GENOME-WIDE IDENTIFICATION AND EXPRESSION PATTERN ANALYSIS OF THE TRIHELIX GENE FAMILY IN CUCUMBER

WANZHENG MA1*, DEKUN YANG², MENGRU QIU¹, JIONG GAO² AND RONGJING CUI²

¹College of Resource and Environment, Anhui Science and Technology University, Fengyang 233100, Anhui, China ²College of Agriculture, Anhui Science and Technology University, Fengyang 233100, Anhui, China ^{*}Corresponding author's email: mawz@ahstu.edu.cn

Abstract

Trihelix family genes (TFGs) serve as a crucial transcription factor (TF) family influencing plant growth and development. Despite its significance, knowledge regarding TFGs in cucumber is scarce. This study uncovered the identification of 28 TFGs in cucumber, distributed across seven chromosomes and categorized into five subfamilies: SIP1, GT γ , SH4, GT-1, and GT-2. Synteny analysis revealed colinearity between 24 cucumber TFGs and 28 *Arabidopsis* TFGs, along with 21 cucumber TFGs and 33 rice TFGs. Tissue-specific expression analysis indicated varying expression profiles of TFGs across diverse tissues, with only the *CsaV3_7G033160* gene remaining unexpressed in all tissues. Expression pattern analysis of cucumber TFGs under different types of abiotic stress (AbS) and biotic stress (BS) such as high-temperature, chilling, salt, waterlogging, downy mildew, powdery mildew, *Phytophthora capsici, Fusarium* wilt, root-knot nematode and angular leaf spot treatments showed that the differential expression of *CsaV3_3G033700* gene under 6 types of AbS and BS, while *CsaV3_3G036680* and *CsaV3_6G004030* genes had differential expression under 5 types of AbS and BS, indicating their pivotal roles in cucumber growth and development. This comprehensive study on the identification, evolution, and expression patterns of the TFG provides valuable insights into potential candidates for breeding stress-resistant cucumber varieties, laying important foundation for future investigations into the molecular biological functions of cucumber TFGs.

Key words: Trihelix gene family, Cucumis sativus L., Evolutionary analysis, Expression pattern analysis.

Introduction

Transcription factors (TFs) serve as crucial regulators, influencing plant growth and responses to environmental stresses by binding to specific *cis*-regulatory elements in the promoter regions. This binding activates or represses the transcriptional activity of target genes (Riechmann et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2011). At present, over 60 TF gene families have been identified in plants (Jin et al., 2016). DNA-binding factors, as a plant-specific Trihelix transcription factor gene family, have a unique DNA-binding domain with a special binding site for GT factors (Nagano, 2000). Previous reports have demonstrated that the sequence of the trihelix structure in GT factors closely resembles that of the Myb DNA-binding domains (Qin et al., 2014). However, the distinctive feature lies in the gaps between helix pairs, which contribute to the formation of a specific binding site for GT elements within the GT factors domain.

The initial trihelix family gene (TFG) GT-1 was discovered in Pisum sativum (Green et al., 1987). and its homologous genes were then cloned in tobacco (Perisic & Lam, 1992) and Arabidopsis thaliana (Hiratsuka et al., 1994). TFGs have been identified in several major plants, such as rice (Ji et al., 2015), soybean (Osorio et al., 2012), tomato (Yu et al., 2015), and chrysanthemum (Song et al., 2016), playing essential roles in various stress responses and developmental processes. In Arabidopsis thaliana, the PETAL LOSS (PTL) gene from the GT-2 subfamily regulates the development of sepals, petals, and floral organs (Griffith et al., 1999; Brewer et al., 2004; Lampugnani et al., 2012). Another gene, GT-2 Like 1 (AtGTL1), can act as a temporal regulator inhibiting the growth of root hair by binding to the ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE SIX-LIKE4 (RSL4) activator (Shibata et al., 2018). Loss-of-function mutations in the AtGTL1 gene contribute to the plant's tolerance to water deficit (Yoo et al., 2010). In rice, SHA1 is responsible for modulating the seed-scattering process (Lin *et al.*, 2007). The GT γ evolution branch gene, $OsGT\gamma$ -1, has also exhibited high expression levels under salt stress in rice (Fang *et al.*, 2010). In soybean, the up-regulated expression of GmGT-2A and GmGT-2B results in high tolerance to salt, drought, and cold (Xie *et al.*, 2009). In Brassica napus, BnSIP1-1, belonging to SIP1 subfamilies, improves seed germination by overexpressing under abscisic acid treatment, salt stress and osmotic pressure (Luo *et al.*, 2017). The distinctiveness of TFGs in plants suggests their role in plant-specific gene regulation.

Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.), the first vegetable crop to have its genome published in 2009 (Huang et al., 2009), holds a prominent position in national economic development. Despite extensive investigations into various gene families (e.g., WRKY (Ling et al., 2011), MADS-box (Hu & Liu, 2012), NBS (Wan et al., 2013), and bZIP (Baloglu et al., 2014)) in cucumber, the functional and evolutionary aspects of the cucumber TFG remain unexplored. In this study, we systematically and comprehensively identified the TFGs in cucumber through whole-genome analysis. We provided detailed physicochemical information encompassing characteristics, gene structure, chromosomal localization, phylogenetic tree, and collinearity relationships. Additionally, we assessed the expression profiles of cucumber TFGs using extensive data from cucumber transcriptome sequencing (TS). This analysis included tissue-specific expression patterns (EPs) and expression profiling under 10 types of AbS and BS, according to the latest cucumber genome data. Our study lays a crucial foundation for further exploration into the molecular functions of cucumber TFGs. Moreover, these findings provide a theoretical framework for molecular breeding strategies aimed at enhancing cucumber resistance.

Material and Methods

Identification and chromosomal distribution of TFGs in cucumber: The Hidden Markov Model (HMM) model file (PF13837) of TFGs was downloaded from the Pfam database. Subsequently, potential cucumber TFGs were identified through scanning with HMMER 3.0. Pfam and SMART website (Letunic et al., 2021) was employed for identifying the TFG members, and the genes containing Trihelix domains were designated as TFGs. The physicochemical characteristics of cucumber TFGs, including the number of amino acids, CDS size, isoelectric point, molecular weight, aliphatic index, instability index, and grand average of hydropathicity were analyzed using the TBtools software (Chen et al., 2020a). Subcellular localization of cucumber TFGs were estimated through CELLO (http://cello.life.nctu.edu.tw/) (Yu et al., 2004). Furthermore, the chromosomal location of cucumber TFGs was visualized using TBtools.

Structural and phylogenetic analyses of TFGs in cucumber: To depict the structural features of cucumber TFG members, the GFF3 file (general feature format 3) was utilized. The online website MEME was employed for analyzing conserved motifs within cucumber trihelix proteins (Bailey et al., 2006). The analysis involved a maximum of 10 motifs, with an optimal motif width ranging from 6 to 100 amino acid residues. For an in-depth understanding of the regulatory elements associated with cucumber TFGs, the PlantCare website was utilized. This analysis focused on cis-acting elements and was based on the examination of 1.5 kb upstream sequences of the TFGs (Lescot et al., 2002). To assess the evolutionary relationship between TFGs from Arabidopsis and cucumber, a phylogenetic tree was constructed. This involved the utilization of the neighbor-joining approach with specific parameters, including 1000 bootstrap replications and pairwise deletion, within the MEGA 11 software.

Synteny analysis of TFGs in rice, *Arabidopsis* and **cucumber:** To unravel the syntenic relationships within the TFGs from rice, *Arabidopsis*, and cucumber, collinearity analysis was executed using MCScanX software (Wang *et al.*, 2012). The results were then visually presented through the Circos tool (Krzywinski *et al.*, 2009).

RNA-seq re-analysis with cucumber TS big data: The cucumber TS big data was retrieved from the SRA database, and subsequently transformed into fastq format using the fasterq-dump.2.11.0. Quality assessment of the fastq data was carried out using FastQC (Brown et al., 2017). To enhance data integrity, low-quality sequences were eliminated utilizing the Trimmomatics plug-in (Bolger et al., 2014), resulting in a set of filtered and clean data. The filtered transcriptome data were aligned to the cucumber ChineseLong_V3 version genome using STAR (Li et al., 2009). The obtained SAM files were further converted into BAM files. Gene expression analysis was conducted with the StringTie Quantify plugin (Pertea et al., 2015), followed by the identification of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) using the DESeq2 plug-in (Varet et al., 2016).

Tissue-specific expression analysis of cucumber TFGs: The cucumber TS project PRJNA80169 (Li *et al.*, 2011) was obtained from the SRA database to investigate the EPs of TFGs across diverse cucumber tissues. Employing the RNA-seq analysis workflow, the transcriptome data underwent re-analysis using the ChineseLong_V3 version genome information of cucumber. Subsequently, a heatmap illustrating the expression profiles of cucumber TFGs in diverse tissues was generated using the TBtools.

Expression profiling of cucumber TFGs under AbS and **BS:** To analyze the EPs of cucumber TFGs under different stress conditions, the cucumber TS projects associated with AbS (high-temperature (PRJNA634519) (Chen et al., 2020b), chilling (PRJNA438923) (Li et al., 2020), salt (PRJNA511946) (Zhu et al., 2019), waterlogging (Kęska et al., 2021)) and BS (downy mildew (PRJNA285071) powdery (Burkhardt & Day, 2016), mildew (PRJNA321023) (Xu et al., 2017), Fusarium wilt (PRJNA472169) (Dong et al., 2020), Phytophthora capsici (PRJNA345040) (Mansfeld et al., 2017), angular leaf spot (PRJNA704621) (Słomnicka et al., 2021), root-knot nematode (PRJNA419665) (Wang et al., 2018)) were retrieved from SRA database. Following the aforementioned methods, RNA-seq re-analyses were conducted, and the resulting EPs were visualized through heatmaps using the TBtools software.

Results

Genome-wide identification of TFGs in cucumber: Overall 28 cucumber TFGs were screened out, and their detailed information is presented in Table 1. The results indicated that the CDS sizes of TFGs ranged from 369 bp to 2730 bp, encoding a varying number of amino acids between 122 and 909. Molecular weights showed diversity, ranging from 13.89 to 100.49 kD, while aliphatic indexes varied from 51.31 to 91.89. The theoretical isoelectric points of the 28 cucumber trihelix proteins ranged from 4.78 to 9.96. With the exception of CsaV3_1G033320 protein, which exhibited stability (instability coefficient less than 40), the instability indexes of the other cucumber trihelix proteins were greater than 40, classifying them as unstable proteins. The mean hydrophilicity of all cucumber trihelix proteins was less than zero, indicating their hydrophilic nature. Subcellular localization prediction demonstrated that only the CsaV3_1G033320 gene was located in the extracellular matrix, while the remaining 27 TFGs were found in the nucleus (Table 1).

Chromosomal localization of cucumber TFGs: The 28 cucumber TFGs were unevenly anchored across the 7 chromosomes of cucumber, with chromosome 3 hosting the higher number (six TFGs) and chromosome 2 having the lowest number (two TFGs). Gene duplication event analysis revealed three pairs of tandem repeat gene pairs: CsaV3_1G033310 and CsaV3_1G033320, CsaV3_3G036680 and CsaV3_3G036690, CsaV3_6G004020 and CsaV3 6G004030. Additionally, two pairs of segmental duplication gene pairs were identified: CsaV3 1G033310 on chromosome 1 and CsaV3_7G005690 on chromosome 7, as well as CsaV3_3G033700 on chromosome 3 and CsaV3_4G026300 on chromosome 4 (Fig. 1).

		Table 1	. The physicochemic:	al features	s of the 28 TFG	s in cucumber.		
Gene ID	CDS size (bp)	Number of amino acids (aa)	Molecular weight (kD)	pI	Instability index	Aliphatic index	Grand average of hydropathicity	Prediction of subcellular location
CsaV3_1G000660	2730	606	100.49	8.37	45.23	87.51	-0.369	Nucleus
CsaV3_1G015790	1929	642	72.87	5.92	58.03	69.78	-0.721	Nucleus
CsaV3_1G033310	570	189	21.52	9.96	44.42	63.97	-0.676	Nucleus
CsaV3_1G033320	369	122	13.89	9.34	34.39	91.89	-0.39	Extracellular matrix
CsaV3_1G045640	573	190	21.68	9.36	54.74	60.58	-1.108	Nucleus
CsaV3_2G018070	1500	499	57.25	5.55	48.98	61.04	-1.097	Nucleus
CsaV3_2G025280	1194	397	45.45	60.9	56.44	64.89	-0.905	Nucleus
CsaV3_3G010680	1101	366	40.21	9.33	68.39	53.93	-0.829	Nucleus
CsaV3_3G033700	924	307	36.27	6.99	52.49	55.99	-1.142	Nucleus
CsaV3_3G036680	1320	439	50.51	5.92	60.95	51.96	-1.123	Nucleus
CsaV3_3G036690	1617	538	59.38	6.45	49.16	69.57	-0.548	Nucleus
CsaV3_3G036920	1554	517	59.15	6.61	49.77	51.95	-1.144	Nucleus
CsaV3_3G047250	936	311	34.92	4.88	52.47	79.36	-0.691	Nucleus
CsaV3_4G006900	825	274	32.71	7.71	49.68	55.66	-1.171	Nucleus
CsaV3_4G024170	1332	443	50.13	6.81	46.69	69.30	-0.849	Nucleus
CsaV3_4G026300	780	259	31.64	9.01	48.17	54.67	-1.238	Nucleus
CsaV3_5G001320	2217	738	81.56	5.16	66.31	55.91	-0.882	Nucleus
CsaV3_5G012890	1179	392	44.34	8.78	72.25	64.23	-0.949	Nucleus
CsaV3_5G035640	1218	405	46.24	99.9	58.18	51.31	-0.929	Nucleus
CsaV3_5G036820	1038	345	38.56	9.92	60.33	66.43	-1.022	Nucleus
CsaV3_6G004020	1692	563	63.41	5.90	60.57	55.93	-0.984	Nucleus
CsaV3_6G004030	1962	653	73.32	5.90	70.47	56.75	-1.051	Nucleus
CsaV3_6G005270	1350	449	51.52	6.22	42.71	65.79	-1.04	Nucleus
CsaV3_6G007760	1068	355	38.74	5.48	45.43	74.23	-0.679	Nucleus
CsaV3_7G005690	792	263	30.63	9.44	47.31	70.80	-0.801	Nucleus
CsaV3_7G026050	936	311	36.33	5.15	74.88	57.75	-1.153	Nucleus
CsaV3_7G033160	1158	385	44.60	4.78	49.32	51.66	-1.256	Nucleus
CsaV3_7G034170	1122	373	40.96	9.67	46.51	60.67	-0.901	Nucleus



Fig. 1. The arrangement of TFGs across cucumber chromosomes. Note: Genes highlighted in red signify tandem duplication gene pairs, those in blue represent segmental duplication gene pairs, and those in pink are indicative of both tandem and segmental duplication.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of trihelix proteins from cucumber and Arabidopsis.

Phylogenetic analysis of TFGs in cucumber: To elucidate the categorization of trihelix proteins, phylogenetic trees were developed using trihelix proteins from both cucumber and Arabidopsis. Aligning with the classification outcomes of Arabidopsis TFGs, cucumber TFGs were grouped into five subfamilies: GT-1, GT-2, SH4, SIP1, and GT γ . Within these subfamilies, the SIP1 subfamily featured the highest count of TFGs, while the GTy subfamily exhibited the smallest number of TFGs (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the phylogenetic analysis revealed 13 pairs of orthologous genes between cucumber TFGs and Arabidopsis TFGs, namely, CsaV3_3G033700/ AT2G38250, CsaV3_6G004030/AT1G76880, CsaV3_ 1G000660/ AT5G63420, CsaV3_3G047250/ AT4G31270, CsaV3_ 6G007760/ AT2G33550, CsaV3_7G005690/ AT3G58630, CsaV3_ 7G033160/ AT3G24490, CsaV3_ 5G036820/ AT3G54390, CsaV3_3G010680/AT2G44730, CsaV3_7G026050/ AT3G24860, CsaV3_ 3G036690/ AT3G10030, CsaV3_3G036680/AT3G10040, CsaV3_ 6G005270/ AT1G21200. Two pairs of paralogous genes existed among the cucumber TFGs, CsaV3_1G015790/ CsaV3 1G045640 CsaV3 1G033310/ and CsaV3_1G033320, respectively.

The gene structure and conserved motifs of TFGs in cucumber: The structural analysis of all 28 cucumber TFGs revealed their classification into five subfamilies: GTy, SIP1, SH4, GT-1, and GT-2 (Fig. 3). These classifications generally aligned with the clustering data observed in the comparison of TFGs between cucumber and Arabidopsis (Fig. 2). Overall, 10 conserved motifs (1-10) were identified in the 28 cucumber TFGs. The results demonstrated that trihelix proteins in different subfamilies exhibited distinct conserved sequences, while those within the same subfamily shared identical conserved sequences. For example, in the SIP1 subfamily, most genes contained motifs 4, 1, and 7, arranged in the same order. Conversely, in the GTy subfamily, most genes contained motifs 10, 6, and 1, arranged in a consistent order. This observation suggests that the differential distribution of motifs among various subfamilies may contribute to the evolution of functional diversity. Meanwhile, the similar conserved motifs among TFGs within the same subfamilies may indicate similar functional roles.

Synteny analysis of TFGs among rice, Arabidopsis and cucumber: To explore the evolutionary relationships within the cucumber TFG, synteny analysis was conducted among TFGs from rice, Arabidopsis, and cucumber. The results indicated a total of 37 syntenic relationships involving 24 cucumber TFGs (CsaV3_1G000660, CsaV3_3G036690, CsaV3_6G005270, CsaV3_2G025280, CsaV3_6G007760, CsaV3_3G036920, CsaV3_6G004020, CsaV3_5G035640, CsaV3_7G034170, CsaV3_5G001320, CsaV3_3G036680, CsaV3_3G010680, CsaV3_2G018070, CsaV3_3G033700, CsaV3_1G045640, CsaV3_4G026300, CsaV3_7G033160, CsaV3_5G036820, CsaV3_3G047250, CsaV3_1G033310, CsaV3_5G012890, CsaV3_7G026050, CsaV3 7G005690, CsaV3 1G015790) and 28 Arabidopsis TFGs (*AT5G63420*, AT3G10030, AT1G21200, AT3G25990, AT1G13450, AT2G33550, AT5G03680,

AT1G33240, AT1G76880, AT3G14180, AT3G10000, AT3G10040, AT2G44730, AT2G38250, AT5G01380, AT1G54060, AT5G28300, AT3G24490, AT3G54390, AT4G31270, *AT3G11100*, AT5G05550, AT1G31310, AT3G58630, AT5G40340, AT2G35640, AT5G10140, AT5G65050). There were 45 syntenic relationships between 21 cucumber TFGs (CsaV3_1G000660, CsaV3_3G036690, CsaV3_6G005270, CsaV3_2G025280, CsaV3_4G024170, CsaV3_5G001320, CsaV3_2G018070, CsaV3_6G004020, CsaV3_5G012890, CsaV3_3G036680, CsaV3_4G006900, CsaV3_7G034170, CsaV3_1G033310, CsaV3_1G015790, CsaV3_7G005690, CsaV3_7G026050, CsaV3_5G036820, CsaV3_7G033160, CsaV3_6G007760, CsaV3_3G010680, CsaV3_3G033700) and 33 rice TFGs (Os02g33610, Os04g33300, Os11g06410, Os12g06640, Os04g40930, Os03g02240, Os02g43300, Os04g45750, Os04g57530, Os01g21590, Os04g51320, Os04g36790, Os02g35690, Os05g48690, Os02g06860, Os03g03100, Os01g48320, Os01g74440, Os06g30830, Os09g02830, Os02g47370, Os05g40250, Os08g37810, Os10g41460, Os09g38570, Os01g52090, Os04g32590, Os11g06030, Os11g09690, Os12g21880, Os07g05850, Os02g31160, Os05g06560). Furthermore, two cucumber TFGs (CsaV3 1G033320 CsaV3 6G004030) and were identified as conserved in cucumber but did not exhibit colinearity with any genes in Arabidopsis and rice (Fig. 4). As indicated by the earlier results (Fig. 1), the two pairs of TFGs in cucumber (CsaV3_1G033310/CsaV3_7G005690 and CsaV3_3G033700/CsaV3_4G026300), which were segmental duplications, displayed syntenic relationships.

The *cis*-acting regulatory elements in the promoters of cucumber TFGs: In the promoter regions of 28 cucumber TFGs, 14 distinct *cis*-acting regulatory elements were identified. The majority, constituting 51%, were related to light-responsiveness, encompassing elements such as ACE, Box 4, G-box, I-box, and others. Additionally, various other *cis*-acting regulatory elements were detected, including those linked to hormone response (auxin, salicylic acid, abscisic acid, gibberellin, and MeJA), stress response (low temperature and drought), photoperiod regulation, endosperm expression, meristem expression, and others (Fig. 5). The presence of diverse *cis*-acting regulatory element members in the promoter regions suggests that cucumber TFGs play multiple roles during the growth and development of cucumber plants.

Tissue-specific expression analysis of TFGs in cucumber: To explore the tissue-specific EPs of TFGs in cucumber, the TS data from 10 diverse cucumber tissues were re-analyzed using the ChineseLong_V3 version genome. Among the 28 cucumber TFGs, the CsaV3_7G033160 gene showed no expression across all 10 types of cucumber tissues. Additionally, four cucumber TFGs, namely, CsaV3_1G015790, CsaV3_5G012890, CsaV3 3G033700 and CsaV3_4G026300, exhibited either no expression or low expression levels in any cucumber tissues. Two cucumber TFGs, CsaV3 3G036680 and CsaV3 3G036920, were expressed at low levels or not expressed at all in the tendril, while they displayed expression in other tissues. The remaining cucumber TFGs were expressed in all 10 types of cucumber tissues and demonstrated tissue-specific EPs (Fig. 6).



Fig. 3. The intron-exon structures of TFGs and a schematic representation of the amino acid motifs of trihelix proteins in cucumber.



Fig. 4. The syntenic relationships of TFG in rice, Arabidopsis and cucumber.



Fig. 5. The distribution of *cis*-acting regulatory elements in the promoter regions of cucumber TFGs. (A) The count of different *cis*-acting regulatory elements in the promoter regions of each cucumber TFG. (B) The proportions of various *cis*-acting regulatory elements in the promoter regions of cucumber TFGs, as shown in the pie chart. Note: the *cis*-acting regulatory elements with similar functions are indicated by a single color.

Γ		3.66	2.18	0.65	1.23	3.88	5.14	4.22	4.09	0.81	0.57	CsaV3_1G015790
Γ		0.08	0.66	0.25	1.66	6.95	5.28	7.48	5.70	1.11	0.60	CsaV3_5G012890
Пг	_	4.16	0.64	0.74	1.30	0.22	0.57	0.68	0.38	1.72	2.23	CsaV3_3G033700
14	_	2.68	0.85	0.11	0.14	0.00	0.20	0:00	0.96	0.32	0.20	CsaV3_4G026300
	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0:01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	CsaV3_7G033160
		9.55	30.81	71.13	51.61	7.43	3.96	6.80	11.46	13.90	14.29	CsaV3_5G001320
	L	16.21	45.85	89.50	67.39	26.37	16.44	26.62	17.46	25.51	24.89	CsaV3_6G004030
Г	_	2.21	22.69	10.79	21.14	50.03	31.63	43.23	30.81	35.17	39.48	CsaV3_1G045640
	P	16.83	24.04	16.63	23.42	31.79	49.10	29.96	27.56	43.33	38.16	CsaV3_7G034170
	1-	3.96	24.90	4.14	11.32	29.46	17.10	32.64	48.23	4.64	3.82	CsaV3_1G000660
	5	8.58	12.54	1.80	5.40	26.26	8.35	21.01	26.25	10.31	8.68	CsaV3_3G047250
		9.04	14.89	3.35	7.13	14.90	12.22	14.31	16.71	11.40	13.35	CsaV3_7G026050
	1	62.42	13.97	4.82	5.30	20.25	4.20	19.47	15.65	1.04	0.71	CsaV3_3G036680
Ц		18.59	6.57	11.96	9.31	22.11	7.85	20.36	4.81	0:00	0.25	CsaV3_3G036920
		7.15	5.05	4.37	7.05	10.97	16.24	9.35	7.30	5.80	4.84	CsaV3_4G024170
	- Tr	12.57	6.65	7.39	7.51	10.67	18.04	9.33	6.89	8.32	7.15	CsaV3_2G025280
		12.60	6.50	6.49	5.84	11.20	16.97	10.07	6.30	5.17	4.38	CsaV3_6G005270
		14.72	6.52	16.15	14.74	8.89	20.32	7.71	6.86	5.78	6.68	CsaV3_2G018070
	ΥL	12.52	10.21	14.07	12.89	11.75	21.55	13.62	11.91	10.23	8.44	CsaV3_3G010680
Ц	L-	28.13	18.08	19.48	19.01	23.29	35.25	24.80	20.50	10.37	7.86	CsaV3_3G036690
	_	54.35	14.02	31.44	21.85	7.62	15.36	9.85	6.47	5.38	9.81	CsaV3_5G036820
	_	13.36	2.78	12.75	16.04	3.23	2.11	1.37	1.06	3.74	5.31	CsaV3_5G035640
	<u> </u>	8.72	10.29	45.01	17.44	1.48	1.69	1.79	2.19	7.67	9.36	CsaV3_6G004020
		4.64	1.93	4.71	3.83	3.28	3.99	4.07	2.82	1.85	2.71	CsaV3_4G006900
		4.61	4.11	4.60	5.13	5.00	13.97	4.79	3.75	5.13	6.47	CsaV3_1G033310
	ЧL	7.60	2.79	2.13	5.18	8.66	10.39	8.06	4.30	2.12	1.90	CsaV3_7G005690
	L	6.70	6.19	9.55	7.07	5.23	14.65	6.33	6.95	1.07	1.86	CsaV3_1G033320
		23.00	2.67	22.37	10.17	4.75	9.29	4.15	4.48	3.38	3.12	CsaV3_6G007760
		root	alem	ANON	Not	Wary	ived	. wited	1001	atil	base	
				The all		5	erth	orth		to.	sil	
			The	fem		Wary	OVALS			(v.		
						0						



log₂(FPKM+1)

Fig. 6. The heatmap depicting the expression of the TFG in various cucumber tissues. Note: the data within the boxes represent the initial FPKM values.

Expression profiles of cucumber TFGs under AbS: Utilizing the available TS data of cucumber subjected to different AbS, including high-temperature, chilling, salt and waterlogging. RNA-seq re-analyses were performed with the ChineseLong_V3 version genome. The EPs of cucumber TFGs were subsequently analyzed (Fig. 7). Under hightemperature stress, CsaV3_3G036680 gene exhibited significant up-regulation after 3 and 6 hours of heat treatment. CsaV3_5G036820 gene showed significant up-regulation after 3 hours of heat treatment, while CsaV3_3G047250 and CsaV3_5G012890 genes were markedly down-regulated at 6 hours after heat treatment (Fig. 7A). During chilling stress, only CsaV3_6G004030 gene displayed significant downregulation (Fig. 7B). In response to salt stress, only CsaV3_3G033700 gene exhibited significant up-regulation (Fig. 7C). Under waterlogging stress, CsaV3_3G047250 and CsaV3_5G012890 genes were remarkably up-regulated in the susceptible cucumber plant, while CsaV3_3G036920 gene was markedly up-regulated in the resistant cucumber plant. In contrast, CsaV3 5G036820 gene was obviously downregulated in the resistant cucumber plant. Five cucumber TFGs, including CsaV3_3G033700, CsaV3_3G036680, CsaV3_7G005690, CsaV3 3G036690 and CsaV3_3G010680, were markedly up-regulated in both resistant and susceptible cultivars. Three cucumber TFGs, including CsaV3_6G004020, CsaV3_6G004030 and CsaV3_5G001320, were remarkably down-regulated in both resistant and susceptible cultivars (Fig. 7D).

Expression profiles of cucumber TFGs under BS: Utilizing the TS data of cucumber subjected to various BS, including powdery mildew, downy mildew, Phytophthora capsici, Fusarium wilt, root-knot nematode and angular leaf spot, RNA-seq re-analyses were performed with the ChineseLong V3 version genome. Subsequently, the expression profiles of cucumber TFGs were evaluated (Fig. 8). In response to downy mildew stress, the CsaV3_3G033700 gene was remarkably up-regulated in both susceptible and resistant cucumber cultivars, while the CsaV3_7G026050 gene

demonstrated significant upregulation only in the resistant cucumber cultivar. Several genes. including CsaV3_6G005270, CsaV3_3G036690, CsaV3_1G033310, CsaV3_1G033320, CsaV3_6G004020, CsaV3_6G004030 and CsaV3 1G000660, were obviously down-regulated in both susceptible and resistant cucumber lines. Additionally, CsaV3_2G018070, CsaV3_3G010680 and CsaV3_6G007760 genes were markedly down-regulated in the resistant cucumber cultivar (Fig. 8A). Under powdery mildew stress, CsaV3_3G033700 gene exhibited significant up-regulation in both susceptible and resistant cucumber materials. Both CsaV3_6G004020 and CsaV3_6G004030 genes were significantly down-regulated in the susceptible and resistant cultivars, while CsaV3 5G001320 gene was remarkably down-regulated in the resistant cultivar (Fig. 8B). During Fusarium wilt stress, CsaV3_4G026300 gene showed significant up-regulation from 24 hpi to 96 hpi, returning to normal expression levels at 192 hpi. CsaV3 3G036680 gene was remarkably up-regulated at 96 hpi, whereas CsaV3 3G036920 gene exhibited significant down-regulation at 96 hpi (Fig. 8C). Under Phytophthora capsici treatment, CsaV3_6G007760 gene was markedly up-regulated in both susceptible and resistant cucumber lines. CsaV3 3G036680 gene exhibited significant down-regulation in both resistant and susceptible cultivars. Both CsaV3 6G004030 and CsaV3_1G000660 genes were obviously down-regulated in the susceptible cultivar (Fig. 8D). Under angular leaf spot stress, CsaV3_6G007760 and CsaV3_3G033700 genes showed significant up-regulation in both susceptible and resistant cucumber lines. CsaV3_1G045640 gene was obviously down-regulated in the resistant cultivar (Fig. 8E). Under root-knot nematode treatment, CsaV3_3G033700 gene was remarkably down-regulated in both susceptible and resistant cultivars, while CsaV3_3G036680 gene displayed significant up-regulation in both cultivars, with its expression levels gradually increasing along with the inoculation time. The CsaV3_2G018070 gene was remarkably up-regulated in the susceptible cultivar, but not markedly changed in the resistant cultivar (Fig. 8F).



Fig. 7. The heatmap illustrating the expression of the cucumber TFG under various AbS. (A) EPs of cucumber TFGs under high-temperature stress; CT: control treatment; HT_3h: high-temperature treatment for 3 h. HT_6h: high-temperature treatment for 6 h. (B) Expression patterns of cucumber TFGs under chilling stress; CT: control treatment; CS_2h: chilling treatment for 2 h; CS_6h: chilling treatment for 6 h; CS_12h: chilling treatment for 12 h. (C) Expression patterns of cucumber TFGs under salt stress; CT: control treatment; Salt: salt treatment. (D) Expression patterns of cucumber TFGs under optimal conditions; 1xH: non-primed plants waterlogged for 1 week only once; 2xH: primed plants waterlogged for 1 week and after 2 weeks of recovery, then waterlogged again; Rec: plants after 1 week of waterlogging and 2 weeks of recovery.



Fig. 8. The heatmaps depicting the EPs of cucumber TFG under different BS (A) EPs of TFGs under downy mildew stress. R: resistant cultivar; S: susceptible cultivar; 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 dpi represent 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6 days after inoculation, respectively. (B) EPs of TFGs under powdery mildew stress. R: resistant cultivar; S: susceptible cultivar; CT: control; 48 hpi: 48 hours after inoculation. (C) EPs of TFGs under *Fusarium* wilt stress; CT, Foc-24hpi, Foc-48hpi, Foc-96hpi and Foc-192hpi were 0, 24, 48, 96 and 192 hours after inoculation with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum*, respectively. (D) EPs of TFGs under *Phytophthora capsici* stress; R: resistant cultivar; S: susceptible cultivar; Sdpp and 16dpp represent 8 and 16 days after pollination, respectively, indicating the fruit ages. (E) EPs of cucumber TFGs under angular leaf spot stress; R: resistant cultivar; S: susceptible cultivar; CT: before inoculation; 1dpi and 3dpi represent 1 and 3 days after inoculation with *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *lachrymans*, respectively. (F) EPs of cucumber TFGs under root-knot nematode stress; R: resistant cultivar; S: susceptible cultivar; CT, 1, 2 and 3 dpi represent 0, 1, 2 and 3 days after inoculation with *Meloidogyne incognita*, respectively.

Regulation patterns of cucumber TFGs under AbS and BS: All the cucumber TFGs that exhibited differential expression under AbS and BS were selected and marked on the heatmap (Fig. 9). Out of the 28 cucumber TFGs, 21 TFGs showed differential expression in response to these diverse stresses. The highest number of differentially expressed cucumber TFGs was observed under waterlogging and downy mildew stresses, while the fewest were observed under chilling and salt stresses. Some cucumber TFGs exclusively responded to AbS, such as CsaV3_3 G047250, CsaV3_7G005690, CsaV3_5G012890 and genes. CsaV3 5G036820 Conversely, specific cucumber TFGs were solely involved in response to BS, including CsaV3 7G026050, *CsaV3* 4G026300, CsaV3_ 1G000660, CsaV3_ 1G045640, CsaV3_ 2G018070, CsaV3_6G005270 and CsaV3_6G007760 genes. Additionally, 10 cucumber TFGs showed differential expression under both AbS and BS. Among

them, the *CsaV3_3G033700* gene showed differential expression under six types of AbS and BS, suggesting its varying EPs in response to distinct stresses. The *CsaV3_3G036680* and *CsaV3_6G004030* genes were obviously regulated under five types of AbS and BS, respectively. These three genes actively participated in the response to AbS and BS, making them promising candidates for further investigation. The analysis of EPs of cucumber TFGs under AbS and BS serves as a valuable reference for future studies on the molecular biological functions of these genes.

Discussion

The transcriptional regulation of gene expression plays an essential role in the intricate processes of plant growth, development, and their adaptive responses to environmental changes. This regulatory complexity is often influenced by the vast genetic variations resulting from genome duplication (Van de Peer *et al.*, 2009). TFGs, serving as pivotal transcriptional regulators, have been demonstrated to participate in cellular development and stress responses (Breuer *et al.*, 2009; Xi *et al.*, 2012). Cucumber, a globally cultivated vegetable crop, had its genome sequenced back in 2009 (ChineseLong_V2 version). Although TFGs have been identified in many plants, such as wheat (Xiao *et al.*, 2019), soybean (Liu *et al.*, 2020) and sorghum (Li *et al.*, 2021), the majority of these studies focused on field crops, leaving cucumbers relatively understudied. Therefore, our findings contribute more comprehensive insights into TFGs within the cucumber genome.

Herein, 28 TFGs were uncovered in cucumber, a number similar to that found in Arabidopsis but less than the counts observed in rice (41) (Li et al., 2019), soybean (63) (Osorio et al., 2012), and tomato (36) (Yu et al., 2015). This variation may be attributed to whole-genome duplication events occurring after the divergence of species from early land plants. Previous studies suggested classifying TFGs into 3 subfamilies: GTa, GTβ and GTγ (Fang et al., 2010). However, Kaplan-levy and co-workers (Kaplan-Levy et al., 2012), based on TFGs in Arabidopsis and rice, proposed a classification into 5 subfamilies: SIP1, GTy, SH4, GT-1, and GT-2. Our phylogenetic analysis aligns with these findings, placing cucumber TFGs into five subfamilies. Members belonging to the identical subfamily exhibited analogous gene structures and motif compositions, indicating close evolutionary relationships. Further analysis revealed two tandem duplication and two segmental duplication gene pairs in cucumber, suggesting that the expansion of cucumber TFGs primarily resulted from tandem and segmental duplications, consistent with previous assertions (Kong et al., 2007). Comparative analysis of TFGs across rice, Arabidopsis and cucumber revealed two relatively conserved TFGs in cucumber, showing no collinearity with their counterparts in rice and Arabidopsis. On the other hand, the remaining 26 TFGs exhibited various collinearity patterns with Arabidopsis and rice TFGs, indicating species-specific gene expansion mechanisms. This phenomenon is a common occurrence in the exploration of various plant gene families (Zhang et al., 2005; Jain et al., 2006).

Previous research has implicated TFGs in the development of plant organs (Qin et al., 2014). Herein, we assessed the expression levels of cucumber genes in various tissues, including stem, leaf, male flower, female flower, expanded ovary (fertilized), expanded ovary (unfertilized), root, ovary, tendril, and base tendril. We conducted a re-analysis of RNA-seq data from cucumber tissues to determine gene expression. The results demonstrated that CsaV3 7G033160 gene was not expressed in any of the tissues, while other TFGs exhibited variable expression profiles in different tissues. For instance, the CsaV3_5G001320 and CsaV3_6G004030 genes showed high expression levels in male and female flowers, suggesting their involvement in the development of plant reproductive organs. Similarly, the TFGs CqTH27 and CqTH42 were significantly up-regulated in the flowers of C. quinoa during flowering (Li et al., 2022).

It has been demonstrated that TFGs play crucial roles in various stress responses. For example, the trihelix TF members were either exclusively up-regulated in A17 (resistant material) or exclusively down-regulated in DZA (susceptible material) after infection with powdery mildew (Erysiphe pisi). Consistent with this finding, trihelix TFbinding motifs were strongly enriched only in the promoter of A17 (Gupta et al., 2020). In tomatoes, the TFG known as ShCIGT plays an essential role in improving drought and cold tolerance by interacting with SnRK1 (Yu et al., 2018). The trihelix TF AST1 in Arabidopsis thaliana conferred tolerance to osmotic and salt stresses through interaction with a novel AGAG-Box and various GT motifs (Xu et al., 2018). To further elucidate the molecular functions of cucumber TFGs in environmental adaptation, we conducted a comprehensive expression profiling analysis of these genes under 10 different types of AbS and BS. These stresses included hightemperature, chilling, salt, waterlogging, downy mildew, powdery mildew, Fusarium wilt, Phytophthora capsici, rootknot nematode and angular leaf spot treatments. The results revealed that, with the exception of 7 cucumber TFGs (CsaV3_1G015790, CsaV3_2G025280, CsaV3_4G006900, CsaV3 4G024170, CsaV3 5G035640, CsaV3 7G033160 and CsaV3_7G034170), the remaining 21 cucumber TFGs were all differentially expressed in respond to these stresses. Notably, CsaV3 3G033700 exhibited differential expression in most of the stresses, including two types of AbS (salt and waterlogging) and four types of BS (powdery mildew, downy mildew, root-knot nematode and angular leaf spot). Interestingly, the phylogenetic analysis of trihelix proteins in cucumber and Arabidopsis revealed that CsaV3_ 3G033700/AT2G38250 formed one pair of orthologous genes. Previous studies have indicated that AT2G38250 may participate in the induction of Calmodulin 4 (CAM4) in response to salt and pathogens (Li et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2019). Additionally, the cucumber TFGs CsaV3_3G036680 and CsaV3_6G004030 responded to two types of AbS and three types of BS. The orthologous gene of CsaV3_3G036680, AT3G10040, was up-regulated by oxygen deprivation (Giuntoli et al., 2014). In this study, we also found that CsaV3_3G036680 gene was differentially up-regulated under waterlogging stress (oxygen deprivation). Furthermore, this gene was also markedly up-regulated under hightemperature, consistent with its up-regulation in anthers under heat stress in the previous study (Chen et al., 2021). The CsaV3_6G004030 gene was differentially down-regulated in response to chilling, waterlogging, powdery mildew, downy mildew, and Phytophthora capsica. A prior study reported that AT1G76880, the orthologous gene of CsaV3_6G004030, was down-regulated at 48 h post-inoculation with Agrobacterium tumefaciens (Ditt et al., 2006).

The preceding discussions strongly indicate that similar molecular functions are observed in orthologous genes, affirming the reliability of our study's results. Expression profiling of cucumber TFGs under AbS and BS highlighted that *CsaV3_3G033700*, *CsaV3_3G036680* and *CsaV3_6G004030* genes as candidate genes for further investigation into their molecular functions. Additionally, these genes emerged as favorable candidates for molecular breeding efforts aimed at enhancing cucumber resistance.



Fig. 9. The heatmap depicting the regulation patterns of cucumber TFGs under AbS and BS. Note: the gray color indicates no alteration in expression level, red signifies an up-regulated EP, and green represents a down-regulated EP.

Conclusion

In summary, we identified 28 TFGs in cucumber. Through the integration of physicochemical features, chromosomal localization, gene structure, phylogenetic analysis, synteny, and EP analyses, we gained a comprehensive understanding of the evolution and EPs of cucumber TFGs. Notably, *CsaV3_3G033700* gene exhibited differential expression under six types of AbS and BS, while *CsaV3_3G036680* and *CsaV3_6G004030* genes were markedly regulated under five types of AbS and BS, respectively. This suggests that these three TFGs play pivotal roles in stress responses. Overall, these findings offer a scientific basis for further exploration into the molecular functions of cucumber TFGs and identify promising candidates for the development of stress-resistant cucumber varieties.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Horizontal Scientific Research Project (GHQH20230831), and the Key Projects of Anhui Provincial Department of Education (KJ2019A0818, KJ2021A0901).

References

- Bailey, T.L., N. Williams, C. Misleh and W.W. Li. 2006. MEME: discovering and analyzing DNA and protein sequence motifs. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 34: W369-W373.
- Baloglu, M.C., V. Eldem, M. Hajyzadeh and T. Unver. 2014. Genome-wide analysis of the bZIP transcription factors in cucumber. *PLoS One*, 9: e96014.
- Bolger, A.M., M. Lohse and B. Usadel. 2014. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics*, 30: 2114-2120.
- Breuer, C., A. Kawamura, T. Ichikawa, R. Tominaga-Wada, T. Wada, Y. Kondou, S. Muto, M. Matsui and K. Sugimoto. 2009. The trihelix transcription factor GTL1 regulates ploidy-dependent cell growth in the *Arabidopsis* trichome. *Plant Cell*, 21: 2307-2322.
- Brewer, P.B., P.A. Howles, K. Dorian, M.E. Griffith, T. Ishida, R.N. Kaplan-Levy, A. Kilinc and D.R. Smyth. 2004. *PETAL LOSS*, a trihelix transcription factor gene, regulates perianth architecture in the *Arabidopsis* flower. *Development*, 131: 4035-4045.
- Brown, J., M. Pirrung and L.A. McCue. 2017. FQC Dashboard: Integrates FastQC results into a web-based, interactive, and extensible FASTQ quality control tool. *Bioinformatics*, 33: 3137-3139.
- Burkhardt, A. and B. Day. 2016. Transcriptome and small RNAome dynamics during a resistant and susceptible interaction between cucumber and downy mildew. *Plant Gen.*, 9: 1-19.
- Chen, C., H. Chen, Y. Zhang, H.R. Thomas, M.H. Frank, Y. He and R. Xia. 2020a. TBtools: an integrative toolkit developed for interactive analyses of big biological data. *Mol. Plant*, 13: 1194-1202.
- Chen, C., X. Chen, J. Han, W. Lu and Z. Ren. 2020b. Genomewide analysis of the *WRKY* gene family in the cucumber genome and transcriptome-wide identification of WRKY transcription factors that respond to biotic and abiotic stresses. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 20: 443.
- Chen, L., M. Yun, Z. Cao, Z. Liang, W. Liu, M. Wang, J. Yan, S. Yang, X. He and B. Jiang. 2021. Phenotypic characteristics and transcriptome of cucumber male flower development under heat stress. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 12: 758976.

- Ditt, R.F., K.F. Kerr, P. de Figueiredo, J. Delrow, L. Comai and E.W. Nester. 2006. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* transcriptome in response to *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*. *Mol. Plant Microb. In.*, 19: 665-681.
- Dong, J., Y. Wang, Q. Xian, X. Chen and J. Xu. 2020. Transcriptome analysis reveals ethylene-mediated defense responses to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cucumerinum* infection in *Cucumis sativus* L. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 20: 334.
- Fang, Y., K. Xie, X. Hou, H. Hu and L. Xiong. 2010. Systematic analysis of GT factor family of rice reveals a novel subfamily involved in stress responses. *Mol. Genet. Gen.*, 283: 157-169.
- Giuntoli, B., S.C. Lee, F. Licausi, M. Kosmacz, T. Oosumi, J.T. van Dongen, J. Bailey-Serres and P. Perata. 2014. A trihelix DNA binding protein counterbalances hypoxia-responsive transcriptional activation in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Biol.*, 12: e1001950.
- Green, P.J., S.A. Kay and N.H. Chua. 1987. Sequence-specific interactions of a pea nuclear factor with light-responsive elements upstream of the rbcS-3A gene. *EMBO J.*, 6: 2543-2549.
- Griffith, M.E., A.d.S. Conceiç ão and D.R. Smyth. 1999. PETAL LOSS gene regulates initiation and orientation of second whorl organs in the Arabidopsis flower. Development, 126: 5635-5644.
- Gupta, M., G. Sharma, D. Saxena, R. Budhwar, M. Vasudevan, V. Gupta, A. Gupta, R. Gupta and D. Chandran. 2020. Dual RNA-Seq analysis of *Medicago truncatula* and the pea powdery mildew *Erysiphe pisi* uncovers distinct host transcriptional signatures during incompatible and compatible interactions and pathogen effector candidates. *Genomics*, 112: 2130-2145.
- Hiratsuka, K., X. Wu, H. Fukuzawa and N.H. Chua. 1994. Molecular dissection of GT-1 from *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*, 6: 1805-1813.
- Hu, L. and S. Liu. 2012. Genome-wide analysis of the MADSbox gene family in cucumber. *Genome*, 55: 245-256.
- Huang, S., R. Li, Z. Zhang, L. Li, X. Gu, W. Fan, W.J. Lucas, X. Wang, B. Xie and P. Ni. 2009. The genome of the cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* L. Nat. Genet., 41: 1275-1281.
- Jain, M., A.K. Tyagi and J.P. Khurana. 2006. Genome-wide analysis, evolutionary expansion, and expression of early auxin-responsive SAUR gene family in rice (Oryza sativa). Genomics, 88: 360-371.
- Ji, J., Y. Zhou, H. Wu and L. Yang. 2015. Genome-wide analysis and functional prediction of the Trihelix transcription factor family in rice. *Hereditas*, 37: 1228-1241. (in Chinese)
- Jin, J., F. Tian, D.C. Yang, Y.Q. Meng, L. Kong, J. Luo and G. Gao. 2016. PlantTFDB 4.0: toward a central hub for transcription factors and regulatory interactions in plants. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 45: D1040-D1045.
- Kaplan-Levy, R.N., P.B. Brewer, T. Quon and D.R. Smyth. 2012. The trihelix family of transcription factors–light, stress and development. *Trends Plant Sci.*, 17: 163-171.
- Kęska, K., M.W. Szcześniak, I. Makałowska and M. Czernicka. 2021. Long-term waterlogging as factor contributing to hypoxia stress tolerance enhancement in cucumber: Comparative transcriptome analysis of waterlogging sensitive and tolerant accessions. *Genes*, 12: 189.
- Kong, H., L.L. Landherr, M.W. Frohlich, J. Leebens-Mack, H. Ma and C.W. DePamphilis. 2007. Patterns of gene duplication in the plant *SKP1* gene family in angiosperms: evidence for multiple mechanisms of rapid gene birth. *Plant J.*, 50: 873-885.
- Krzywinski, M., J. Schein, I. Birol, J. Connors, R. Gascoyne, D. Horsman, S.J. Jones and M.A. Marra. 2009. Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.*, 19: 1639-1645.

- Lampugnani, E.R., A. Kilinc and D.R. Smyth. 2012. *PETAL LOSS* is a boundary gene that inhibits growth between developing sepals in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.*, 71: 724-735.
- Lescot, M., P. Déhais, G. Thijs, K. Marchal, Y. Moreau, Y. Van de Peer, P. Rouzé and S. Rombauts. 2002. PlantCARE, a database of plant *cis*-acting regulatory elements and a portal to tools for in silico analysis of promoter sequences. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 30: 325-327.
- Letunic, I., S. Khedkar and P. Bork. 2021. SMART: Recent updates, new developments and status in 2020. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 49: D458-D460.
- Li, C., S. Dong, X. Liu, K. Bo, H. Miao, D.M. Beckles, S. Zhang and X. Gu. 2020. Genome-wide characterization of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) GRAS genes and their response to various abiotic stresses. *Horticulturae*, 6: 110-127.
- Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin and G.P.D.P. Subgroup. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*, 25: 2078-2079.
- Li, H., W. Huang, Z.W. Liu, Z.J. Wu and J. Zhuang. 2017. Trihelix family transcription factors in tea plant (*Camellia sinensis*): identification, classification, and expression profiles response to abiotic stress. *Acta Physiol. Plant.*, 39: 217.
- Li, J., M. Zhang, J. Sun, X. Mao, J. Wang, J. Wang, H. Liu, H. Zheng, Z. Zhen and H. Zhao. 2019. Genome-wide characterization and identification of trihelix transcription factor and expression profiling in response to abiotic stresses in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 20: 251-275.
- Li, K., L. Duan, Y. Zhang, M. Shi, S. Chen, M. Yang, Y. Ding, Y. Peng, Y. Dong and H. Yang. 2021. Genome-wide identification and expression profile analysis of trihelix transcription factor family genes in response to abiotic stress in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]. BMC Genomics, 22: 738.
- Li, K., Y. Fan, G. Zhou, X. Liu, S. Chen, X. Chang, W. Wu, L. Duan, M. Yao and R. Wang. 2022. Genome-wide identification, phylogenetic analysis, and expression profiles of trihelix transcription factor family genes in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) under abiotic stress conditions. *BMC Genom.*, 23: 499-517.
- Li, Z., Z. Zhang, P. Yan, S. Huang, Z. Fei and K. Lin. 2011. RNA-Seq improves annotation of protein-coding genes in the cucumber genome. *BMC Genom.*, 12: 540
- Lin, Z., M.E. Griffith, X. Li, Z. Zhu, L. Tan, Y. Fu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, D. Xie and C. Sun. 2007. Origin of seed shattering in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Planta*, 226: 11-20.
- Ling, J., W. Jiang, Y. Zhang, H. Yu, Z. Mao, X. Gu, S. Huang and B. Xie. 2011. Genome-wide analysis of WRKY gene family in *Cucumis sativus*. *BMC Genom.*, 12: 471
- Liu, W., Y. Zhang, W. Li, Y. Lin, C. Wang, R. Xu and L. Zhang. 2020. Genome-wide characterization and expression analysis of soybean trihelix gene family. *PeerJ.*, 8: e8753.
- Luo, J., S. Tang, F. Mei, X. Peng, J. Li, X. Li, X. Yan, X. Zeng, F. Liu and Y. Wu. 2017. *BnSIP1-1*, a trihelix family gene, mediates abiotic stress tolerance and ABA signaling in *Brassica napus. Front. Plant Sci.*, 8: 44-77.
- Mansfeld, B.N., M. Colle, Y. Kang, A.D. Jones and R. Grumet. 2017. Transcriptomic and metabolomic analyses of cucumber fruit peels reveal a developmental increase in terpenoid glycosides associated with age-related resistance to *Phytophthora capsici. Hort. Res.*, 4: 17022.
- Nagano, Y. 2000. Several features of the GT-factor trihelix domain resemble those of the Myb DNA-binding domain. *Plant Physiol.*, 124: 491-494.

- Osorio, M.B., L. Bücker-Neto, G. Castilhos, A.C. Turchetto-Zolet, B. Wiebke-Strohm, M.H. Bodanese-Zanettini and M. Margis-Pinheiro. 2012. Identification and in silico characterization of soybean trihelix-GT and bHLH transcription factors involved in stress responses. *Genet. Mol. Biol.*, 35: 233-246.
- Perisic, O. and E. Lam. 1992. A tobacco DNA binding protein that interacts with a light-responsive box II element. *Plant Cell*, 4: 831-838.
- Pertea, M., G.M. Pertea, C.M. Antonescu, T.C. Chang, J.T. Mendell and S.L. Salzberg. 2015. StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat. Biotech.*, 33: 290-295.
- Qin, Y., X. Ma, G. Yu, Q. Wang, L. Wang, L. Kong, W. Kim and H.W. Wang. 2014. Evolutionary history of trihelix family and their functional diversification. *DNA Res.*, 21: 499-510.
- Riechmann, J.L., J. Heard, G. Martin, L. Reuber, C.-Z. Jiang, J. Keddie, L. Adam, O. Pineda, O. Ratcliffe and R. Samaha. 2000. *Arabidopsis* transcription factors: genome-wide comparative analysis among eukaryotes. *Science*, 290: 2105-2110.
- Shibata, M., C. Breuer, A. Kawamura, N.M. Clark, B. Rymen, L. Braidwood, K. Morohashi, W. Busch, P.N. Benfey and R. Sozzani. 2018. GTL1 and DF1 regulate root hair growth through transcriptional repression of *ROOT HAIR DEFECTIVE 6-LIKE 4* in *Arabidopsis. Development*, 145: dev159707.
- Słomnicka, R., H. Olczak-Woltman, M. Sobczak and G. Bartoszewski. 2021. Transcriptome profiling of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) early response to *Pseudomonas* syringae pv. lachrymans. Int. J. Mol. Sci., 22: 4192
- Song, A., D. Wu, Q. Fan, C. Tian, S. Chen, Z. Guan, J. Xin, K. Zhao and F. Chen. 2016. Transcriptome-wide identification and expression profiling analysis of chrysanthemum trihelix transcription factors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 17: 198-210.
- Van de Peer, Y., S. Maere and A. Meyer. 2009. The evolutionary significance of ancient genome duplications. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 10: 725-732.
- Varet, H., L. Brillet-Guéguen, J.Y. Coppée and M.A. Dillies. 2016. SARTools: a DESeq2-and EdgeR-based R pipeline for comprehensive differential analysis of RNA-Seq data. *PLoS One*, 11: e0157022.
- Wan, H., W. Yuan, K. Bo, J. Shen, X. Pang and J. Chen. 2013. Genome-wide analysis of NBS-encoding disease resistance genes in *Cucumis sativus* and phylogenetic study of NBSencoding genes in Cucurbitaceae crops. *BMC Genom.*, 14: 109
- Wang, X., C. Cheng, K. Zhang, Z. Tian, J. Xu, S. Yang, Q. Lou, J. Li and J.F. Chen. 2018. Comparative transcriptomics reveals suppressed expression of genes related to auxin and the cell cycle contributes to the resistance of cucumber against *Meloidogyne incognita*. *BMC Genom.*, 19: 583.
- Wang, Y., H. Tang, J.D. DeBarry, X. Tan, J. Li, X. Wang, T.H. Lee, H. Jin, B. Marler and H. Guo. 2012. MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 40: e49.
- Xi, J., Y. Qiu, L. Du and B. Poovaiah. 2012. Plant-specific trihelix transcription factor *AtGT2L* interacts with calcium/calmodulin and responds to cold and salt stresses. *Plant Sci.*, 185: 274-280.
- Xiao, J., R. Hu, T. Gu, J. Han, D. Qiu, P. Su, J. Feng, J. Chang, G. Yang and G. He. 2019. Genome-wide identification and expression profiling of *trihelix* gene family under abiotic stresses in wheat. *BMC Genom.*, 20: 287.
- Xie, Z.M., H.F. Zou, G. Lei, W. Wei, Q.Y. Zhou, C.F. Niu, Y. Liao, A.G. Tian, B. Ma and W.K. Zhang. 2009. Soybean Trihelix transcription factors GmGT-2A and GmGT-2B improve plant tolerance to abiotic stresses in transgenic *Arabidopsis. PLoS One*, 4: e6898.

- Xu, H., X. Shi, L. He, Y. Guo, D. Zang, H. Li, W. Zhang and Y. Wang. 2018. Arabidopsis thaliana trihelix transcription factor AST1 mediates salt and osmotic stress tolerance by binding to a novel AGAG-box and some GT motifs. Plant Cell Physiol., 59: 946-965.
- Xu, Q., X. Xu, Y. Shi, X. Qi and X. Chen. 2017. Elucidation of the molecular responses of a cucumber segment substitution line carrying *Pm5.1* and its recurrent parent triggered by powdery mildew by comparative transcriptome profiling. *BMC Genom.*, 18: 21
- Yoo, C.Y., H.E. Pence, J.B. Jin, K. Miura, M.J. Gosney, P.M. Hasegawa and M.V. Mickelbart. 2010. The Arabidopsis GTL1 transcription factor regulates water use efficiency and drought tolerance by modulating stomatal density via transrepression of SDD1. Plant Cell, 22: 4128-4141.
- Yu, C., L. Song, J. Song, B. Ouyang, L. Guo, L. Shang, T. Wang, H. Li, J. Zhang and Z. Ye. 2018. *ShCIGT*, a Trihelix family gene, mediates cold and drought tolerance by interacting with SnRK1 in tomato. *Plant Sci.*, 270: 140-149.
- Yu, C., X. Cai, Z. Ye and H. Li. 2015. Genome-wide identification and expression profiling analysis of trihelix gene family in tomato. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.*, 468: 653-659.

- Yu, C.S., C.J. Lin and J.K. Hwang. 2004. Predicting subcellular localization of proteins for Gram-negative bacteria by support vector machines based on *n*-peptide compositions. *Protein Sci.*, 13: 1402-1406.
- Yu, J., G. Ai, D. Shen, C. Chai, Y. Jia, W. Liu and D. Dou. 2019. Bioinformatical analysis and prediction of *Nicotiana benthamiana* bHLH transcription factors in *Phytophthora parasitica* resistance. *Genomics*, 111: 473-482.
- Zhang, H., J. Jin, L. Tang, Y. Zhao, X. Gu, G. Gao and J. Luo. 2011. PlantTFDB 2.0: update and improvement of the comprehensive plant transcription factor database. *Nucl. Acids Res.*, 39: D1114-D1117.
- Zhang, S., C. Chen, L. Li, L. Meng, J. Singh, N. Jiang, X.W. Deng, Z.H. He and P.G. Lemaux. 2005. Evolutionary expansion, gene structure, and expression of the rice wall-associated kinase gene family. *Plant Physiol.*, 139: 1107-1124.
- Zhu, Y.X., L. Yang, N. Liu, J. Yang, X.K. Zhou, Y.C. Xia, Y. He, Y.Q. He, H.J. Gong and D.F. Ma. 2019. Genome-wide identification, structure characterization, and expression pattern profiling of aquaporin gene family in cucumber. *BMC Plant Biol.*, 19: 345.

(Received for publication 28 August 2023)