BIOCHEMICAL ATTRIBUTES OF ZINC INDUCED STRESS ON CICER ARIETINUM

ABDUL KARIM¹, SAMRA NAZ², WAQAR AHMAD³, NAHEED BANO^{4*}, MUHAMMAD ZUBAIR¹, SAFIA GUL⁵, AMINA RAMZAN¹, ZEBA ALI⁶, KASHIF WAQAS¹, TAHANI AWAD ALAHMADI⁷ AND MOHAMMAD JAVED ANSARI^{8*}

¹Department of Botany, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Institute of Soil & Environmental Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan ³Biochemistry Section, Post Harvest Research Centre, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁴Department of Zoology, Wildlife & Fisheries, MNS-University of Agriculture, Multan, Pakistan

⁵Department of Botany, Sardar Bahadur Khan Woman's University, Brewery Road Quetta, Pakistan

⁶Department of Plant Breeding & Genetics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan

⁷Department of Pediatrics, College of Medicine and King Khalid University Hospital, King Saud University,

Medical City, PO Box-2925, Riyadh -11461, Saudi Arabia

⁸Department of Botany, Hindu College Moradabad (Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University Bareilly), India Correspondence: naheed.bano@mnsuam.edu.pk, mjavedansari@gmail.com

Abstract

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L,) is one of the major cultivated legume crops that is used as a source of nutrition for both humans and animals. Zinc is the micronutrient highly beneficial, and its cultivation area is widely spread all over the world, but it is mainly cultivated in the arid and semi-arid areas com. The experiment was conducted at the research area of Post Graduate Agriculture Research Station (PARS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan. Seeds of two chickpea varieties (Bittle 2016, Noor, 2019) were collected from Ayoub Agricultural Research Institute Faisalabad, Pakistan. Zinc sulphate was used as a source of zinc and was applied foliar at (0, 100, 200 and 300ppm) under normal conditions. Physiological (chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids) and growth attributes (fresh weight, dry weight, shoot length, root length, leaf area and number of pods per plant) analysis were determined application at different levels also varies the results, increased the yield with the increase in Zn quantity. However, adequate supply of Zn 200-300 ppm improved the 35% water holding capacity and enzymatic activities, photosynthesis, and accumulation of free leaf proline. The increasing levels of treatments also enhanced the seed quality and production.

Key words: Chlorophylls, Crop, Chickpea, Zinc applications, Metal toxicity.

Introduction

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is one of the major cultivated legume crops. (Murtaza et al., 2022). It is used as a source of nutrition for both of the humans and animals (Hafeez et al., 2023). Its cultivation area is widely spread all over the world but it is mainly cultivated in the arid and semi-arid areas com (Ramzan et al., 2022). In chickpea yield and yield contributed attributes, there is less genetic information available (Malik et al., 2014), more in India, Pakistan and Iran (Paolini et al., 2006). Chickpea cultivated in declined moisture levels, the long roots play major role against drought (Jabeen et al., 2023). Greater genetic variations noticed for heat tolerance in chickpea (Bilal et al., 2021). It happened with reference set was screened against heat stress at various places in India (Krishnamurthy et al., 2010). A field screening approach has been established and various sources of heat tolerance were recognized (Raza et al., 2024). The status of genomic resources provided for chickpea betterment and proposed ways to develop the genome assisted breeding in chickpea (Varshney et al., 2013). Evaluation of apparent line in India, Kenya and Ethiopia at different places guide to the distinguishing with consequently greater yield as compared to JG11 at every location in every condition (Gaur et al., 2014).

In cultivated field crops including chickpea, deficiency of zinc (Zn) has been considered as major yield limiting factor in the world (Roy *et al.*, 2006; Ahlawat *et al.*, 2007). Zinc regulates various essential physiological

attributes in plants such as proteins, key enzymes activation and carbohydrates metabolism (Palmer & Guerinot, 2009). A sufficient Zn supply is necessary for the viability and germination of pollen grains (Pandey *et al.*, 2009). In fact, the pre-mature excision of leaves, pollens, anthers and flower buds is also due to the deficiency of Zn that enhanced the abscisic acid which lowers the seed yield (Alloway, 2004). In mesophyll cells, Zinc is involved in the regulation of carbon dioxide influx (Gupta *et al.*, 2016). Zn is involved in the maintenance and regulation of photosystem II and biosynthesis of IAA (Indole acetic acid) (Hansch & Mendel, 2009).

Foliar application of Zn in chickpea increases the Zn content in grain by 22% (Hidoto *et al.*, 2017). Zn concentration in different chickpea genotypes varies from 2.0-2.7 mg/100 g in one study (Hemelatha *et al.*, 2007) to 3.7-7.4 mg/100 g in another study (Thavarajah & Thavarajah, 2012). Plant growth promoting bacteria may also collaborate in increasing the availability of nutrients particularly for iron and zinc (Sharma *et al.*, 2013). Salinity is another major threat to the pulse production in the world including Pakistan which may knock food security (Kapoor & Sirivastava, 2010). Plant resistance to salt stress increased by Zn nutrition (Iqbal *et al.*, 2023). Cell membrane of root stabilizes by application of Zn which reduces leakage of ions and decreases root permeability (Danishbakhsh *et al.*, 2013).

The aims of this this work presented the foliar application of zinc applied foliar at (0, 100, 200 and 300ppm) under normal conditions for measuring the

physiological such as chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids and growth attributes (fresh weight, dry weight, shoot length, root length, leaf area and number of pods per plant) analysis were determined application at different levels also varies the results, increased the yield with the increase in Zn quantity.

Material and Method

A potted experiment was conducted at the research area of Post Graduate Agriculture Research Station (PARS), University of Agriculture Faisalabad. Zinc sulphate was used as a source of zinc and was applied foliarly at (0, 100, 200 and 300ppm) under normal conditions on chickpea (*Cicer arientinum. L*) Completely Randomized Design (CRD).

Experimental site and design: The experiment was conducted to study the effect of Zinc Sulphate on growth and development of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum) at University of Agriculture PARS, Faisalabad. The crop was sown 13 November 2020. There were four replications and two factors. Experiment was conducted in pots. The pots have 30 cm height and 12 cm width. 5 kg soil was filled in each 24 pots. Seeds were sown with hands at recommended rate was 12 seeds per pot. Fertilizers (NPK) were applied when required in recommended dose.

Zn sulphate (ZnSo4) treatments: Zn treatments, 0, 100, 200, 300 ppm were foliarlyapplied. NPK in small amount were applied whenever needed. Treatments were applied with full strength of Hogland's solutions (Hogland & Arnon, 1950) spray was applied with ZnSo4 solution given with full strength of Hogland's nutrient solution once in a week and applied three times after germination of the seeds.

Seed materiel: Two chickpea cultivars Bittle-2016 and Noor-2019 obtained from the Ayub Agriculture Research institute Faisalabad. In Chickpea plants will be grown in sand medium 24 plastic pots are used and 5kg bath sand is filled in each pot the 12 seeds of chickpea are sown in each pot after two weeks of germination thinning practice is performed and 5 healthy plants were kept in each pot and others were discard.

Sowing and culture medium: Before sowing the seeds, plastic pots were washed thoroughly and filled with 5kg of Sand medium. The area of the pots was 23×29 . The germinated seedlings were irrigated with tap water on daily basis. Hoagland's solutions $\frac{1}{2}$ dose (Hoagland & Arnon, 1950).

Experimental site and design: The experiment was conducted to study the effect of Zinc Sulphate on growth and development of Chickpea (Cicer arietinum) at University of Agriculture PARS, Faisalabad. The crop was sown 13 November 2020. Experiment was laid out completely in randomized design with factorial arrangement. There were four replications and two factors. Experiment was conducted in pots. The pots have 30 cm height and 12 cm width. 5 kg soil was filled in each 24 pots.

Seeds were sown with hands at recommended rate was 12 seeds per pot. Fertilizers (NPK) were applied when required in recommended dose.

Treatments

Factor A: Verities (V); V1= Bittle 2016, V2= Noor 2019

Factor B: Zinc (Zn); Zn0= Control, Zn₁= 100 ppm, Zn₂= 200 ppm, Zn₃= 300 ppm

Replications = 4

Plant height (cm): Plant height is the most significant parameter for morphological studies of plant. It can be calculated as Plant height = Shoot length and Root length.

Shoot length (cm): Length of shoot of plant was calculated by measuring and cleaning through the action of distills water.

Root length (cm): Length of root of plant was calculated by measuring and cleaning through the action of distills water.

Shoot fresh weight (FW) (g): Fresh shoot weight of plant was calculated by measuring and cleaning through the action of distills water.

Shoot dry weight (DW) (g): Length of root of plant was calculated by measuring and cleaning through the action of distills water.

Root fresh weight (FW) (g): Fresh mass of root of 1 plant each replicate, every treatment was estimate at once after pull out the plants with the aid of weight balance and mean data were calculated.

Root dry weight (DW)(g): Root dry weight of plant was calculated by measuring and cleaning through the action of distills water.

Number of leaves: Number of leaves of each plant was counted from each selected plant of 24 pots.

Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a, b carotenoids) mg/g. FW: The extract then filtered and kept for overnight at room temperature than absorbance of the extract was measured at 663, 645, and 470 nm using a spectrophotometer.

Total soluble protein content (TFA): Parameter was quantified by Hamilton method (1973). Phosphate buffer was used to extract fresh plant material. Took test tube of 25 mL and 1 mL of plant material was added. Then 1 mL of ninhydrin solution with 2% concentration and 1 mL of 10% pyridine solution was mixed. Water bath was used to heat test tubes for half hour. Volume was made up to 15 mL with distilled water. Spectrophotometer reading was taken as 570 nm.

3

Carotenoid: One of the samples was disloved in 4 mL of acetone and centrifuged at 1.500 g for 20 min at 40C followed by Maclachlan & Zalik (1963).

Statistical analysis

ANOVA test was designed for the validation of the analysis at 5% significant level also by using the Statistical software through a Version of 8.

Results

Shoot length: Shoot length is an important aspect of plant growth which is an indirect measure of overall plant growth. Shoot length contributing a vital role in biomass production. Chickpea variety Bittle and Noor under Zn level (300ppm) have shown increased shoot length than other treatments of zinc. The interaction of zinc and varieties also show non-significant increase in shoot length (Fig. 1). The results reported by Hussain *et al.*, (2019) also clarify that zinc s affects the growth and shoot length of wheat which ultimately increase the yield.

Root length: Root is that part of plant which connected the plant with soil surface give support and nutrition, buried in soil that provide water and essential nutrients to plant for plant growth and development with the help of root hairs and root system. Fig. 2 shows that various zinc levels affected the root length of both verities of chickpea (Bittle and Noor). Maximum root length was observed in high zinc level plants.

Shoot fresh weight (mg): Figure 3 shows that the effect of different levels of zinc on shoots fresh weight of both varieties. Zinc (Zn) application significantly (p<0.001) affect the shoot fresh weight of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Chickpea variety Bittle and Noor under Zn level (300ppm) have shown increased shoot fresh weight than other treatments of zinc.

Root fresh weight: Figure 4 shows the interaction of zinc and varieties also show non-significant increased in root fresh weight Varieties significantly (p<0.001) affect the root fresh weight in low levels to high levels of zinc application. Chickpea variety Bittle and Noor under Zn level (300ppm) have shown increased root fresh weight than other treatments of zinc.

Shoot dry weight (g): Shoot dry weight is the most reliable parameter for the determination of overall plant growth. Fig. 5 shows that shoot dry weight (g) of chickpea plants increasing trend under the increasing concentrations of zinc. Zinc (Zn) application non-significantly increased the shoot dry weight of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Varieties non-significantly increase the shoot dry weight in low levels to high levels. Chickpea variety Bittle 2016 and Noor 2019 under level (300ppm) have shown increase shoot dry weight than other treatments of zinc.

Root dry weight (g): Roots dry weight is also an important growth parameter. Fig. 6 shows the zinc levels and their interaction non-significantly affected the root dry weight

(g) of chickpea plants. In general, root dry weight (g) of chickpea plants increased with the increasing concentration of zinc solution. Zinc application non-significantly increased the root dry weight of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Varieties significantly increased the root dry weight in low levels to high levels of zinc application.

Leaf area mm^2 : Leaf area is a dimensionless that characterizes plant canopies. Fig. 7 shows the varieties of chickpea showing that zinc application affected the leaf area. It was observed that increasing levels of zinc increased the leaf area respectively. Zinc (Zn) application significantly (p<0.001) increased the leaf area of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Varieties significantly (p<0.001) increased the leaf area in low levels to high levels of zinc application. Chickpea variety Bittle 2016 and Noor 2019 under Zn level (300ppm) have shown increased leaf area than other treatments of zinc.

Number of leaves per plant: Figure 8 shows the show significantly increased in number of leaf per plant as a result of higher zinc level (300ppm) that ultimately increased plant yield. Zinc (Zn) application significantly (p<0.05) effected the number of leaf per plant of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Varieties significantly (p<0.001) increased the number ofleafs per plant in low levels to high levels of zinc application. Chickpea variety Bittle 2016 and Noor 2019 under Zn level (300ppm) has shown increased number of leafs per plant than other treatments of zinc.

Chlorophyll a: Results regarding in Fig. 9 shows the revealed that exposure to 300ppm of zinc was showed maximum effect of chlorophyll a in both varieties (Bittle and Noor). Zinc application (Zn) non-significantly increased the chlorophyll a of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Varieties non-significantly affected the chlorophyll a in low levels to high levels of zinc application. Chickpea variety Bittle 2016 and Noor 2019 under Zn level (300ppm) have shown increased chlorophyll a than other treatments of zinc.

Chlorophyll b: Fig. 10 shows the igh level of zinc (300ppm) increased the Chlorophyll b in both varieties of chickpea (Bittle and Noor). Zinc application (Zn) non-significantly reduced the chlorophyll b of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions. Varieties non-significantly (p<0.001) effected the chlorophyll b in low levels to high levels of zinc application. Mustard variety Bittle 2016 and Noor 2019 under Pb level (90ppm) have shown decreased chlorophyll b than other treatments of zinc.

Caroteniods: Figure 11 shows the carotenoid content of two cultivars of Chickpea as a result of zinc application. It showed different levels under zinc application in two verities of Chickpea (Bittle, 2016 and Noor, 2019) had significant effect on carotenoid content of Chickpea. There was increased in the carotenoid content of Chickpea under zinc application in order of 0<100<200<300 (ppm). Zinc application (Zn) non-significantly reduced the carotenoids of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions.



Fig. 1. Effect of zinc on shoot length of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 3. Effect of different concentration of zinc on shoot fresh weight of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 5. Effect of different concentration of Zinc on shoot dry weight of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 2. Effect of different concentration of zinc on root length of two varieties of chickpea



Fig. 4. Effect of different concentration of zinc on root fresh weight of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 6. Effect of different concentration of zinc on root dry weight of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 7. Effect of different concentrations of zinc on leaf area of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 9. Effect of different concentrations of zinc on chlorophyll a of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 11. Effect of different concentrations of zinc on carotenoids of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 8. Effect of different concentrations of zinc on number of leaf of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 10. Effect of different concentrations of Zinc on chlorophyll b of two varieties of chickpea.



Fig. 12. Effect of different concentrations of zinc on total chlorophyll of two varieties of chickpea.

Total cholorophyll: Figure 12 shows the showed different levels under zinc application in two verities of Chickpea (Bittle 2016 and Noor 2019) had non-significant effect on chlorophyll a of Chickpea. There was increased in the chlorophyll a of Chickpea under zinc application in order of 0 < 100 < 200 < 300 (ppm). Zinc application (Zn) non-significantly reduced the total chlorophyll of chickpea plants as compared to plants under control conditions.

Discussion

The data reveals root length of Chickpea under zinc increased in order of 0<100< 200<300 (ppm). Foliar application of Zn in chickpea increases the Zn content in grain by 22% (Hidoto et al., 2017). Zn concentration in different chickpea genotypes varies from 2.0-2.7 mg/100 g in one study (Hemelatha et al., 2007) to 3.7-7.4 mg/100 g in another study (Thavarajah & Thavarajah, 2012). Plant growth promoting bacteria may also collaborate in increasing the availability of nutrients particularly for iron and zinc (Sharma et al., 2013). Zinc appears to affect the water intake and transportation capability of plants and also to reduce the negative impact of short heat and salt tension cycles. Zn is also an important growth hormone for producing auxin, since Zn is needed to synthesize tryptophan which precedes the IAA. In order to maintain the structural orientation of macromolecules and ion transport systems, Zn is essential for the integrality of cellular membranes. Its association with membrane proteins phospholipids and sulphydryl groups leads to membrane maintenance (Sturikova et al., 2018). Changing the expression of VvZIP3 during flowering and fertilization may change the dispersal and obtainability of Zn, thereby affecting common reproductive growth (Weng et al., 2015).

Foliar application of Zn enhanced the concentration of grain and straw (Pathak et al., 2012 and Habbasha et al., 2013). Various Zn sources had different effects on yield of grain and economic of chickpea. Foliar application of Zn at 0.4% increased the grain yield by 12% and have outcome of 138 USD per hectare (Kayan et al., 2015). A field study conducted application of Zn EDTA was better than the ZnSO4 and foliar application was more beneficial than soil and the Zn foliar application at flowering stage has the outcome of grain yield (2.19 t/ha), biofortification of Zn (67.9 mg/kg grain), Zn uptake (149.1 g/ha). Foliar Zn application (0.1%) at the initiation if flowering stage increased the fertility of pollen and seed yield of chickpea (Pathak et al., 2012). In the recent studies, it was observed the at 0.5% of Zn application improved the grain yield more than 40.9%, Zn contents (27.5%) and Zn uptake (36.8%) than at the control level (Purushottam et al., 2018). Foliar application of Zn in chickpea has beneficial effects on the number of branches and the seed yield (Hadi et al., 2013).

Seed treatment involves the application of fertilizer and other chemicals by seed priming and seed coating (Frooq *et al.*, 2012). It is costly and efficient as compared soil (Nawaz *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, soil with the composition of associated with humic acids which are fundamental for soil structure, prosperity and internal

drainage (Machado and Serralheiro, 2017). Similar results were observed with the findings of Alam et al., (2020), who found that Zn have positive effects on root growth and yield in specific crop species under normal conditions. The results reported by Rasheed et al., (2014) also clarify that zinc application affects the growth and shoot fresh weight which ultimately increase the yield. Similar results reported by Mahmood et al., (2009) that zinc application affects the root fresh weight which ultimately increase the yield. The results reported by Shafi et al., (2010) also clarify that zinc application affects the growth and shoot dry weight which ultimately increase the yield. The results reported by Qin et al., (2018) also clarify that zinc application affects the growth and root dry weight which ultimately increases the yield. The results reported by Khan et al., (2006) also clarify that zinc sulphate affects the growth and leaf area which ultimately increases the yield. The results reported by Baryla et al., (2001) also clarify that zinc application affects the growth which ultimately enhance the yield. The results reported by Sarma et al., (2006) also clarify that zinc application affects the growth and chlorophyll a of chickpea which ultimately increase the yield. The results reported by Li et al., (2015) also clarify that zinc application affects the chlorophyll b content of chickpea which ultimately increases the yield. Plants, algae, and photosynthetic bacteria all include carotenoids as pigments (Mahmood et al., 2023). The results reported by Mishra & Ma, (2005) also clarify that zinc affects the carotenoid content of chickpea which ultimately increases the yield. The results reported by Sarma et al., (2006) also clarify that zinc application affects the total chlorophyll of wheat which ultimately increased the yield.

Rise in temperature above the moderate level at the flowering stage will negatively affects pod formation and seed, resulting reduction in grain yield (Kumar *et al.*, 2013). Chickpea cultivated in declined moisture levels, the long roots play major role against drought (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2012, Gaur *et al.*, 2014). The status of genomic resources provided for chickpea betterment and proposed ways to develop the genome assisted breeding in chickpea (Varshney *et al.*, 2013). Evaluation of apparent lines in India, Kenya, and Ethiopia at different places guides to distinguish with consequently greater yield as compared to JG11 at every location in every condition (Gaur *et al.*, 2014).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the application of Zn fertilization through the soil is the principal method of fertilizers application inn chickpea crops but in some kind pros and cons in some required situations. In conclusion, foliar application betters the grainsome expertise and due to its excessive cost and usual sprays. This reseahe indicated that zinc application affects the growth and root dry weight which ultimately affected the yield.

Acknowledgement

This project was supported by Researchers Supporting Project number (RSP2024R230) King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

References

- Ahlawat, I.P.S., B. Gangaiah and M.A. Zadid. 2007. Nutrient management in chickpea. In: (Eds.): Yadav, S.S., R. Redden, W. Chen and B. Sharma. *Int. J. Biol.*, 213-232.
- Alam, M.J., K.S. Ahmed, M.K. Nahar S. Akter and M.A. Uddin. 2020. Effect of different sowing dates on the performance of maize. J. Krish. Vigyan., 8: 75-81.
- Alloway, B.J. 2004. Zinc in soils and crop nutrition. International Zinc Association Brussels.
- Arnon, D.I. 1950. Dennis Robert Hoagland: 1884-1949. *Science*, 112(2921): 739-742.
- Baryla, A., P. Carrier, F. Franck, C. Coulomb, C. Sahut and M. Havaux. 2001. Leaf chlorosis in oilseed rape plants (*Brassica napus*) grown on cadmium-polluted soil: causes and consequences for photosynthesis and growth. *Planta.*, 212: 696-709.
- Bilal, S., A. Hazafa, I. Ashraf, S. Alamri, M.H. Siddiqui, A. Ramzan, N. Qamar, F. Sher and M. Naeem. 2021. Comparative effect of inoculation of phosphorussolubilizing bacteria and phosphorus as sustainable fertilizer on yield and quality of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Plants*, 10: 2079.
- Daneshbakhsh, B., A.H. Khoshgoftarmanesh, H. Shariatmadari and I. Cakmak. 2013. Effect of zinc nutrition on salinityinduced oxidative damages in wheat genotypes differing in zinc deficiency tolerance. *Acta Physiol. Plant*, 35: 881-889.
- Farooq, M., Z.A. Cheema and A. Wahid. 2012. Seed priming with boron improves growth and yield of fine grain aromatic rice. *Plant Growth Reg.*, 68: 189-201.
- Gaur, N., G. Flora, M. Yadav A. Tiwari. 2014. A review with recent advancements on bioremediation-based abolition of heavy metals. *Env.Sci.*, 16(2): 180-193.
- Gupta, N., H. Ram and B. Kumar. 2016. Mechanism of Zinc absorption in plants: uptake, transport, translocation and accumulation. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotech.*, 15: 89-109.
- Habbasha, S.F., M.H. Taha and N.A. Jafar. 2013. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer levels and zinc foliar application on yield, yield attributes and some chemical traits of groundnut. *Res. J. Agr. Biol. Sci.*, 9(1): 1-7.
- Hadi, M.R.H.S., P. Bazargani and M.T. Darzi. 2013. Effects of irrigation treatment and zinc foliar application on yield and yield components of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). *Int. J. Farm. All Sci.*, 2(19): 720-724.
- Hafeez, J., M. Naeem, T. Ali, B. Sultan, F. Hussain, H.U. Rashid and I. Shirzad. 2023. Comparative study of antioxidant, antidiabetic, cytotoxic potentials, and phytochemicals of fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*) and ginger (*Zingiber officinale*). J Chem., 9: 3469727.
- Hamilton, H. 1987. Parallel solution of ODE's by multiblock methods. *SIAM*, 8(3): 342-353.
- Hansch, R. and R.R. Mendel. 2009. Physiological functions of mineral micronutrients (Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe, Ni, Mo, B, Cl). Curr. Opin. *Plant Biol.*, 12: 259-266.
- Hemalatha, S., K. Platel and K. Srinivasan. 2007. Zinc and iron contents and their bioaccessibility in cereals and pulses consumed in India. *Food Chem.*, 102: 1328-1336.
- Hidoto, L., B. Taran, W. Worku and H. Mohammed. 2017. Towards zinc biofortification in chickpea: Performance of chickpea cultivars in response to soil zinc application. *Agronomy*, 7: 11-20.
- Hussain, A., M.Y. Malik, M. Khan and T. Salahuddin. 2019. Application of generalized Fourier heat conduction law on MHD viscoinelastic fluid flow over stretching surface. *Int. J. Num. Methods*, 30: 3481-3496.
- Iqbal, M.O., A.S. Sial, I. Akhtar, M. Naeem, A. Hazafa, R.A. Ansari and S.A. Rizvi. 2021. The nephroprotective effects of

Daucus carota and *Eclipta prostrata* against cisplatin-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. *Bioengineered.*, 12: 12702-12721.

- Jabeen, R., T. Ali, M. Naeem, F. Hussain, S. Danish, T.A. Alahmadi and M.J. Ansari. 2023. An insight into biochemical characterization and explorations of antioxidant, antibacterial, cytotoxic, and antidiabetic activities by Trachyspermum ammi Nanosuspensions. *Front Biosci Land.*, 28(12): 340.
- Kapoor, K. and A. Srivastava. 2010. Assessment of salinity tolerance of *Vigna mungo* Var. Pu-19 using *Ex vitro* and *In vitro* methods. *Asian J. Biotechnol.*, 2: 73-85.
- Kayan, N., N. Gulmezoglu and M.D. Kaya. 2015. The optimum foliar zinc source and level for improving Zn content in seed of chickpea. *Legume Research.*, 38(6): 826-831.
- Khan, A., K.L. Williams and H.K. Nevalainen. 2006. Control of plant-parasitic nematodes by *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and *Monacrosporium lysipagum* in pot trials. *Biocontrol.*, 51: 643-658.
- Krishnamurthi, R.V., A.E. Moran, M.H. Forouzanfar, D.A. Bennett, G.A. Mensah, C.M. Lawes & Risk Factors. 2010. Study Stroke Expert Group. (2014). The global burden of hemorrhagic stroke: A summary of findings from the GBD 2010 study. *Global Heart.*, 9(1): 101-106.
- Li, C.Y., X.Y. Wu, J.B. Tong, X.X. Yang, J.L. Zhao, Q.F. Zheng and Z.J. Ma. 2015. Comparative analysis of human mesenchymal stem cells from bone marrow and adipose tissue under xeno-free conditions for cell therapy. *Stem Cell Res. Ther.*, 6: 1-13.
- Machado, R.M.A. and R.P. Serralheiro. 2017. Soil salinity: Effect on vegetable crop growth. Management practices to prevent and mitigate soil salinization. *Horticulturae*, 3(2): 30.
- Maclachlan, S. and S. Zalik. 1963. Plastid structure, chlorophyll concentration, and free amino acid composition of a chlorophyll mutant of barley. *Can. J. Bot.*, 41(7): 1053-1062.
- Mahmood, S. 2009. Religious reason and secular affect: An incommensurable divide. *Crit. Inquiry*, 35: 836-862.
- Mahmood, S., W. Ahmad, Z. Ali, E.M. Eed, A.S. Khalifa, M. Naeem, A. Bibi, A. Tahir, K. Waqas and A. Wahid. 2022. Exploring the potential of moringa leaf extract for mitigation of cadmium stress in *Triticum aestivum* L. *Appl. Sci.*, 12: 8199.
- Malik, S.R., G. Shabbir, M. Zubair, S.M. Iqbal and A. Ali. 2014. Genetic diversity analysis of morpho-genetic traits in Desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 16: 956-960.
- Mishra, R.S. and Z.Y. Ma. 2005. Friction stir welding and processing. *Mater. Sci. Eng. Rep.*, 50: 1-78.
- Murtaza, G., M. Naeem, S. Manzoor, H.A. Khan, E.M. Eed, W. Majeed and U.E. Ummara. 2022. Biological control potential of entomopathogenic fungal strains against peach Fruit fly, *Bactrocera zonata* (Saunders) (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Peer J.*, 10: e13316.
- Nawaz, A., T. Ali, M. Naeem, F. Hussain, Z. Li and A. Nasir. 2023. Biochemical, structural characterization and *In vitro* evaluation of antioxidant, antibacterial, cytotoxic, and antidiabetic activities of nanosuspensions of *Cinnamomum zeylanicum* bark extract. *Front. Chem.*, 11: 1194389.
- Palmer, C.M. and M.L. Guerinot. 2009. Facing the challenges of Cu, Fe and Zn homeostasis in plants. *Nature Chem. Biol.*, 5: 333-340.
- Pandey, N., G. Pathak and C. Sharma. 2009. Impairment in reproductive development is a major factor limiting yield of black gram under zinc deficiency. *Biol. Plant.*, 53: 723-732.
- Paolini, R., F. Faustini, F. Saccardo and P. Crino. 2006. Competitive interactions between chick-pea genotypes and weeds. *Weed Res.*, 46: 335-344.
- Pathak, A., Y.C. Hu and M. Zhang. 2012. Where is the energy spent inside my app? Fine Grained Energy Accounting on Smartphones with Eprof. In: *Proceedings of the 7th ACM european conference on Computer Systems*, pp. 29-42.

- Qin, T., P. Li and S. Shen. 2018. Vins-mono: A robust and versatile monocular visual-inertial state estimator. *IEEE Tra. Rob.*, 34: 1004-1020.
- Ramzan, U., W. Majeed, A.A. Hussain, F. Qurashi, SU.R. Qamar, M. Naeem, J. Uddin, A. Khan, A. Al-Harrasi and S.I.A. Razak. 2022. New insights for exploring the risks of bioaccumulation, molecular mechanisms, and cellular toxicities of AgNPs in aquatic ecosystem. *Water*, 14: 2192.
- Rasheed, A., X. Xia, Y. Yan, R. Appels, T Mahmood and Z. He. 2014. Wheat seed storage proteins: Advances in molecular genetics, diversity and breeding applications. *J. Cereal Sci.*, 60: 11-24.
- Raza, A., T. Ali, M. Naeem, M. Asim, F. Hussain, Z. Li and A. Nasir. 2024. Biochemical characterization of bioinspired nanosuspensions from *Swertia chirayita* extract and their therapeutic effects through nanotechnology approach. *Plos One.*, 19(2): e0293116.
- Roy, R.N., A. Finck, G.J. Blair and H.L.S. Tandon. 2006. Plant nutrition for food security. A guide for integrated nutrient management. *FAO*., 16: 368.
- Sarma, A.D., O. Benjelloun, A. Halevy and J. Widom. 2006. Working models for uncertain data. In 22nd International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE'06) (pp. 7-7)
- Shaban, A.S., M.E. Owda, M.M. Basuoni, M.A. Mousa, A.A. Radwan and A.K. Saleh. 2022. *Punica granatum* peel extract mediated green synthesis of zinc oxide nanoparticles: structure and evaluation of their biological applications. *Bio. Con.*, 1-17.
- Shafi, T., B.G. Jaar, L.C. Plantinga, N.E. Fink, J. H. Sadler, R. S. Parekh and J. Coresh. 2010. Association of residual urine output with mortality, quality of life, and inflammation in incident hemodialysis patients: The choices for healthy

outcomes in caring for end-stage renal disease study. *Amer. J. Kidney Dis.*, 56: 348-358.

- Sharma, A., D. Shankhdhar and S. Shankhdhar. 2013. Enhancing grain iron content of rice by the application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Plant. Soil. Environ.*, 59:89-94.
- Sturikova, H., O. Krystofova, D. Huska and V. Adam. 2018. Zinc, zinc nanoparticles and plants. J. Haz. Mat., 349: 101-110.
- Thavarajah, D. and P. Thavarajah. 2012. Evaluation of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) micronutrient composition: Biofortification opportunities to combat global micronutrient malnutrition. *Food Res. Int.*, 49: 99-104.
- Upadhyay, S.K., J.S. Singh, A.K. Saxena and D.P. Singh. 2012. Impact of PGPR inoculation on growth and antioxidant status of wheat under saline conditions. *Plant Biol.*, 14(4): 605-611.
- Varshney, R.K., M. Thudi, S.N. Nayak, P.M. Gaur, J. Kashiwagi, L. Krishnamurthy, D. Jaganathan, J. Koppolu, A. Bohra, S. Tripathi, A. Rathore, A.K. Jukanti, V. Jayalakshmi, A. Vemula, S.J. Singh, M. Yasin. M.S. Sheshshayee and K.P Viswanatha. 2014. Genetic dissection of drought tolerance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 127: 445-462.
- Varshney, R.K., P.M. Gaur, S.K. Chamarthi, L. Krishnamurthy, S. Tripathi, J. Kashiwagi, S. Samineni, V.K. Singh, M. Thudi and D. Jaganathan. 2013. Fast-track introgression of "QTL-hotspot" for root traits and other drought tolerance traits in JG 11, an elite and leading variety of chickpea. *Plant. Genom.*, 6: 01-07.
- Weng, H.H.R., J.S. Chen and P.C. Chen. 2015. Effects of green innovation on environmental and corporate performance: A stakeholder perspective. *Sustainability*, 7(5): 4997-5026.

(Received for publication 5 April 2023)