# AUTHENTICATION OF *RUTA GRAVEOLENS* AND ITS ADULTERANT USING INTERNAL TRANSCRIBED SPACER (ITS) SEQUENCES OF NUCLEAR RIBOSOMAL DNA

## FAHAD AL-QURAINY<sup>1</sup>, SALIM KHAN<sup>1</sup>\*, M. AJMAL ALI<sup>1</sup>, FAHAD M. AL-HEMAID<sup>1</sup>, MOHAMED TARROUM<sup>1</sup> AND M. ASHRAF<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany and Microbiology, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh- 11451, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad 38040, Pakistan \*Correspondence author E-mail: salimkhan17@yahoo.co.in; Tel: +966-014675876; Fax: +966-4678301

## Abstract

*Ruta graveolens* L. (Rutaceae) is commonly known as 'Sudab' which is well known for hippocratic medicine and is commonly used in indigenous health-care system in India. Euphorbia dracunculoides Lam. (Euphorbiaceae) in raw drug trading has almost similar morphology to *R. graveolens* in dried state, is being sold locally or used clinically as an adulterant of *R. graveolens* (genuine) at a relatively low price under the same name 'Sudab' which has ultimately reduced the efficacy and quality of this herb. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence of nuclear ribosomal DNA gene of genuine and adulterant were sequenced and analyzed to assess species admixture in raw drug trading of genuine herbal drug. The BLAST search results of ITS sequence of genuine sample of 'Sudab' i.e., *R. graveolens* showed 99% similarity to the sequence of *R. graveolens*, however, *E. dracunculoides* showed 100% similarity to the species of Euphorbia and did not show any similarity with *R. graveolens*. The sequence alignment of both species was entirely different to each other. Phylogenetic analysis based on ITS sequence of adulterant sample i.e., *E. dracunculoides* together with sequences of *Euphorbia* species available in the GenBank has also clearly showed its nesting within the Euphorbia tree. The generated ITS sequences of both samples in the present study may be referred hereafter as species-specific DNA barcode signature, which can be used in authenticating and validating the exact species identities to discriminate the genuine sample of 'Sudab' from its adulterants if any available to guarantee the quality and purity of this drug in the herbal drug market.

#### Introduction

Exact identification of medicinal plants is necessary to ensure the quality of herbal drugs, because in most cases medicinal herbs are knowingly or unknowingly substituted or adulterated with similar species or varieties (Kiran *et al.*, 2010). Many herbal drugs commercially available still cannot be authenticated or identified using their morphological or histological characteristics. Use of a wrong herb may be ineffective or in some cases even fatal.

A variety of plants of the family Rutaceae are used as traditional medicine world-wide. The most common medicinal plant of this family is Ruta graveolens L., which is commonly known as 'Rue' or 'Sudab' in Hindi (Indian language). Although it is native to Europe, it is distributed throughout the world. It is an ornamental evergreen shrub of up to one m tall and has considerable medicinal importance. More than 120 natural compounds mainly including acridone alkaloids, coumarines, essential oils, flavonoids, and furoquinolines have been found in the roots and aerial parts of this plant (Kuzovkinaa et al., 2004). Due to the presence of these potential compounds, it is being widely used for medicinal purpose from very ancient time but prudence of its use is still contentious. The plant is widely used as anti-inflammatory, antiviral, and anti-plasmodial (Raghav et al., 2006), antimicrobial and cytotoxic (Ivanova et al., 2005), as well as contraceptive (Maurya et al., 2004). For example, the polyphenolic and alkanoid fractions of R. graveolens showed protective effects on acute and chronic inflammation in rat (Ratheesh et al., 2010). Total extract (70% ethanol) of this plant showed in vitro cytotoxicity against tumor cell lines of different origin (Varamini et al., 2009). Another species belonging to a different genus, i.e., Euphorbia dracunculoides Lam., (family Euphorbiaceae) has similar morphology in dried state, and is being sold locally or used clinically as a replacement of R. graveolens at a relatively low price under the same name "Sudab" (Khan et al., 2011). This has reduced the efficacy and quality of R. graveolens. The adverse effects dracunculoides were studied as of Ε. epistaxis, nausea/vomiting and haematuria (Rahman et al., 2003) which were found different from those of R. graveolens.

Limitations of biochemical and morphological markers for authentication of herbal drugs have triggered the need to develop more reproducible molecular markers for quality control of medicinal plants. Recently, efforts have been made to ensure accurate identification of medicinal plants used in raw drug trade to guarantee the purity and quality of the drugs (Jayasinghe et al., 2009). Despite a number of classical methods including examination of wood anatomy and morphotaxonomical keys, a variety of DNA-based methods have been recently developed for the identification of medicinal plants (Sucher et al., 2008). Authentication of R. graveolens was earlier carried out (Khan et al., 2011) using RAPD markers, but due to its less reproducibility it has generated the need to develop more reproducible markers for identification of this herbal drug under raw condition for the preservation of the quality of this potential medicinal herb.

The nuclear ribosomal transcriptional unit (NRTU) comprises 18S, 5.8S and 28S genes, two ITS (ITS-1 and ITS-2), and hundreds to thousands tandem copies of an intergenic spacer (IGS) within plant genomes. The conserved regions (18S and 28S genes) of NRTU are used to draw phylogenetic relationships at higher taxonomic levels, whereas the more rapidly evolving segments, ITS and IGS, are employed for studies at the genic or population levels (Alvarez & Wendel, 2003). The nrDNA region has frequent insertions/deletions, which can be phylogenetically informative (Baldwin, 1995). Because of their different rates of evolution, the ITS regions have become preferable markers in evolutionary studies in different taxonomic levels (Gulbitti-Onarici et al., 2009). Internal transcribed spacer sequences (ITS) of nrDNA have been widely used for resolving phylogenetic relationships in many plant species (Gulbitti-Onarici et al., 2009; Pandey & Ali, 2006; Choo et al., 2009), molecular authentication of herbal materials (Zhang et al., 2007), genetic diversity assessment (Mondini et al., 2009), intra-specific variation study (Haque et al., 2009), and DNA barcoding (Zuo et al., 2010). Recently, ITS sequence based SCAR marker has been developed for the discrimination of Paphiopedium armeniacum, P. micranthum, P. delinetii and their hybrids (Sun et al., 2010). Genuine sample specific markers are required to maintain the quality of this medicinal herb for herbal formulations. Since, nrDNA ITS sequences are highly reproducible under wide laboratory conditions as compared to other DNA markers like RAPD, so our major objective was to assess utility of nrDNA-ITS sequence for accurate identification of *R. graveolens*, and differentiate and authenticate *R. graveolens* from *E. dracunculoides*.

Sequences pro	ducing s	significant	alignments:
---------------	----------	-------------	-------------

Accession	Description	Max score	<u>Total</u> <u>score</u>	Query coverage	$\Delta \frac{\underline{E}}{\text{value}}$	<u>Max</u> ident
FJ434146.1	Ruta graveolens internal transcribed spacer 1, partial sequence; 5.85	841	841	99%	0.0	91%
<u>AY484577.1</u>	Ruta montana internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal RNA gen	830	830	99%	0.0	91%
EU591989.1	Ruta graveolens internal transcribed spacer 1, partial sequence; 5.85	802	802	98%	0.0	90%
DQ225781.1	Ruta graveolens isolate R24 internal transcribed spacer 1, complete s	525	525	46%	1e-145	99%
DQ225780.1	Ruta graveolens isolate R25 internal transcribed spacer 1, complete s	<u>525</u>	525	46%	1e-145	99%
FN293001.1	Murraya koenigii ITS1 (partial), 5.8S rRNA gene and ITS2 (partial), s	<u>477</u>	477	69%	3e-131	86%
FN293000.1	Murraya koenigii ITS1 (partial), 5.8S rRNA gene and ITS2 (partial), s	477	477	69%	3e-131	86%
FJ593176.1	Dictamnus sp. cdK74 18S ribosomal RNA gene, partial sequence; inte	477	477	70%	3e-131	87%
GU247240.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate YDCS 18S ribosomal RNA gene, par	475	475	80%	1e-130	84%
GU247239.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate WJHJ 18S ribosomal RNA gene, par	475	475	80%	1e-130	84%
GU247237.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate JABG 18S ribosomal RNA gene, par	475	475	80%	1e-130	84%
GU247236.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate HCDC 18S ribosomal RNA gene, par	<u>475</u>	475	80%	1e-130	84%
GU247235.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate HCBS 18S ribosomal RNA gene, par	475	475	80%	1e-130	84%
GU247234.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate GRDG 18S ribosomal RNA gene, par	475	475	80%	1e-130	84%
GU247233.1	Zanthoxylum schinifolium isolate GNGD 18S ribosomal RNA gene, pa	<u>475</u>	475	80%	1e-130	84%
		175	475	0001	1 100	• • • •

Fig.	1.	Screenshot	showing	ITS see	uences	similarity	v of Ruta	graveolens	under	BLAST	search.
· • • • •	· ·		one on mg		100000000	DITTINUT	,	5		221101	

Accession	Description	<u>Max</u> score	Total score	<u>Query</u> coverage	$\Delta \frac{E}{valu}$
F537542.1	Euphorbia atropurpurea internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosoma	<u>976</u>	976	100%	0.0
F537541.1	Euphorbia regis-jubae internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal F	<u>970</u>	970	100%	0.0
537540.1	Euphorbia aphylla internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal RNA	959	959	100%	0.0
F537539.1	Euphorbia dendroides internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal R	953	953	100%	0.0
537531.1	Euphorbia mauritanica internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal	946	946	100%	0.0
537538.1	Euphorbia usambarica internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal F	920	920	100%	0.0
Q204876.1	Euphorbia orthoclada internal transcribed spacer 1, partial sequence;	904	904	100%	0.
537537.1	Euphorbia schimperi internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal RN	<u>904</u>	904	98%	0.
537535.1	Euphorbia medicaginea internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal	898	898	100%	0.
M040792.1	Euphorbia stolonifera ITS, specimen voucher Bruyns 3938 (BOL, PRE	894	894	100%	0.
F537536.1	Euphorbia megalatlantica internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosom	893	893	100%	0.
537543.1	Euphorbia turczaninowii internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosoma	869	869	100%	0.
J659756.1	Euphorbia virgata voucher RBG Kew 675-68.00450 internal transcrib	828	828	100%	0.
J659755.1	Euphorbia virgata voucher Kim & Park 2001-0035 internal transcribe	828	828	100%	0.0
J659754.1	Euphorbia virgata voucher Kim & Park s.n. internal transcribed space	828	828	100%	0.
J659760.1	Euphorbia cyparissias x Euphorbia esula voucher Kim & Park 2001-0(	824	824	100%	0.
J984307.1	Euphorbia lucida internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal RNA g	821	821	100%	0.
J984311.1	Euphorbia esula internal transcribed spacer 1, 5.8S ribosomal RNA ge	819	819	100%	0.0
1		047		1000/	

Fig. 2. Screenshot showing ITS sequences similarity of Euphorbia dracunculoides under BLAST search.

## **Materials and Methods**

**Raw drug trade sampling:** Samples of both *Ruta graveolens* and *Euphorbia dracunculoides* were collected under the same vernacular name '*Sudab*' from the local herbal market Khari Baoli, Delhi, India. In the local market, the sample of *E. dracunculoides* was found as adulterant when identified very carefully at species level morphologically.

**Genomic DNA extraction and amplification of ITS region:** Leaf powder (100 mg each) was used for total genomic DNA extraction in accordance with the modified CTAB method (Khan *et al.*, 2007). Total genomic DNA was used in the polymerase chain reaction for the amplification of nrDNA ITS regions from *R. graveolens* as well as *E. dracunculoides*. ITS sequences of nrDNA were amplified using primers {ITS1 (Forward 5'-GTCCACTGAACCTTATCATTTAG-3') and ITS4 (Reverse 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3')} of White *et al.*, (1990) using the AccuPower HF PCR PreMix (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea) in 20  $\mu$ L volumes containing 2  $\mu$ L of 10X buffer, 300  $\mu$ M dNTPs, 1  $\mu$ L of a 10 pm solution of each primer, 1 unit of HF DNA polymerase. One round of amplification consisting of denaturation at 94°C for 5 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min., annealing at 49°C for 1 min., and extension at 72°C for 1 min with a final extension step of 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were purified using SolGent PCR purification Kit-Ultra (SolGent, Daejeon, South Korea) prior to sequencing.

**DNA sequencing:** The amplified products were directly sequenced at Macrogen Inc., South Korea using dye terminator chemistry. The sequencing reaction was performed in a 10  $\mu$ L final volume with the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit (Perkin-Elmer, Applied Biosystems). Cycle sequencing was conducted using same primers used in amplification and

## AUTHENTICATION OF RUTA GRAVEOLENS

BigDye vers. 3 reagents and an ABI PRISM 3100 DNA Analyzer (Perkin-Elmer, Applied Biosystems). Cycling conditions included an initial denaturing set at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 96°C for 10 sec, 50°C for 5 sec, and 60°C for 4 min. The sequenced product was precipitated with 17  $\mu$ L of deionized sterile water, 3  $\mu$ L of 3 M sodium acetate solution, and 70  $\mu$ L of 95% ethanol. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was conducted with long ranger single packs (FMC BioProducts) and an ABI 3100 automated DNA sequencer (Perkin-Elmer, Applied Biosystems).



Fig. 3. Complete alignment matrix of the ITS sequences of Ruta graveolens and Euphorbia dracunculoides.

DNA analysis: Each sample was sequenced in the sense and antisense direction and analyzed with ABI Sequence Navigator software (Perkin-Elmer/Applied Biosystems). Nucleotide sequences of both DNA strands were obtained and compared to ensure accuracy. To ensure the sequence similarity, the BLAST searches of the nrDNA ITS sequences of R. graveolens and E. dracunculoides were done through http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Blast.cgi. The sequences generated for both samples in the present study were submitted to the GenBank (accession numbers: HQ830197 and HQ830198, respectively). The nrDNA ITS sequences of both samples were aligned using ClustalX version 1.81(Thompson et al., 1997) to note the differences in sequence pattern. The nrDNA-ITS sequences of Euphorbia sp. available in the GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) were retrieved (see Appendix) and analyzed together with the sequences of E. dracunculoides to test its nesting in the phylogenetic tree.

#### Results

The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence was used in the present study for the authentication of *R. graveolense* to preserve its efficacy and quality for herbal drug formulations. In the local market samples, *E. dracunculoides* was found as adulterant when later identified very carefully at species level at the National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources (NISCAIR), New Delhi (voucher no, NISCAIR/RHMD/ consult/-2007-08/937/121) (Khan *et al.*, 2011). The dried leaves, fruits and stem of E. dracunculoides are more or less similar in morphology with those of R. graveolens in dried state which make difficult to differentiate each other under naked eyes even by taxonomists. These morphological similarities have created confusion among customers, and E. dracunculoides is being purchased instead of R. graveolens by mistake from the local market. The BLAST search result of ITS sequences of genuine sample of 'Sudab' i.e., R. graveolens showed 99% similarity to the sequence of *R. graveolens* (accession No. EU591989) already available in the GenBank (Fig. 1), whereas, the BLAST search result of ITS sequence of adulterant sample purchased by same name 'Sudab' showed 100% similarity to the species of Euphorbia and did not show any similarity with R. graveolens (Fig. 2), and under sequence alignment an entirely different pattern of sequence pattern has been observed in between the sequence of genuine and adulterant samples purchased by the same name 'Sudab' (Fig. 3). A thorough search for the available sequences of Ruta species available in the NCBI GenBank reveals that there is only one ITS sequences of Ruta i.e., R. graveolens (accession No. EU591989) is available, hence, it could not be possible to analyze the generated ITS sequence of genuine sample of 'Sudab' along with the other species of the genus Ruta to observe its nesting within the phylogenetic tree, however, phylogenetic analysis of ITS sequence of adulterant sample, i.e., E. dracunculoides together with sequences of Euphorbia species available in the GenBank has clearly showed its deeply nesting within the Euphorbia tree (Fig. 4).









Fig. 4. The consensus tree was developed from 536 most parsimonious trees. The consistency index is (0.216553), the retention index (0.831093), and the composite index 0.202772 (0.179976) for all sites and parsimony-informative sites (in parentheses). The maximum parsimony tree was obtained using the Close-Neighbor-Interchange algorithm in which the initial trees were obtained with the random addition of sequences (10 replicates). The percentage of parsimonious trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown above the branches. The codon positions included were 1st + 2nd + 3rd + Noncoding. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated from the dataset (Complete Deletion option). There were a total of 271 positions in the final dataset, out of which 95 were parsimony informative. Phylogenetic analyses were conducted in MEGA4.

#### Discussion

Ruta graveolens is characterized by strong-smelling of ethereal oils in its leaves, slightly toxic and bitter in taste. The bruised leaves have a pleasant orange-like fragrance. Since, dried parts of this scented plant such as leaves, fruits and stem are used in herbal formulations, so morphological characteristics of R. graveolens and E. dracunculoides are more or less similar, and difficult to discriminate morphologically under naked eye. R. graveolense commonly known as 'Sudab' in the local herbal markets is adulterated/substituted by E. dracunculoides because of morphological similarities (Khan et al., 2011). The leaves of R. graveolens are bipinnate or tripinnate and obviate-oblong, while those of E. dracunculoides are lanceolate or linear, oblong, subacute, base rarely rounded or sub-cordate; odour and taste not distinct. The fruit of R. graveolens is capsule, globosely with 4-5 lobed containing numerous seeds having tiny size, blackish and triangular in shape, while fruit of E. dracunculoides is capsule with subglobose shape, 3-celled with or without attached pedicel, smooth or obscurely reticulate and glabrous (Khan et al., 2011). Seeds of E. dracunculoides are ovoid-terete and gray or dark gray. These morphological characteristics sometimes misslead authentication of these medicinal plants. R. graveolens has a potential medicinal value which is different from E. dracunculoides according to their traditional usage and on animal studies. Thus, the adulteration/substitution of R. graveolens by E. dracunculoides in the herbal markets reduces the medicinal efficacy of this scented medicinal herb. The generated sequence of both species in the present study may be referred hereafter as species-specific DNA barcode signature which can be used in authenticating and validating the exact species identities to discriminate the genuine sample of 'Sudab' from its adulterant E. dracunculoides or any other adulterants if any available to ensure the purity, quality and safety of this drug. There are other molecular markers available which can be used for the authentication of herbal drugs. For example, RAPD which has simplicity in practical exercise and low experimental cost as well and is being or has already been used for a number of medicinal plant species to discriminate from their morphologically allied and geographically co-occurring species or adulterants (Khan et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2010a, 2010b). R. graveolense was earlier authenticated from its adulterant E. *dracunculoides* based on unique bands using the RAPD marker (Khan et al., 2011). The present generated species-specific DNA barcode signature for R. graveolens and E. dracunculoides can make ease in authenticating and validating the exact species identities to discriminate the genuine sample and adulterant samples of 'Sudab' to ensure the purity, quality and safety of this herbal drug as well as it may prove beneficial for pharmacologists to design safe drug.

### Acknowledgement

The authors extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University for funding the work through the research group project No. RGP-VPP-014.

#### References

- Kiran, U., S, Khan, K.J. Mirza, M. Ram and M.Z Abdin. 2010. SCAR Markers: A potential tool for authentication of herbal drugs. *Fitoterapia*, 81: 969-976.
- Kuzovkinaa, I., I. Al'termana and B. Schneider. 2004. Specific accumulation and revised structures of acridone alkaloid glucosides in the tips of transformed roots of *Ruta graveolens*. *Phytochemistry*, 65: 1095-1100.

- Raghav, S.K., B. Gupta, C. Agrawal, K. Goswami and H.R. Das. 2006. Anti-inflammatory effect of *Ruta graveolens* L., in murine macrophage cells. *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 104: 234-239.
- Ivanova, A., B. Mikhova, H. Najdenski, I. Tsvetkova and I. Kostova. 2005. Antimicrobial and cytotoxic activity of *Ruta graveolens*. *Fitoterapia*, 76: 344-347.
- Maurya, R., S. Srivastava, D.K. Kulshreshta and C.M. Gupta. 2004. Traditional remedies for fertility regulation. *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 11: 1431-1450.
- Ratheesh, M., G.L. Shyni, G. Sindhu and A. Helen. 2010. Protective effects of isolated polyphenolic and alkaloid fractions of *Ruta* graveolens L. on acute and chronic models of inflammation. *Inflammation*, 33: 18-24.
- Varamini, P., M. Soltani and A Ghaderi. 2009. Cell cycle analysis and cytotoxic potential of *Ruta graveolens* against human tumor cell lines. *Neoplasma*, 56: 490-493.
- Khan, S., K.J. Mirza, M.R. Tyagi and M.Z. Abdin. 2011. Development of RAPD Markers for authentication of *Ruta* graveolens (L.) and its adulterant. *MAPSB.*, 5(1): 58-61.
- Rahman, S.Z., A. Latif and K.C. Singhal. 2003. A case report of ADR due to misidentification of an indigenous drug. *Indian J. Pharmacol.*, 35: 128-136.
- Jayasinghe, R., L.H Niu, T.E Coram, S. Kong, J. Kaganovitch, C.C. Xue, C.G. Li and E.C. Pang. 2009. Effectiveness of an innovative prototype subtracted diversity array (SDA) for fingerprinting plant species of medicinal importance. *Planta Med.*, 75: 1180-1185.
- Sucher, N.J. and M.C. Carles. 2008. Genome-based approaches to the authentification of medicinal plants. *Planta Med.*, 74: 603-623.
- Alvarez, I. and J.F. Wendel. 2003. Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.*, 29: 417-434.
- Baldwin, B.G., M.J. Sanderson, J. M. Porter, M.F. Wojciechowski, C.S. Campbell and M.J. Donoghue. 1995. The ITS region of nuclear ribosomal DNA: a valuable source of evidence on angiosperm phylogeny. *Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard.*, 82: 247-277.
- Gulbitti-Onarici, S., C. Sancak, S. Sumer and S. Ozcan. 2009. Phylogenetic relationships of some wild wheat species based on the internal transcribed spacer sequences of nrDNA. *Curr. Sci.*, 96: 794-800.
- Pandey, A.K. and M.A. Ali. 2006. Molecular markers in plant systematics I: nuclear sequences. In: *Plant Sciences Research in India: Challenges and Prospects*, (Ed.): S. Kumar. pp. 21-36, Botanical Survey of India, Dehradun, India.
- Choo, B.K., B.C. Moon, Y. Ji, B.B. Kim, G. Choi, T. Yoon and H.K. Kim. 2009. Development of SCAR markers for the discrimination of three species of medicinal plants, *Angelica decursiva* (*Peucedanum decursivum*) *Peucedanum praeruptorum* and *Anthricus sylvestris*, based on the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) sequence and random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD). *Biol. Pharm. Bull.*, 32: 24-30.
- Zhang, Y.B., P.C. Shaw, C.W. Sze, Z.T. Wang and Y. Tong. 2007. Molecular authentication of Chinese herbal materials. J. Food Drug Anal., 15: 1-9.
- Mondini, L., A. Noorani and M.A. Pagnotta. 2009. Assessing plant genetic diversity by molecular tools. *Diversity*, 1: 19-35.
- Haque, I., R. Bandopadhyay and K. Mukhopadhyay. 2009. Intraspecific variation in *Commiphora wightii* populations based on internal transcribed spacer (ITS1-5.8S-ITS2) sequences of rDNA. *Diversity*, 1: 89-101.
- Zuo, Y., Z. Chen, K. Kondo, T. Funamoto, J. Wen and S. Zhou. 2010. DNA barcoding of *Panax* species. *Planta Med.*, 77: 182-187.
- Sun, Y.W., Y.J. Liao, Y.S. Hung, J.C. Chang and J.M. Sung. 2010. Development of ITS sequence based SCAR markers for discrimination of *Paphiopedilum armeniacum*, *Paphiopedilum micran*thum, *Paphiopedilum delenatii* and their hybrids. *Sci. Hort.*, 127: 405-410.
- Khan, S., M.I. Qureshi, Kamaluddin, T. Alam and M.Z. Abdin. 2007. Protocol for isolation of genomic DNA from dry and fresh roots of medicinal plants suitable for RAPD and restriction digestion. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, 6: 175-178.

- White, T.J., T. Bruns, S. Lee and J. Taylor. 1990. Amplifcation and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics In: *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*, (Eds.): M. Innis, D. Gelfand, J. Sninsky, T. White. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, USA, 315-322.
- Thompson, J.D., T.J. Gibson, F. Plewniak, F. Jeanmougin and D.G. Higgins. 1997. The Clustal-X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 24: 4876-4882.
- Khan, S., K.J. Mirza, M. Tayaab and M.Z. Abdin. 2009. RAPD profile for authentication of medicinal plant *Glycyrrhiza glabra* Linn. *Int. J Food Saf.*, 3: 11-24-28.
- Khan, S., K. J. Mirza, F. Anwar and M. Z. Abdin. 2010a. Development of RAPD markers for authentication of *Piper nigrum* (L.). *Environ. We Int. J. Sci. Tech.*, 5: 47-56.
- Khan, S., K.J. Mirza and M.Z. Abdin. 2010b. Development of RAPD markers for authentication of medicinal plant *Cuscuta Reflexa*. *EurAsia J. BioSci.*, 4: 1-7.

(Received for publication 5 August 2010)