm 24.1 & 771 \pm 11 & 145 \pm 27 & 2792 \pm 304 \\ Capcicum frutenscens & 22.8 \pm 12.7 & 194 \pm 10 & 141 \pm 34 & 3708 \pm 919 \\ Aneilema scapiflorum & 61.2 \pm 20.6 & 330 \pm 41 & 316 \pm 315 & 4782 \pm 470 \\ Ziziphus vulgaris & 7.5 \pm 4.0 & 67 \pm 2 & 146 \pm 34 & 384 \pm 13 \\ Nigella sativa & 52.3 \pm 4.5 & 231 \pm 19 & 138 \pm 40 & 3355 \pm 333 \\ Mimosa pudica & 498 \pm 30 & 1102 \pm 99 & 293 \pm 52 & 5547 \pm 947 \\ Syzigium cumin & 1.1 \pm 0.4 & 88 \pm 9 & 42.3 \pm 21.3 & 2165 \pm 464 \\ Aloe barbedensis & BDL & 34 \pm 3 & BDL & 269 \pm 127 \\ Citrullus colocynthis & 13.6 \pm 1.9 & 98 \pm 11 & 63.7 \pm 18.9 & 779 \pm 127 \\ Rheum emodi & 16.7 \pm 5.2 & 242 \pm 27 & 33.9 \pm 19.9 & 4507 \pm 776 \\ Gymnem sylvester & 33.0 \pm 5.2 & 1599 \pm 179 & 102 \pm 24 & 16373 \pm 384 \\ Trapa bispinosa & 502 \pm 6.0 & 310 \pm 279 & 232 \pm 226 & 3300 \pm 1393 \\ Cassia absus & 451 \pm 145 & 582 \pm 52 & 100 \pm 25 & 11613 \pm 2691 \\ Withania coagulans & 231 \pm 253 & 226 \pm 9 & 230 \pm 214 & 9293 \pm 1200 \\ \end{array}$	Foeniculum vulgare	37.5 ± 3.0	877 ± 85	117 ± 22	1034 ± 293						
Peganum harmala 20.5 ± 9.5 352 ± 123 81.0 ± 5.7 4954 ± 684 Zingiber officinale 19.7 ± 1.9 1014 ± 52 49.4 ± 2.7 2457 ± 1110 Trachyspermum ammi 80.6 ± 24.1 771 ± 11 145 ± 27 2792 ± 304 Capcicum frutenscens 22.8 ± 12.7 194 ± 10 141 ± 34 3708 ± 919 Aneilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Elettaria cardamomum	50.6 ± 2.4	2840 ± 112	48.2 ± 20.6	441 ± 61						
Zingiber officinale 19.7 ± 1.9 1014 ± 52 49.4 ± 2.7 2457 ± 1110 Trachyspermum ammi 80.6 ± 24.1 771 ± 11 145 ± 27 2792 ± 304 Capcicum frutenscens 22.8 ± 12.7 194 ± 10 141 ± 34 3708 ± 919 Aneilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Peganum harmala	20.5 ± 9.5	352 ± 123	81.0 ± 5.7	4954 ± 684						
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Zingiber officinale	19.7 ± 1.9	1014 ± 52	49.4 ± 2.7	2457 ± 1110						
Capcicum frutenscens 22.8 ± 12.7 194 ± 10 141 ± 34 3708 ± 919 Aneilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Trachyspermum ammi	80.6 ± 24.1	771 ± 11	145 ± 27	2792 ± 304						
Aneilema scapiflorum 61.2 ± 20.6 330 ± 41 316 ± 315 4782 ± 470 Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Capcicum frutenscens	22.8 ± 12.7	194 ± 10	141 ± 34	3708 ± 919						
Ziziphus vulgaris 7.5 ± 4.0 67 ± 2 146 ± 34 384 ± 13 Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Aneilema scapiflorum	61.2 ± 20.6	330 ± 41	316 ± 315	4782 ± 470						
Nigella sativa 52.3 ± 4.5 231 ± 19 138 ± 40 3355 ± 333 Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Ziziphus vulgaris	7.5 ± 4.0	67 ± 2	146 ± 34	384 ± 13						
Mimosa pudica 498 ± 30 1102 ± 99 293 ± 52 5547 ± 947 Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Nigella sativa	52.3 ± 4.5	231 ± 19	138 ± 40	3355 ± 333						
Syzigium cumin 1.1 ± 0.4 88 ± 9 42.3 ± 21.3 2165 ± 464 Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Mimosa pudica	498 ± 30	1102 ± 99	293 ± 52	5547 ± 947						
Aloe barbedensisBDL 34 ± 3 BDL 269 ± 127 Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Syzigium cumin	1.1 ± 0.4	88 ± 9	42.3 ± 21.3	2165 ± 464						
Citrullus colocynthis 13.6 ± 1.9 98 ± 11 63.7 ± 18.9 779 ± 127 Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Aloe barbedensis	BDL	34 ± 3	BDL	269 ± 127						
Rheum emodi 16.7 ± 5.2 242 ± 27 33.9 ± 19.9 4507 ± 776 Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Citrullus colocynthis	13.6 ± 1.9	98 ± 11	63.7 ± 18.9	779 ± 127						
Gymnem sylvester 33.0 ± 5.2 1599 ± 179 102 ± 24 16373 ± 384 Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Rheum emodi	16.7 ± 5.2	242 ± 27	33.9 ± 19.9	4507 ± 776						
Trapa bispinosa 502 ± 6.0 310 ± 279 232 ± 226 3300 ± 1393 Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Gymnem sylvester	33.0 ± 5.2	1599 ± 179	102 ± 24	16373 ± 384						
Cassia absus 451 ± 145 582 ± 52 100 ± 25 11613 ± 2691 Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Trapa bispinosa	502 ± 6.0	310 ± 279	232 ± 226	3300 ± 1393						
Withania coagulans 231 ± 253 226 ± 9 230 ± 214 9293 ± 1200	Cassia absus	451 ± 145	582 ± 52	100 ± 25	11613 ± 2691						
	Withania coagulans	231 ± 253	226 ± 9	230 ± 214	9293 ± 1200						

 Table 6. Heavy Trace Metal levels in medicinal plants determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry

 [metal concentration * (ug g-1 of the dried plant materials)].

Mean of triplicate measurements \pm standard deviation; BDL below detection

Conclusion

In conclusion that the analysis of medicinal herbs/plants in treating various diseases on the regard of their pharmacological action are helpful in regulating their use as certain elements are toxic at elevated levels. Further, it was found that all the studied plants contain good amount of trace elements like Pb , Cd, Zn, Fe, Ni, Cu & Mn etc., which have immunomodulatory functions in human system and the use of various spcies of herbs in local foods recipes and medicinal preparations is a source of essential trace metal supplements in addition to their antimicrobial characteristics. Therefore, it is suggested that the environment required for growth and synthesis of these plants and the possibility that trace metals are

deposited in the plants cannot be disregarded. Moreover, the Inductively Coupled Plasma is very sensitive instrument for the determination of the trace metals concentration (ppm) reference to the chemical constitutional composition of the medicinal - herbal plants for the daily use by the public of society.

Acknowledgement

I am thankful to Professor Dr. SA Kiyani (Late) Ex Pro-Vice Chancellor Balochistan University for the valuable morale and financial support for the purpose of the promotion of research at the level of the students of Universities.

- Ahmad, I., M.H. Siddiqui and Z.A. Khan. 1994. The major, minor and trace elements in Henna leaves. J. Chem. Soc. Pak., 16: 29-31.
- Ali, S.L. 1983. Bestimmung der pestiziden Ru" cksta"nde und anderer bedenklicher veruntreinigungen-wie toxische Metallspurens in Arzneipflanzen. 2-Mitteilung. Bestimmung von toxischen Metallspuren in Arzneidrogen. *Pharm. Ind.*, 45: 1294-1295.
- Ali, S.L. 1987. Assay of pesticide residues and toxic heavy metal traces in plant drugs and their tea infusions. *Pharm. Ztg.*, 132(March 12): 633-638.
- Anonymous. 1998. World Health Organization (WHO). Report on Regulatory Situation of Herbal Medicines: A Worldwide Review. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; TRM-98.1.
- Chandra, S.V. 1980. Toxic Metals in the Environment. *R&D Bulletin*. Lucknow, India: Industrial Toxicological Research Centre, pp. 62.
- Cornelis, R. 1994. In: *Trace element analytical chemistry in medicine and biology*, (Eds.): P. Schramel, P. Brätter and B. Ribas. Vol. 6. Madrid, Consejo Superior De Investigationes Cientificas.
- De Smet, P. A. G. M. 1992. Adverse Effects of Herbal Drugs; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 1992; ISBN 3-540-53100-9.
- Farnsworth, N.R. and D.D. Soejarto. 1991. Global importance of medicinal plants. In: *The conservation of medicinal plants*. (Eds.): O. Akerele, V. Heywood and H. Synge, pp. 25-51. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Förstner, U. 1995. In: Metal speciation and contamination of soil. (AE Herbert, CP Huang, GW Bailey, AR Bowers), London, Lewis, pp. 1-33.
- Gardiner, P.E. 1988. Galleria mellonella as model system to study Cryptococcus neoformans pathogenesis J. Anal. At Spectrom, 3: 163-168.
- Gosselin, R.E., R.P. Smith, H.C. Hodge and J.E. Braddock. 1984. *Clinical Toxicology of Commercial Products*, 5th ed.; Williams and Wilkins: Baltimore, MD.
- Marshall, N.T. 1998. Searching for a cure: conservation of medicinal wildlife resources in East and Southern Africa. TRAFFIC-International, Cambridge, UK.
- Ogunwande, I.A. and N.O. Olawore. 2006. Volatile fractions from the leaf and flowers of African Marigold", *Tagetes erecta* Linn., from Nig. J. Essent. Oil Res., 18: 366-368.
- Pei Shengji. 2001. Ethnobotanical approaches of traditional medicine studies: some experiences from Asia. *Pharmaceutical Botany*, 39: 74-79.
- Peters, H. and H. Schilcher. 1986. Schwermetallbelastung von Arzneidrogen und deren Zuberei-tungen. *Planta Med.*, 521-522.

- Sadasivam, S., B.S. Negi and U.C. Mishra. 1987. Atmospheric lead in some cities in India. *Indian J Environ Health*, 27: 280-86.
- Sahu, K.C. and R. Warrier. 1987. Lead, cadmium and copper concentration of soil and vegetation along Powai road in North Bombay. *Indian J Earth Sci.*, 12: 50-57.
- Saiki, M., M.B. Vasconcellos and J.A. Sertie. 1990. *Trace Elem.Res.*, 26/27: 743.
- Saleem, M., M. Ahmad and A. Ahmad. 1998. Chemistry of medicinal plants of genus Accacia. Hamdard J. Sci. Med., 41: 6367.
- Schilcher, H. 1982. Ru[°] cksta[°]nde und Veruntreinigungen bei Drogen und Drogenzubereitungen. 19. Mitteilung: Zur Wertbestimmung und Qualita[°]tspru[°] fung von Drogen. *Planta Med.*, 44: 65-77.
- Schilcher, H. 1985. Ru" chstandsanalytik bei Drogen und Drogenzubereitungen. Fresenius' Z. Aanl. Chem., 321: 342-351.
- Schilcher, H., H. Peters and H. Wank. 1987. Pestizide und Schwermetalle in Arzneipflanzen und Arzneipflanzenzubereitungen. *Pharm. Ind.*, 49: 203-211.
- Schilcher, H., H. Peters and H. Wank. 1987. Pestizide und Schwermetalle in Arzneipflanzen und Arzneipflanzenzubereitungen. *Pharm. Ind.*, 49: 203-211.
- Schneider, M. Marquard DrR. 1996. Cadmium accumulation of *Fagopyrum esculentum* and *Limum usitassimum* grown on different soils in pot and field areas Beitr Zuchtunsforse. *Acta Hort.*, 426: 435-41.
- Schumacher, M., M.A. Bosque, J.L. Domingo and J. Corbella. 1991. Dietary intake of lead and cadmium from foods in Tarragona Province, Spain. *Bulletin of Environmental Contaminant and Toxicology*, 46: 320-328.
- Scora, R.W. and A.C. Chang. 1997. Heavy metals and seed germination in some medicinal and aromatic plants. J. Environ. Qual., 26: 975-79.
- Sovljanski, R., S. Obradovie, J. Kisgeci, S. Lazie and V. Macko. 1989. The heavy metals contens and quality of hop cones treated by pesticide during the vegetation. *Acta Hortic.*, 249: 81-88.
- Srivastava, R. 2000. Studying the information needs of medicinal plant stakeholders in Europe. TRAFFIC Dispatches, 15: 5.
- Syed, A.M., M. Qadiruddin and A. Khan. 1987. Detection and estimation of lead in Curcuma longa bulb (Turmeric) by atomic absorption spectrometry. J. Chem. Soc. Psk., 9: 387-390.
- Zheljazkov, V.D. and N. Nielsen. 1996. Effect of heavy metals on peppermint and commint. Plant & Soil. Acta Hort., 426: 309-28.

(Received for publication 17 January 2010)