PHYSIOLOGICAL, BIOCHEMICAL AND MOLECULAR CHARACTERIZATION OF AZOSPIRILLUM SPP. ISOLATED FROM MAIZE UNDER WATER STRESS

NOSHIN ILYAS^{1,2}, ASGHARI BANO^{1*}, SUMERA IQBAL^{1,3} AND NAVEED IQBAL RAJA^{1,2}

¹Department of Plant Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan. ²Department of Botany, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi. ²Department of Botany, Lahore College for Women University, Lahore. *Corresponding author. E-mail: bano.asghari@gmail.com. Tel: 0092-51-90643096.

Abstract

This study was designed to isolate and characterize *Azospirillum* strains from maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown under wellwatered and water stressed conditions and to evaluate the ability of bacteria to produce plant growth promoting hormones like Indole Acetic Acid (IAA), Gibberellic Acid (GA), trans-Zeatin riboside (tzr) and abscisic acid (ABA). A total of eight strains of *Azospirillum* were isolated from rhizosphere and roots of maize plants grown in pots and it was observed that survival efficiency of *Azospirillum* from well watered plants was higher as compared to that of *Azospirillum* strains isolated from roots and rhizosphere samples of water stressed plants (having 8-12% soil moisture). Preliminary identification of isolates was made on the basis of morphological characteristics and carbon/nitrogen source utilization patterns using QTS-24 kits. The genetic diversity among the isolates was evaluated by Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA finger printing and similarity matrix. Inoculation of wheat with isolates from water-stressed plants induced tolerance to water stress in inoculated plants. Isolates from water-stressed conditions produced low concentration of indole acetic acid, gibberellic acid, and trans zeatin riboside but higher concentration of abscisic acid. The isolated bacterial strains have technological implications for inoculants formulation and improved growth of cereal crops.

Introduction

The use of beneficial soil microorganisms as agricultural inputs for improved crop production requires the selection of rhizosphere-competent microorganisms with plant growth-promoting attributes (Hynes et al., 2008). Water stress affects virtually all climatic regions of the world (Wilhite et al., 2000) and more than one-half of the earth is susceptible to drought every year (Hewitt, 1997; Kogan, 1997). Drought is one of the major environmental stresses that limit the growth of plants and the production of crops (Shinozaki et al., 2003).Desiccation affects microbial population structure (Ilyas et al., 2008). Exposure to extreme environmental conditions as imposed during dry season alters soil microbial activity (Castro-Sowinski et al., 2007). The introduction of beneficial bacteria can normalize and, in some cases, improve plant performance in stressful environments and thereby preserve or enhance yield (Bensalim et al., 1998). The adapted micro-symbionts can be used to protect their hosts from these stresses (Vivas et al., 2003) so as to maintain adequate food production and be used as possible biosensor of the soil functioning (Köves-Péchy et al., 2003). Bacteria growing in sites where water is limited or where dry periods occur frequently have been shown to promote plant growth better than those growing in sites where water is abundant (Mayak et al., 2004). Many soil PGPRs, such as Azospirillum can compensate for the reduction in plant growth produced by drought stress (Zahir et al., 2007) and some other unfavorable environmental conditions.

Most species of the genus *Azospirillum* are known to act as plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) and stimulate plant growth directly either by synthesizing phytohormones or by promoting improved N nutrition through BNF(Jiang *et al.*, 2007). PGPR also produce several other growth promoting substances including IAA, GA3, zeatin and ABA (Perrig *et al.*, 2007). Members of genus *Azospirillum* are able to exert beneficial effects on plant growth and yield of many agronomic crops under a variety of environmental and soil conditions (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 2001). *Azospirillum* inoculation alleviates low soil moisture on wheat and maize seedlings grown under water stress conditions (Pereyra *et al.*, 2006). *Azospirillum* spp., isolated from moisture stressed conditions can improve tolerance to water stress, and thus they can be inoculated to promote plant growth on stressed sites, such as semiarid and arid regions (Ilyas & Bano, 2010).

In this paper we investigated the effect of water stress on occurrence, carbon/nitrogen utilization pattern, phytohormone production and genetic diversity of maize associated *Azospirillum* strains. The selected strain, which can be successfully used as inoculant under exposure to water stress, was identified on basis of 16S rRNA sequence analysis.

Materials and Methods

An experiment was conducted under natural condition in a net house of Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan. Seeds of two varieties (EV-4001 ad EV-1098) of maize (Zea mayz L.) were obtained from Crop Research Institute, National Agriculture Research Center (NARC) Islamabad and were sown in pots measuring 24 x 30 cm^2 , filled with sand and soil (collected from nearby farmer's field) in 1:3 ratios, during first week of July for two consecutive years, 2006 and 2007. Soil pH and EC were determined with the help of pH and EC meter which were 8.2 and 126.67µs/cm, respectively. Surface sterilization of seeds was done with HgCl₂ (0.1%), followed by several washings with distilled water. Fertilizers were applied at the recommended rate of 140-90-60 kg ha⁻¹ N, P and K, respectively in the form of urea, single super phosphate and potassium sulphate. Five pots were randomized at regular interval for each treatment and irrigated at field capacity by daily addition of water. Five plants per pot were allowed to grow.

Water stress was induced at two stages (once at tillering stage of crop, i.e., 27 DAS in case of maize crop), (second at anthesis stage, 80 DAS for maize crop) by withholding the supply of water for 7 days. The control plants were sprayed with distilled water as required. During water stress treatment, plants were protected from rain by plastic sheet. After 7 days, plants were harvested

and their root samples were collected and stored at 4°C till further analyses. For drought treatment, plants were covered with polypropylene until harvest. Soil samples for isolation of bacteria were also collected at end of each treatment.

A composite soil sample from thoroughly mixed soil was taken to calculate soil moisture according to following formula:

Soil moisture (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Weight of wet soil (g) - Weight of dry soil (g)}}{\text{Weight of dry soil (g)}} \times \frac{100}{100}$$

Isolation, morphology and population size of *Azospirillum* strains: Five plants each from irrigation and drought treatment were randomly selected for bacteria isolation. A series of decimal dilutions of rhizoshere soil were prepared by suspending 10 g rhizosphere soil in 90 ml of sterilized distilled water. An aliquot (100 μ l) from three dilutions (10⁻¹, 10⁻⁵, and 10⁻¹⁰) was used to inoculate nitrogen-free (NF) medium in Mc Cartany's vials incubated at 30°C for 48 h. Vials with bacterial growth were used for inoculation of isolates on Luria Bertani (LB) plates in order to obtain pure colonies. Single colonies appearing on these plates were transferred

in liquid broth of LB and on agar slants for further study. Roots (1 g) were surface-sterilized by shaking them in 0.1% HgCl₂ solutions for 1–2 min, followed by five to six washings with sterilized distilled water. One gram of surface-sterilized roots of plants was homogenized, and a series of decimal dilutions were prepared. Further purifications were made in same manner as that from rhizosphere. The vials with bacterial colonies were used to inoculate LB (Miller, 1972) agar plates to obtain pure colonies of *Azospirillum* species. Viable cell counts were calculated as suggested by James (1978):

Viable cell count (CFU/g) = (number of colonies \times dilution factor/volume of inoculums)

Isolated strains of bacteria were identified on the basis of colony, morphology, and biochemical tests (Holt *et al.*, 1994). Bacterial strains from overnight grown cultures in LB (Miller, 1972) broth were spread on the agar plates of the medium. The morphology of the colonies (color and shape) was observed after 24 h. The single colony from the agar plates was transferred on glass slide with a drop of sterile water and observed under light microscope (Nikon, Japan).

Biochemical characterization: Gram staining of bacterial cultures was done as described previously by Vincent (1970). Oxidase test was performed according to Steel (1961) Kovac's reagent (1% N,N,N,N-tetramethylep-phenylene diamine dissolved in warm water and stored in dark bottle; Kovacs, 1956). A strip of filter paper was dipped in this reagent and air-dried. With the help of sterile wire loop, 1- day-old colonies of bacterial cultures from agar plates were transferred on this filter paper strip. The oxidase positive colonies turned lavender-colored, which became dark purple to black in color within 5 min. Catalase test was performed according to the procedure of MacFaddin (1980). Bacterial colonies (24-h-old) were taken on glass slides, and one drop of H₂O₂ (30%) was added. Appearance of gas bubble indicated the presence of catalase enzyme. Physiological and biochemical tests were performed using QTS 24 miniaturized identification system (DESTO Laboratories Karachi, Pakistan) following the method of MacFaddin (1980). For these tests 24 h old bacterial cultures were used and results were noted after 18 h of incubation at 30°C. The obtained results were compared with already described standard species reported in Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (Holt et al., 1994).

Genotypic characterization (RAPD-DNA finger printing and 16S-rRNA gene sequencing): DNA to identify bacterial isolates was extracted from Azospirillum isolates as described by Chen and Kuo (1993). Cultures of Azospirillum strains were streaked on LB plates and grown in incubators (incubation at 35°C for Azospirillum). From plates, single colonies of Azospirillum were inoculated into test tubes containing LB broth media and grown in shaker (Excella E24, New Brunswick Scientific USA) at 80 rpm overnight. DNA was extracted from culture as follows: 1.5 ml of a saturated culture was harvested with centrifugation for 3 min at $(7,000 \times g)$. The cell pellet was resuspended and lysed in 200 µl of lysis buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate pH7.8, 20 mM sodium acetate, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1% SDS) by vigorous pipetting. To remove protein and cell debris, 65 µl of 5 M NaCl solution was added and mixed well, and the viscous mixture was centrifuged at $(7,000 \times g)$ for 10 min at 4°C. After transferring the clear supernatant to a new vial, an equal volume of chloroform was added, and the tube was gently inverted at least 50 times when a milky solution was formed. Following centrifugation at $(7,000 \times g)$ for 3 min, the extracted supernatant was transferred to another vial, and the DNA was precipitated with 100% EtOH, washed twice with 70% EtOH, and redissolved in 50 µl autoclaved cold water; DNA sample (5µl) was mixed with 2μ l of 6× loading dye, loaded into 1% agarose gel (stained with 0.01 g/ml ethedium bromide), and electrophoresis was run at 100 V. After 30 min, bands were observed under UV transilluminator lamp. DNA ladder (1 kb) was used to mark the bands. Genetic biodiversity and polymorphism among the isolated strains were determined by the random amplification of polymorphic DNApolymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR) technique adapted by Teaumroong and Boonkerd (1998). Randomly amplified polymorphic DNA finger printing was done by using OP-01 (ACCTGGACAC) and OP-06 primer (AAGGC GGCAG). Genomic DNAs of Azospirillum isolates were amplified as described by Weisburg et al., (1991). The PCR was carried out by forward (fd1) primer with nucleotide sequence AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG and reverse rd1 primer (AAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC). The reaction was carried out in a thermocycler (Biometra, Germany). Each reaction volume (25µl) contained 1µl of template DNA, 0.2 mM dNTP mix, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 5µl of 10× Tag buffers, 1 unit of Tag DNA polymerase, and 10 pmol of each primer. The volume was raised to 25µl by autoclaved cold water. After denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, 30 cycles with temperature values of 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min were followed by incubation at 72°C for 10 min. Then, 5µl of amplified PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel, in $1 \times$ TBE buffer at 80 V, and stained with ethidium bromide (0.01 g/ml). Gel was visualized under UV transilluminator lamp (S. N. 76 S/64069, Bio RAD, Italy) and photographed. As a marker, we used 1-kb DNA ladder (Fermentas, Germany). The DNA bands were excised from gel, and DNA was purified by gel purification kits (JET quick, Gel Extraction Spin Kit, GENOMED) and sequenced on automated sequencer (ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer). Amplification primers were used for sequencing both strands of PCR product. The sequence was compared with standard databases by BLAST (NCBI) software and deposited at NCBI databank and accession number GQ255950 was obtained.

Extraction, purification and quantification of phytohormones from bacterial culture: Isolated bacterial strains were analyzed for abscisic acid, indole 3acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA) production in pure culture. Azospirillum strains were grown in NF liquid medium. Ammonium chloride (1.0 g/l) and tryptophan (100 mg/l) were added in nitrogen-free medium. Tryptophan was added as precursor for IAA biosynthesis. Growth media (100 ml) were inoculated with 24-h-old bacterial cultures and placed on a shaker (EXCELLA E24, New Brunswick Scientific USA) at 100 rpm, for 7 days. Thereafter, cultures were centrifuged (6,000×g) for 15 min, and supernatant was used for extraction of growth hormones excreted in the growth medium. The pH of supernatant was adjusted to 2.8 with 1 N HCl. Phytohormones were extracted with an equal volumes of ethyl acetate, as described by Tien et al., (1979). This ethyl acetate extract was evaporated to dryness at 35°C, and the residue was dissolved in 1,500µl of pure methanol (Sigma Chemical Co). The samples were analyzed on HPLC (Agilent 1100) using UV detector and C18 column (39× 300 mm). For identification of hormones, a 100-µl sample was filtered through 0.45 Millipore filter and injected into column. Pure IAA, GA, and abscisic acid (ABA) (Sigma Chemical Co. USA), dissolved in HPLC grade methanol, were used as standards for identification and quantification of bacterial hormones. Growth hormones were identified on the basis of retention time and peak area of the standard. Methanol, acetic acid, and water (29:1:70) were used as mobile phase. Flow rate was adjusted at 1 ml/min, with an average run time of 20 min/sample. The wavelength used for detection of IAA was 280 nm (Sarwar et al., 1992), whereas for GA and ABA analysis, it was adjusted at 254 nm (Li et al., 1994).

Inoculation effects of Azospirillum strains: Growthpromoting effects of Azospirillum used as an inoculant were studied on wheat varieties. Two strains, MRA7 (from roots of plants grown under well-watered conditions) and MRA₁₀ (isolated from roots of plants growing under water stress at anthesis stage) were used. Seeds were surface-sterilized with HgCl₂ (0.1%) and successively washed several times with sterilized water. Seeds were soaked overnight in 7-day-old cultures of Azospirillum isolates with optical density of 0.99 at 600 nm $(10^7 cfu/ml)$. Then inoculated seeds were sown in plastic pots (14×14 cm²) pre-sterilized with 10% chlorox and filled with sand and soil in 3:1 and autoclaved at 121°C and 15 psi. After 4 weeks, water stress was imposed by withholding water supply for a period of 7 days, while non-stressed plants were irrigated as required. After 1 week, plants were harvested, and growth parameters (shoot length, root length, and fresh and dry weight of shoot and root) were recorded.

Statistical analysis: Mean and standard deviation values of data were calculated from at least five replicates. The data were analyzed statistically by analysis of variance and comparison among means by Duncan's multiple range test using MSTAT-C version 1.4.2. In case of RAPD-PCR the presence of band was scored as 1 and absence as 0 and the bands with same mobility were treated as identical bands. The RAPD-PCR data were analyzed by software package MVSP (Multivariate Statistical Package) version 3.1. The similarity matrix was measured by Gower General similarity coefficient.

Results

Survival efficiency (measured as cfu/g soil and root) of isolates from irrigated pots (soil moisture 22%) revealed higher values than those of isolates from roots and rhizosphere soil of plants grown water stressed conditions (soil moisture 8%). The effect of low soil moisture on colony forming unit was more pronounced at anthesis stage of plant growth than at compared to tillering stage (Fig. 1). At tillering stage, 20–28% decrease in colony counts was observed as compared to control, whereas at anthesis stage, the colony count decreased by 27–38% with corresponding decrease in soil moisture 8%.

On the basis of carbon/nitrogen utilization patterns, the isolates from rhizosphere soil and roots of maize grown in field at different moisture regimes were classified into three groups. Group I included isolates from rhizosphere soil and roots of plants growing under well watered conditions at both tillering and anthesis stages and were named as MRA₇, MSA₇, MRA₉, and MSA₉. Group II included isolates from rhizosphere soil and roots of plants growing under water-stressed conditions at tillering stage and were named MRA₈ and MSA₈. Group III included isolates from rhizosphere soil and roots of plants growing under water-stressed conditions at anthesis stage and were named as MRA₁₀ and MSA₁₀ (Table 1).



Fig. 1. Survival efficiency of strains of *Azospirillum lipoferum* isolated from roots and rhizosphere of irrigated and water stressed *Zea* mays. The bars bearing the same letter are not statistically different at 5 % level of significance.

MRA₇ Isolate from roots of well watered plants at tillering stage, MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of well watered plants at tillering stage, MRA₈ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of well watered plants at anthesis stage, MSA₉ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of well watered plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage.

Tests	Group I	Group II	Group III		
	MRA7, MSA7, MRA9, MSA9	MRA ₈ , MSR ₈	MRA ₁₀ , MSA ₁₀		
Colony morphology	Irregular, wrinkled, pink	Irregular, wrinkled, pink	Irregular, wrinkled, pink		
Gram stain	-	-	-		
Catalase and Oxidase	+	+	+		
Ortho nitro phenyl β-D-galactopyranoside	-	-	-		
Sodium citrate	+	+	+		
Sodium malonate	+	+	+		
Lysine decarboxylase	+	+	+		
Arginine dihydrolase	+	+	+		
Orthinine decarboxylase	+	+	+		
H_2S production	-	-	-		
Urea hydrolysis	+	+	+		
Tryptophane deaminase	+	-	-		
Indole	-	-	-		
Voger Proskaur (Acetion)	-	-	-		
Gelatin hydrolysis	+	+	-		
Acid from glucose	+	+	+		
Acid from maltose	-	-	-		
Acid from sucrose	-	-	-		
Acid from mannitol	+	+	+		
Acid from arabinose	+	+	-		
Acid from rhammose	-	-	-		
Acid from sorbitol	-	-	-		
Acid from inositol	-	-	-		
Acid from adontol	+	-	-		
Acid from melibiose	+	-	-		
Acid from raffinose	+	+	-		
Organism identified	Azospirillum lipoferum				

Fable 1. Biochemics	al characterization	of bacterial strai	ns using OTS-	24 miniaturized	identification system

MRA₇ Isolate from roots of well watered plants at tillering stage, MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of well watered plants at tillering stage, MRA₈ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MRA₉ Isolate from roots of well watered plants at anthesis stage, MSA₉ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₉ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₉ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage.

The molecular weight of amplified products was determined by electophoresis on 1.2% (w/v) agarose gel. A band of 1.5 kb corresponding to 16SrRNA gene for each isolate was obtained. On the basis of colony morphology, gram staining, and carbon/nitrogen utilization pattern (QTS-24), the isolated strains were identified as members of genus *Azospirillum* (Bergey's Manual of Bacteriology). On the basis of unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) cluster

analysis of *Azospirillum* strains isolated from rhizosphere soil and roots of maize plants using OP -01 and OP-06 primer (Figs. 2a and 2b respectively), isolates were grouped into two clusters. UPGMA cluster analysis with OP-01 primer revealed that group I included isolates from well watered plants (at tillering and anthesis stage), whereas group II included isolates from water-stressed plants. UPGMA cluster analysis for OP-06 primer gave similar clusters as that of OP-01.



Fig. 2(a, b). The UPGMA Analysis of RAPD banding scores of *Azospirillum lipoferum* strains with OP-01 and OP-06 primers respectively.

The details of samples are similar as previously described in Fig 1.

water stressed conditions (soil moisture 12 and 8%) and well watered conditions (soil moisture 22%).

Lane M=1kb DNA ladder, Lane 1 Azospirillum isolated from roots of plants growing under well watered conditions at tillering stage, Lane 2 Azospirillum isolated from rhizosphere soil of plants growing under well watered conditions at tillering stage, Lane 3 Azospirillum isolated from roots of plants growing under water stressed conditions at tillering stage, Lane 4 Azospirillum isolated from rhizosphere soil of plants growing under water stressed conditions at tillering stage. Lane 5 Azospirillum isolated from roots of plants collected from pot study growing under well watered conditions at anthesis stage, Lane 6 Azospirillum isolated from rhizosphere soil of plants growing under well watered conditions at anthesis stage, Lane 7 Azospirillum isolated from roots of plants growing under water stressed conditions at anthesis stage, Lane 8 Azospirillum isolated from rhizosphere soil of plants collected from pot study growing under water stressed conditions at anthesis stage.

potted plants increased plant biomass more than with *Azospirillum* isolates from well-watered potted plants.

PCR amplification for 16S rRNA gene was carried out and the product was run on gel and visualized (Fig. 3) On the basis of phytohormone production and tolerance to water stress, MRA₁₀ *Azospirillum* (isolated from roots of maize plants growing under induced water-stressed conditions at anthesis stage) were characterized by 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. The comparison of the sequence show highest similarity (98%) to *Azospirillum lipoferum*.

Discussion

Low soil moisture adversely affected the survival of Azospirillum isolates from roots and rhizosphere samples of plants exposed to water stress, with greater effects at anthesis stage of plant. The difference in the response to soil moisture for different phases of plant growth may be due to differences in composition and yield of root exudates, which markedly affect bacterial activity of rhizosphere soil. Association of microbes depends on developmental stage and root architecture of plants (Ilyas & Bano, 2010). Adaptation to water stress in microbes is a very complex phenomenon involving many physiological and biochemical processes and physiological/morphological changes such as cyst formation (Bashan, 1991; Sadasivan and Neyra, 1987), floc formation (Neyra et al., 1995), melanin production (Givaudan et al., 1993), poly-B-hydroxybutyrate synthesis (Okon and Itzigsohn 1992), and polysaccharide synthesis (Del Gallo & Haegi, 1990). The observed decreased use of carbohydrates by group II may be attributed to stress induced changes in morphology/physiology of Azospirillum under water stress. Persistence of stress usually results in a cell that is smaller and much harder than a cell not subjected to stress. Stress also affects the metabolic functioning of microorganisms, reducing the use of nutrients (Maot et al., 2002). The isolates were classified in three groups, on the basis of carbon/nitrogen utilization pattern, and in two groups on the basis of RAPD analysis. The chemical and physical differences between soils may be at least partially responsible for the genetic differences among the strains (Paffetti et al., 1996). Genomic DNA fingerprinting using RAPD has been found useful in differentiating very closely related bacteria (Oliveira et al., 2000).



In present study all the PGPR strains showed differential capacities to produce phytohormones Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), Gibberellic acid (GA3), trans-zeatin riboside (t-zr) and Abscisic acid (ABA) in culture media supplemented with and without tryptophan (Fig. 4a-b). Isolates from roots and rhizosphere soil at anthesis stage produced markedly greater concentration of IAA, GA, and t-zr than those of tillering stage in each level of soil moisture content. However, significantly (p≤0.05) less IAA, GA, and t-zr were produced in isolates from roots of plants grown in water stressed conditions as compared to that of irrigated control. Maximum amounts of IAA, GA, and t-zr were produced by MRA9 and MSA9 from roots of plants (at anthesis stage) grown in pots under wellwatered conditions, while the least amounts of IAA, GA, and t-zr were produced by isolate MRA₈ and MSA₈ (from roots of plants grown under water-stressed conditions at 8% soil moisture).

In contrast to other phytohormones, ABA production of all isolates from rhizosphere soil and roots of plants growing under water-stressed conditions was significantly $(p \le 0.05)$ higher than that of the isolates from rhizosphere soil and roots of well-watered plants. At anthesis stage, maximum ABA was produced by MRA₁₀ (isolated from roots of plants growing under water stress at anthesis stage), while the least amounts of ABA was produced by MRA7 (from roots of plants grown under well-watered Tryptophan addition to culture media conditions). markedly increased the production of all phytohormones. The magnitude of increase was the highest for IAA production. All Azospirillum isolates from roots and rhizosphere of maize plants grown under well-watered conditions showed approximately eight times increase in IAA and five times increase in GA and t-zr production in culture medium supplemented with tryptophan as compared to the culture without tryptophan (Fig. 4a-b).

The results (Fig. 5) revealed that treatment T_2 , i.e., inoculation with isolates MRA₇ (from roots of plants grown under well-watered conditions), significantly (p \leq 0.05) increased shoot length (37%), root length (45%), shoot dry weight (50%), root dry weight (61%), and root to shoot ratio as compared to uninoculated (To) wheat plants. The inoculation of wheat (T₄) with isolate MRA₁₀ (isolated from roots of plants growing under water stress at anthesis stage) also stimulated wheat growth but with lower magnitude. Under induced water stress of 7 days, the inoculum with *Azospirillum* isolates from water-stressed

3000

2000

1000





Fig. 4. Estimation of phytohormones produced (μ g/100ml) by *Azospirillum lipoferum* strains in culture medium without and with tryptophan respectively. The bars bearing the same letter are not statistically different at 5 % level of significance. MRA₇ Isolate from roots of well watered plants at tillering stage, MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of well watered plants at tillering stage, MRA₈ Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₈ MSA₇ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at tillering stage, MSA₉ Isolate from rhizosphere soil of well watered plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Azospirillum Isolate from roots of water stressed plants at anthesis stage, MSA₁₀ Azospirillum Isolate

from rhizosphere soil of water stressed plants at anthesis stage.



Fig. 5. Inoculation effects of *Azospirillum* strains on shoot and root dry weight and length of 45 days old *Triticum aestivum* L., plants growing under induced water stress and normal conditions. The values followed by same letter are not statistically different at 5% level of significance.

Plants were harvested 45 days after sowing T_0 Not inoculated and kept well watered, T_1 Not inoculated and exposed to induced water stress for 7 d, T_2 Inoculated with MRA₇ (from roots of plants grown under well-watered conditions) and kept well watered, T_3 Inoculated with MRA₇ (from roots of plants grown under well-watered conditions) and exposed to water stress for 7 d, T_4 Inoculated with MRA₁₀ (isolated from roots of plants growing under water stress at anthesis stage) and kept well watered, T_5 Inoculated with MRA₁₀ (isolated from roots of plants growing under water stress at anthesis stage) and exposed to water stress for 7 d.

The Azospirillum isolates obtained from wellwatered conditions showed higher production of IAA, GA, and t-zr and less production of ABA as compared to isolates from water-stressed plants. Kolb and Martin (1985) and Cohen et al., (2007) detected ABA in the culture medium of A. brasilense. IAA is one of the most physiologically active auxins. According to Spaepen et al., (2007), environmental stress, such as acidic pH, water stress, and carbon limitation, can affect IAA synthesis. The application of exogenous tryptophan increased markedly the IAA production, which is in accordance with the earlier studies for Azospirillum (Patten and Glick 2002; Theunis et al., 2004). Mutual interaction between Cytokinins, ABA, and IAA has been reported (Polanska et al., 2006; Woodward & Bartel 2005), and alteration in cytokinin level can affect IAA and ABA contents (Polanska et al., 2006). The ABA inhibition of auxin biosynthesis has been reported (Milborrow, 1966; Anker, 1975).

Inoculation of wheat plants with Azospirillum isolates has increased the plant biomass, which appears to be correlated with the production of growth-promoting hormones. In addition to nitrogen fixation, the production of phytohormones by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria is considered to be an important mechanism by which these bacteria can promote plant growth (Tien et al., 1979; Bashan et al. 2004; Spaepen et al., 2008). When plants were exposed to water stress for 7 days, stimulatory effects of Azospirillum isolates from plants exposed to water stress on root shoot length and fresh and dry weight of root and shoot were higher than those of Azospirillum isolates from well-watered plants in pots. One of the possible reasons for better performance of these isolates is higher ABA production and maintenance of higher ABA/t-zr ratio, indicating a decrease in

cytokinin content. This higher amount of ABA produced by Azospirillum isolates may be taken up by inoculated plants and subsequently results in improved tolerance of plants to water stress. ABA has been suggested to be a key component in water deficit-induced responses. Taylor et al., (2000) and Fulai et al., (2005) reported that drought decreased water potential and increased ABA content. In another study Azospirillum strain from this experiment was used to assess the effects of inoculation of wheat seedlings on amelioration of adverse effects of drought stress on wheat plants. Two wheat varieties cvv. GA-2002 and Faisalabad 2008 were grown under normal and drought stressed conditions. Azospiriilum treatment under drought significantly increased stomatal conduction, osmotic potential, protein, proloine, soluble sugars, glycine betaine, phytohotmones like abscisic acid, fresh and dry weight of root and shoot, biological and grain yield (Sarwar, 2008).

Mayak et al., (2004) have hypothesized that those bacteria of sites where water is limited and repeated dry periods occur frequently are likely to stimulate better plant growth than bacteria isolated from sites where water sources are abundant. El-Komy et al., (2003) reported that Azospirillum mitigated adverse effects of low soil moisture on wheat plants grown under water-stressed conditions. Azospirillum strains isolated from moisturestressed conditions imparted tolerance to host plant, which received bacterial inoculation. This was shown by the better growth of plants and drier biomass of shoot and root of inoculated than those of uninoculated seedlings. This hypothesis is further verified by the observation that root to shoot ratio, which is an index of stress tolerance in plants, was significantly (p≤0.05) greater in plants inoculated with T₄ group II (isolates from water stressed) than in plants inoculated with T₂ (isolates of group I, well-watered conditions). Possibly the higher ABA production by these isolates may be responsible for the tolerance to water stress (Fig. 4). Plants inoculated with *Azospirillum* exhibit enhanced root system with more lateral roots and enlarged root hairs, therefore occupying an enhanced soil volume (Bertrand *et al.*, 2000). The number and length of wheat roots were significantly increased by inoculation with IAA producing A. brasilense strains, and enhanced root growth of maize was found after inoculation of GA producing *Azospirillum* lipoferum (Barbieri *et al.*, 1986; Fulchieri *et al.*, 1993). Evaluation of strains for production of various bioactive metabolites ACC deaminase, osmoregulants and antioxidants is required for selection of the strains as bio-inoculant under stress environment.

Conclusion

The results indicated that the isolated *Azospirillum* strains are affected by water stress and are adopted consequently. The selected bacterial strain, *Azospirilum lipoferum* (MRA₁₀), can be used to promote cereal plant growth under normal and water stress conditions and enhance production of stress-hormones (ABA) along with higher ABA/trans zeatin riboside ratio, through inoculation of these strains in plants under stress condition.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge Higher Education Commission, Pakistan for providing the financial assistance.

References

- Anker, L. 1975. Auxin-synthesis inhibition by abscisic acid and its reversal by gibberellic acid. Acta. Bo. Neerl., 24: 339-347.
- Barbieri, P.T., E. Zanelli, H. Galli and G. Zanetti. 1986 Wheat inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense Sp6 and some mutants altered in nitrogen fixation and indole-3-acetic production. FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 36: 87-90.
- Bashan, Y. 1991. Changes in membrane potential of intact soybean root elongation zone cells induced by Azospirillum brasilense. Can. J. Microbiol., 37: 958-963.
- Bashan, Y., G. Holguin and L. de-Bashan. 2004. Azospirillumplant relationships: physiological molecular, agricultural, and environmental advances (1997–2003). Can. J. Microbiol., 50:521-577.
- Bensalim, S., J. Nowak and S. K. Asiedu. 1998. A plant growth promoting rhizobacterium and temperature effects on performance of 18 clones of potato. *Am. J. Potato Res.*, 75: 145-152.
- Bertrand, H., C. Plassard, X. Pinochet, B. Touraine, P. Normand and J.C. Cleyet-Marel. 2000. Stimulation of ionic transport system in *Brassica napus* by a plant growth-promoting rhizobacterium (*Achromobacter sp.*). *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 46: 229-236.
- Castro-Sowinski, S., Y. Herschkovitz, O. Okon and E. Jurkevitch. 2007. Effects of inoculation with plant-growthpromoting rhizobacteria on resident rhizosphere microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 276: 1-11.
- Chen, W. and T. Kuo. 1993. A simple and rapid method for the preparation of gram-negative bacterial genomic DNA. *Nucleic Acid Res.*, 21: 22-60.

- Cohen, A., C.R. Bottini and P.N. Piccoli. 2007. Azospirillum brasilense SP 245 produces ABA in chemically-defined culture medium and increase ABA content in Arabidopsis plants. Int. J. Plant Growth Regul., 12: 52-60.
- Del-Gallo, M. and A. Haegi. 1990. Characterization and quantification of exocellular polysaccharide in Azospirillum brasilense and Azospirillum lipoferum. Symbiosis, 9: 155-161.
- Dobbelaere, S., A. Croonenborghs, A. Thys, D. Patteck and J. Vanderleyden. 2001. Response of agronomically important crops to inoculation with *Azospirillum. Aust. J. Plant Physiol.*, 28: 871-879.
- El-Komy, H.M., M.A. Hamdia and G.K.A. El-Baki. 2003. Nitrate reductase in wheat plants grown under water stress and inoculated with *Azospirillum* spp. *Biol. Plant.*, 46: 281-287.
- Fulai, L., M.N. Andersen, S.E. Jacobsen and C.R. Jensen. 2005. Stomatal control and water use efficiency of soybean (*Glycine max L. Merr.*) during progressive soil drying. *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 54: 33-40.
- Fulchieri, M., C. Lucangeli and R. Bottini. 1993. Inoculation with A. lipoferum affects growth and gibberellin status of corn seedlings roots. *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 134: 1305-1309.
- Givaudan, A., A. Effosse, D. Faure, P. Potier, M. L. Bouillant and R. Bally. 1993. Polyphenol oxidase in Azospirillum lipoferum isolated from rice rhizosphere: evidence from lactase activity in nonmotile strains of Azospirillum lipoferum. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 108: 205-210.
- Hewitt, K. 1997. Regions of Risk. A Geographical Introduction to Disasters. Addison Wesley Longman Limited. England.
- Holt, J.G., N.R. Kreig, P.H.A. Sneath, J.T. Staley and S.T. Williams. 1994. Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology, 9th edn. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, pp. 40-169.
- Hynes, R.K., G.C. Leung, Y. Hirkala and L.M. Nelson. 2008. Isolation, selection, and characterization of beneficial rhizobacteria from pea, lentil, and chickpea grown in western Canada. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 54: 248-258.
- Ilyas, N. and A. Bano. 2010. Azospirillum strains isolated from roots and rhizosphere soil of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grown under different soil moisture conditions. *Biol. Fert. Soil*, 46: 393-406.
- Ilyas, N., A. Bano and S. Iqbal. 2008. Variation in *Rhizobium* and *Azospirillum* strains isolated from maize growing in arid and semiarid areas. *Inter. J. Agri. Biol.*, 10: 612-8.
- James, G.C. 1978. Native Sherman Rockland Community College,State University of New York. The Benjamin/Coming Publishing Company Inc, 75-80.
- Jiang, C., X. Gao, L. Liao, N.P. Harber and X. Fu. 2007. Phosphate Starvation Root Architecture and Anthocyanin Accumulation Responses Are Modulated by the Gibberellin-DELLA Signaling Pathway in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol., 145: 1460-1470.
- Kogan, F.N. 1997. Global drought watch from space. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, pp. 621-636.
- Kolb, W. and P. Martin. 1985. Response of plant roots to inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* and to application of indole acetic acid. In: *Azospirillum* III: Genetics, physiology, ecology. (Ed.): W. Klingmuller. Springer, Berlin, pp. 215.
- Kovacs, N. 1956. Identification of *Pseudomonas pyocyanea* by the oxidase reaction. *Nature*, 178: 703.
- Koves-Pechy, K., B. Brio, I. Voros and R.J. Strasser. 2003. Method to study microbial interactions between the inoculated microsymbiont and the indigenous microbes in the rhizosphere. *Sci. Bull. Baja. Mare.* 17: 25-292.
- Li. J., J. Shi, X.L. Zhao, G. Wang, H.F. Yu and Y.J. Ren. 1994. Separation and determination of three kinds of plant hormone by high performance liquid chromatography. *Fenxi-Huaxue*, 22: 801-804.

- MacFaddin. 1980. Biochemical tests for Identification of Medical bacteria. Macro and micronutrients in alkaline soils. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Ana., 18: 195-207.
- Maot, A., J.W. Foster and M.P. Spector. 2002. Microbial physiology. Wiley-Liss, USA, pp. 582-611.
- Mayak, S., T. Tirosh and B. Glick. 2004. Plant growthpromoting bacteria that confer resistance to water stress in tomatoes and peppers. *Plant Sci.*, 166: 525-530.
- Milborrow, B.V. 1966. The effects of synthetic DL-dormin (abscisin II) on growth of oat mesocotyl. *Planta*, 70: 155-171.
- Miller, J.H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, pp. 354-358.
- Neyra, C.A., A. Atkinson and O. Olubayi. 1995. Coaggregation of Azospirillum with other bacteria: basis for functional diversity. In: Azospirillum VI and related microorganisms, genetics- physiology-ecology. (Eds.): I. Fendrik, M. Del Gallo, J. Vanderleyden, M. de Zamaroczy. NATO ASI series, series G. Springer, Berlin, pp. 429-439.
- Okon, Y. and R. Itzigsohn. 1992. Poly-β-hydroxybutirate metabolism in *Azospirillum brasilense* and the ecological role of PHB in the rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.*, 103: 131-139.
- Oliveira, I.R., M.J. Vasconcellos, L. Seldin, E. Paiva, M.A. Vargas and N.M.H. Sá. 2000. Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of effective *Rhizobium* sp. associated with beans cultivated in Brazil cerrado soils. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 31: 39-44.
- Paffetti, D., C. Scotti, S. Gnocchi, S. Fancelli and M. Bazzicalupo. 1996. Genetic diversity of an Italian *Rhizobium meliloti* population from different Medicago sativa varieties. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 62: 2279-2285.
- Patten, C.L. and B.R. Glick. 2002. Role of *Pseudomonas putida* indoleacetic acid in development of the host plant root system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 68: 3795-3801.
- Pereyra, M.A., C.A. Zalazar, C.A. Barassi. 2006. Root phospholipids in *Azospirillum*-inoculated wheat seedlings exposed to water stress. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 44: 873-879.
- Perrig, D., M.L. Boiero, O.A. Masciarelli, C. Penna, O.A. Ruiz, F.D. Cassan and M.V. Luna. 2007. Plant growth promoting compounds produced by two strains of *Azospirillum brasilense* and implications for inoculant formation. J. App. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 22: 15-20.
- Polanska, L., A. Vicankova, M. Novakova, M. Jiri, P.I. Dobrev, B. Bretislav, R. Vankova and I. Machakova. 2006. Altered cytokinin metabolism affects cytokinin, auxin and abscisic acid contents in leaves and chloroplasts, and chloroplast ultra structure in transgenic tobacco. *Exp. Bot.*, 1-22.
- Sadasivan, L. and C.A. Neyra. 1987. Cyst production and brown pigment formation in aging cultures of Azospirillum brasilense ATCC 29145. J. Bacteriol., 169: 1670-1677.
- Sarwar, A. 2008. Effect of Salicylic Acid and Azospirillum inoculation on wheat under drought stress. M.Phil. Thesis.

Department of Plant Sciences, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad.

- Sarwar, M., M. Arshad, D.A. Martens and W.T.Jr. Frankenberger. 1992. Trypthtophan-dependent biosynthesis of auxins in soil. *Plant Soil*, 147: 207-215.
- Shinozaki, K., K. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and M. Seki. 2003. Regulatory network of gene expression in the drought and cold stress responses. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.*, 6: 410-417.
- Spaepen, S., J. Vanderleyden and R. Remans. 2007. Indole-3acetic acid in microbial and microorganisms-plant signaling. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 31: 425-448.
- Spaepen, S., S. Dobbelaere, A. Croonenborghs and J. Vanderleyden. 2008. Effects of *Azospirillum brasilense* indole-3-acetic acid production on inoculated wheat plants. *Plant Soil*, 29: 80-85.
- Steel, K.J. 1961. The oxidase reaction as a toxic tool. J. Gen. Microbiol., 25: 297.
- Taylor, I.B., A. Burbidge and A.T. Thosmpson. 2000. Control of abscisic acid synthesis. J. Ex. Bot., 51: 1563-1574.
- Teaumroong, N. and N. Boonkerd. 1998. Detection of *Bradyrhizobium* spp., and *B. japonicum* in Thailand by primer-based technology and direct DNA extraction. *Plant Soil*, 204: 127-134.
- Theunis, M., K. Kobayashi, W.J. Broughton and E. Prinsen. 2004. Flavonoids, NodD1, NodD2, and nod-box NB15 modulate expression of the y4wEFG locus that is required for indole-3-acetic acid synthesis in *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. *Mol. Plant–Microbe-Interact.*, 17: 1153-1161.
- Tien, T.M., M.H. Gaskins and D.H. Hubbell. 1979. Plant growth substances produced by Azospirillum brasilense and their effect on the growth of pearl millet (*Pennisetum* americanum L.). Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 37: 1016-1024.
- Vincent, J.M. 1970. A Manual for the Practical Study of Root-Nodule Bacteria, Blackwell Scientific, Oxford .IBP Handbook, 15.
- Vivas, A., I. Voros, B. Brio, E. Campos, J.M. Bared and R. Azcon. 2003. Symbiotic efficiency of autocthonous arbuscular mycorhizal fungus (G. mosseae) and *Brevibacillus* sp., isolated from Cd polluted soil under increasing Cd levels. *Environ. Pollut.*, 126: 19-189.
- Weisburg, W.G., S.M. Barns, D.A. Pelletier and D.J. Lane. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol., 173: 16-22.
- Wilhite, D., M. Hayes, C. Knutson and K. Smith. 2000. Planning for Drought: Moving from Crisis to Risk Management. J. Amer. Water Resources Ass., 36: 697-710.
- Woodward, A. W. and B. Bartel. 2005. Auxin: Regulation, action, and interaction. Ann. Bot., 95: 707-735.
- Zahir, Z.A., M. Naveed, M.I. Zafar, H.S. Rehman, M. Arshad and M. Khalid. 2007. Evaluation of composted organic waste enriched with nitrogen and L-Tryptophan for improving growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Pak. J. Bot., 39(5): 1739-1749.

(Received for publication 12 February 2011)