# ESTIMATION OF INTERRELATIONSHIPS AMONG YIELD AND YIELD RELATED ATTRIBUTES IN WHEAT LINES

## WAQAR-UL-HAQ<sup>1</sup>, MUHAMMAD MUNIR<sup>2</sup> AND ZAHID AKRAM<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Crop and Plant Sciences, Lasbela University of Agriculture, Water and Marine Sciences, Uthal, Pakistan
<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Crop and Food Sciences, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

#### Abstract

The experimental material was sown in the experimental area of Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi during 2004-2005, comprising of 10 wheat genotypes viz., Chakwal-86, Iqbal-2000, Uqab-2000, GA-2002, 00FJ03, IC-001, IC-002, NR-234, 3C061 and 3C062 for determination of interrelationships among yield and yield related characters. Analysis of variance showed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits. The correlation coefficient indicated that spike length, number of spikes per plant, number of spikelets per spike, number of grains per spike, number of tillers per m<sup>2</sup>, 1000grain weight were significantly and positively correlated with grain yield per plant, while days to heading, days to maturity and plant height showed non significant correlation with grain yield per plant.

## Introduction

Emphasis is given to explore the ways and means to increase the production of wheat to meet the increasing demand of food grains for the growing population. Currently it is not possible to increase the area under wheat due to other competing crops and rusticated supply of irrigation water. Use of varieties with better yield potential and wide range of adaptability is of prime importance for increasing wheat production. Thus development of high yielding wheat cultivars has always been a major objective of wheat breeding programs throughout the world. Extensive testing of wheat genotypes under varying environments has been practiced for screening relatively stable cultivars (Aggarwal & Sinha, 1984).

Yield in wheat is very complex trait and is a result of interaction between various vield components. Knowledge of association between any two traits or among various traits is of immense importance to make the desired selection of combination of characters. Further, correlation analysis provides information about the correlated response of important plant characters to selection. Different researchers like Amin et al., (1990), Chowdhry et al., (1991), Amin et al., (1992), Akhtar et al., (1992), Alam et al., (1992), Bahadur et al., (1993), Adnan et al., (1994), Ramzan et al., (1994), Akbar et al., (1995), Ali et al., (1997), Ansari et al., (1997), Gupta and Verma (2000), Subhani et al., (2000), Ihsanullah and Mohammad (2001), Soomro et al., (2001), Shahid et al., (2002), Ahmad et al., (2003), Ashfaq et al., (2003), Asif et al., (2003), Khan et al., (2003), Shah et al., (2003), Asif et al., (2004), Hassan et al., (2004), Khaliq et al., (2004) and Mohammad et al., (2004); Akram et al., (2008) and Yagdi & Sozen (2009), in their studies have reported interrelationship among yield related attributes in wheat. Keeping in view above problems, the study was carried out to evaluate the potential of wheat genotypes for estimation of correlation of various characters among themselves and with grain yield under rainfed conditions of Rawalpindi.

Corresponding author E-mail: nicewaqar83@yahoo.com

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experimental material used in the present study comprised of 10 wheat genotypes viz., Chakwal-86, Iqbal-2000, Uqab-2000, GA-2002, 00FJ03, IC-001, IC-002, NR-234, 3C061 and 3C062 differing for the characters studied. The seed of cultivars/lines was obtained from Barani Agricultural Research Institute, Chakwal & National Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad. The experiment was sown in the experimental area of Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi during 2004-2005. Layout design followed randomized complete block design with three replications and seeds were sown in 4-meter long rows with 30 cm of row to row distance and 10cm of plant to plant distance. All the cultural practices were performed as recommended.

The plant data during the cropping season and after harvesting the plants were noted. The data were collected on 10 randomly selected plants in each row for different parameters viz., heading, days to maturity, plant height, spike length, number of spikes per plant, number of spikelets per spike, number of tillers per m<sup>2</sup>, number of grains per spike, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. Significance of the data was ascertained by analysis of variance as given by Steel & Torrie (1980).

**Correlation coefficient analysis:** Simple correlation coefficients between yield and yield related characters was computed according to the formula given by Steel & Torrie (1980).

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum XY - (\sum X) (\sum Y) / n}{[\{\sum X^2 - (\sum X)^2 / n\} \{\sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2 / n\}]^{0.5}}$$

Where

r = Correlation coefficient

X= First variable

Y= Second variable

n = Total number of observations

 $\Sigma =$  Sum total of the observations.

The significance of correlation was tested against the value of t- tabulated using the following formula, given by Steel & Torrie (1980).

$$T = \frac{r (n-2)^{0.5}}{(1-r^2)^{0.5}}$$

Where

r = Correlation coefficient n-2 = Degree of freedom

## **Results and Discussion**

Highly significant differences among the genotypes indicated sufficient genetic variability for selection of superior genotypes (Table 1). Simple correlation coefficients were observed for all possible combinations of 10 different parameters (Table 2). The observations regarding the association of various characters are described separately as follows:

Source of variance	Degree of freedom	Days to heading	Days to maturity	Plant height	Spike length	Number of spikes per plant	Number spikelet per plan	of Number s of grains t per spike	Number o tillers per n	f 1000 1 <sup>2</sup> Grain wight	Grain yield per plant
Genotypes	6	$9.041^{**}$	8.37**	$48.98^{**}$	$2.626^{**}$	$2.904^{**}$	4.981	$29.93^{**}$	4484.74**	$164.457^{**}$	$43.798^{**}$
Replications	7	0.233	1.3	0.133	0.4	0.833	0.433	6.433	44.8	2.75	
Error	18	0.641	0.893	2.356	0.437	0.537	0.581	1.952	70.874	2.484	19.387
** = Highly s	ignificant										
	L	able 2. Sim	ple correlat	ion coeffici	ent among	yield and	yield relate	l attributes ir	ı wheat lines.		
Traits		Days to maturity (days)	Plant heig (cm)	tht Spik lengt (cm)	e Numl h spike ) pl:	ber of Nu s per s ant p	umber of pikelets er spike	Number of grains per spike	Number of tillers per m <sup>2</sup>	1000grain weight (gm)	Grain yield per plant (gm)
Days to headi	gu	0.728*	- 0.063 <sup>N</sup>	s 0.019	0.	5 <sup>NS</sup> (	0.133 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.031^{\rm NS}$	- 0.528 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.373 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.376 <sup>NS</sup>
Days to matur	ity		$0.201^{NS}$	0.095	* - 0.5	56 <sup>NS</sup> (	).131 <sup>NS</sup>	0.016 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.528 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.055 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.14^{NS}$
Plant height				0.574	.* - 0.0	43 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.617^{*}$	$0.533^{\rm NS}$	0.111 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.079^{NS}$	$0.486^{*}$
Spike length					0.03	32 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.2^{*}$	$0.106^{*}$	- 0.008 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.002 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.141^{*}$
Number of spi	ikes per plant					'	$0.066^{NS}$	- 0.092 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.956^{**}$	0.527*	$0.237^{*}$
Number of spil	kelets per spike	0						$0.951^{**}$	- 0.001 <sup>NS</sup>	- 0.093 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.002^{*}$
Number of gra	ains per spike								$0.008^{NS}$	- 0.288 <sup>NS</sup>	$0.128^{*}$
Number of till	lers per m <sup>2</sup>									$0.443^{NS}$	0.257*
1000grain wei	ight										$0.446^{*}$

Table 1. Mean squares of yield and yield related attributes in wheat lines.

YIELD AND YIELD RELATED ATTRIBUTES IN WHEAT LINES

569

\* = Significant
 \*\* = Highly significant
 NS = Non significant

A positive and significant correlation was observed between days to heading and days to maturity. It means that with the increase in days to heading there was a significant increase in days to maturity. These results suggested that the genotypes that took less days to heading were likely to mature earlier and vice versa as discussed by Ahmed et al., (1987). There was a negative and non significant Correlation between days to heading and plant height. There was a positive and significant correlation between days to heading and spike length. The results suggested that the genotypes that took more days to heading were likely to have more spike length as reported by Amin et al., (1992). Days to heading were negatively and non significantly correlated with number of spikes per plant, similar results were observed by Ahmad et al., (2003). There was a non significant and positive correlation between days to heading and number of spikelets per spike as in conformity with the findings of Bhullar et al., (1982). Days to heading and number of grains per spike were correlated positively and non-significantly. A negatively and non significant correlation was found between days to heading and number of tillers per  $meter^2$ . It means that with the increase in days to heading there was no significant decrease in number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. These results suggested that the genotypes that took more days to heading were likely to have somewhat decrease in number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. There was a non significant and negative correlation between days to heading and 1000grain weight as also reported by Ahmad et al., (2003). Days to heading were negatively and non significantly correlated with grain yield per plant in accordance with the findings of Zar & Khan (1980).

There was a non significant and positive correlation between days to maturity and plant height. A positive and significant correlation was observed between days to maturity and spike length in agreement with the findings of Bhutta & Chaudhary (1984). There was a negative and non significant correlation between days to maturity and number of spikes per plant. There was a non significant and positive correlation between days to maturity number of spikelets per spike as reported Bhullar et al., (1982). Days to maturity was positively and non significantly correlated with number of grains per spike as observed by Hasssan et al., (2004). There was a negative and non significant correlation between days to maturity and number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. A negatively and non significant correlation was found between days to maturity and 1000grain weight. There was a non significant and positive correlation between days to maturity and grain yield per plant as reported by Serwet & Rana (1993) and Chaudhary et al., (1994). There was a positive and significant correlation between plant height and spike length similar with the findings of Bhutta & Chaudhary (1984). Plant height was negatively and nonsignificantly correlated with number of spikes per plant. A positive and significant correlation was observed between plant height and number of spikelets per spike as observed by Ansari et al., (1997) and Ahmad et al., (2003). A positive and non significant correlation was observed between plant height and number of grains per spike as in agreement with the findings of Ihsanullah & Mohammad (2001). There was a non significant and positive correlation between plant height and number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup> as also reported by Ali et al., (1984). There was a positive and non significant correlation between plant height and 1000grain weight in conformation with the finding of Bhutta et al., (1980), Chowdhry et al., (1991) and Chaudhry et al., (1994). A positive and significant correlation was observed between plant height and grain yield per plant as reported by Khan (1990), Chowdhry et al., (1991), Akhtar et al., (1992) and Mohammad et al., (2004).

There was a positive and non significant correlation between spike length and number of spikes per plant. A positive and significant correlation was observed between spike length and number of spikelets per spike. It means that with the increase in spike length there was a significant increase in number of spikelets per spike as discussed by Adnan *et al.*, (1994). There was a positive and significant correlation between spike length and number of grains per spike similar with the findings of Adnan *et al.*, (1994) and Ansari *et al.*, (1997). There was a negative and non significant correlation between spike length and number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. There was a negative and non significant correlation between spike length and number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. There was a negative and non significant correlation between spike length and 1000 grains weight. A positive and significant correlation was observed between spike length and grain yield per plant as observed by Akhtar *et al.*, (1992) Adnan *et al.*, (1994), Shahid *et al.*, (2002) and Khaliq *et al.*, (2004).

There was a negative and non-significant correlation between number of spikes per plant and number of spikelets per spike. Number of spikes per plant was negatively and non significantly correlated with number of grains per spike similar with the findings of Akbar *et al.*, (1995). A highly significant and positive correlation was observed between number of spikes per plant and number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup> in agreement with the findings of Bhutta & Chaudhry (1984) and Ihsanullah & Mohammad (2001). There was a positive and significant correlation between number of spikes per plant and 1000grain weight. There was a positive and significant correlation between number of spikes per plant and grain yield per plant These results are in conformity with the findings of Akhtar *et al.*, (1992) and Akbar *et al.*, (1995).

A highly significant and positive correlation was observed between number of spikelets per spike and number of grains per spike in accordance with the findings of Ahmad & Chaudhry (1987) and Mohammad *et al.*, (2001). There was a negative and non significant correlation between number of spikelets per spike and number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. Number of spikelets per spike was negatively and non-significantly correlated with 1000grain weight. There was a positive and significant correlation between number of spikelets per spike and grain yield per plant in accordance with the findings of Bahadur *et al.*, (1993), Khaliq *et al.*, (2004) and Kashif & Khaliq (2004). Number of grains per spike was positively and non significantly correlated with number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup>. There was a negative and non significant correlation between number of grains per spike and 1000grain weight. A positive and significant correlation was observed between number of grains per spike and grain yield per plant in conformity with the findings of Alam *et al.*, (1992), Bhadur *et al.*, (1993), Mahmood & Shahid (1993), Adnan *et al.*, (1994), Ramzan *et al.*, (1994), Akbar *et al.*, (1995) and Shahid *et al.*, (2002).

Number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup> was positively and non-significantly correlated with 1000grain weight similar with the findings of Bhutta *et al.*, (1980) and Shahid *et al.*, (2002). A positive and significant correlation was observed between number of tillers per meter<sup>2</sup> and grain yield per plant in conformity with the findings of Ahmad and Chaudhry (1987) and Ali *et al.*, (1997). There was a positive and significant correlation between 1000grain weight and grain yield per plant These results were in accordance with the findings of Akhtar *et al.*,(1992), Alam *et al.*, (1992), Ramzan *et al.*, (1994), Ali *et al.*, (1997) and Khaliq *et al.*, (2004).

Results of the study showed that these genotypes may provide good source of material for further breeding program. It can also be concluded that grain yield per plant can be improved by utilizing these conditions among different characters of plant populations.

#### References

- Adnan, M., M.A. Chowdhry and T. Mahmood. 1994. Association of flag leaf area and spike characteristics with grain yield in *aestivum* spp. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 31(1):51-53.
- Aggarwal, P.K. and S.K. Sinha. 1984. Relationship between mother shoot and tillers as a criterion of selection for wide or specific adaptability to drought in wheat. *Pl. Br. Abst.*, 54: 698.
- Ahmad, M., F. Mohammed, K. Maqbool, A. Azamand and S. Iqbal. 2003. Genetic variability and traits correlation in wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 19(3): 347-351.
- Ahmad, Z. and A.R. Chaudhry. 1987. Correlation between yield and yield components of wheat. J. Agric. Res., 25(3): 217-221.
- Akbar, M., N. Khan and M.H. Chaudhary. 1995. Variation and interrelationships between some biometric characters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J. Agric. Res., 33(4): 247-255.
- Akhtar, M., K. Alam and M.A. Chowdhry. 1992. Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation studies of yield and other morphological characters in *Triticum aestivum* L. J. Agric. Res., 30(3): 301-305.
- Akram, Z., S.U. Ajmal and M. Munir. 2008. Estimation of correlation coefficient among some yield parameters of wheat under rainfed conditions. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41(2): 1777-1781.
- Alam, K., G. Shabbir, M.A. Chowdhry and I. Khaliq. 1992. Correlation of post emergence characters with yield in bread wheat. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, 29(4): 449-452.
- Ali, L., A.R. Chowdhary and A. H. Shah. 1984. Correlation between yield and yield components in wheat. J. Agric. Res., 22(3): 279-283.
- Ali, W., K. Zada, P. Shah, A. Haider, S. Ayaz and S. Malik. 1997. Correlation and regression analysis of agronomic parameters with grain yield of wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 8(4): 329-332.
- Amin, M.R., M.M. Haque, M.A. Shaheed, A.K.D. Sapker and Z. Kabir. 1990. Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in wheat. *Bangladesh J. Agric. Res.*, 15(2): 1-5.
- Amin, M.R., N.C.D. Barma and M.A. Razzaque. 1992. Variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation study in some quantitative characters in durum wheat. *Rachis News Letter.*, 11(1-2): 30-32.
- Ansari, B.A., A.J. Malik, A.S. Larik and K.A. Ansari. 1997. Interdependence of yield and its components in the hybrids of *Triticum aestivum* L. *Pak. J. Agric., Agril. Engg., Vet. Sci.*, 13(2): 19-20.
- Ashfaq, M., A.S. Khan and Z. Ali. 2003. Association of morphological traits with grain yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). Int. J. Agric. Biol., 5(3): 262-264.
- Asif, M., M.Y. Mujahid, I. Ahmad, N.S. Kisana, M. Asim and S.Z. Mustafa. 2003. Determining the direct selection criteria for identification of high yielding lines in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Pak. J. Bio. Sci.*, 6(1): 48-50.
- Asif, M., M.Y. Mujahid, N.S. Kisana, S.Z. Mustafa and I. Ahmad. 2004. Heritability, genetic variability and path coefficient of some traits in spring wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 20(1): 87-91.
- Bahadur, R., S.P. Sigh and G.P. Lodhi. 1993. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of grain yield and yield contributing characters in wheat. *Crop Res.*, 6(3): 411-414.
- Bhullar, G.S., R. Singh and K.S. Gill. 1982. Genetic architecture of grain yield and certain other traits in durum wheat. *Crop Improvement*, 9(1): 54-59.
- Bhutta, M.A. and M.A. Chaudhry and M.A. Manzoor. 1980. Association of yield with its components and some morphological characters in wheat. J. Agric. Res., 18(4): 137-139.
- Bhutta, M.A. and M.A. Chaudhry. 1984. Correlation studied in bread wheat. J. Agric. Res., 22(1): 13-16.
- Chaudhry, M.H., J. Anwar, S. Hussain and S.S.U. Din.1994. Interrelationships between wheat yield and its components. J. Agric. Res., 32(2): 119-126.
- Chowdhry, M.A., G. Tariq and N.M. Cheema. 1991. Correlation analysis and path coefficient for grain yield and yield components in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). J. Agric. Res., 151-157.

- Gupta, S.K. and S.R. Verma. 2000. Variability, heritability and genetic advance under normal and rainfed conditions in durum wheat (*Triticum aestivum* Desf.). *Indian J. Agric. Res.*, 34(2): 122-125.
- Hassan, M.S.U., M. Munir, M.Y. Mujahid, N.S. Kisana, Z. Akram and A.W. Nazeer. 2004. Genetic analysis of some biometric characters in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). J. Bio. Sci., 4(4): 480-485.
- Ihsanullah and F. Mohammad. 2001. Correlation of yield and yield associated traits in spring wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 17(1): 97-100.
- Kashif, M. and I. Khaliq. 2004. Heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis for some metric traits in wheat. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, 6(1): 138-142.
- Khaliq, I., N. Parveen and M.A. Chowdhry. 2004. Correlation and path coefficient analyses in bread wheat. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 6(4): 633-635.
- Khan, M.U., M.A. Chowdhry, I. Khalid and R. Ahmad. 2003. Morphological response of various genotypes to drought condition. *Asian J. Plant. Sci.*, 2(4): 392-394.
- Khan, N.U.I. 1990. Variability and character association in wheat. J. Agric. Res., 28(3):194-200.
- Mahmood, A. and M. Shahid. 1993. Inheritance and interrelationship studies of some quantitative characteristics in wheat. *Pak. J. Agric. Res.*, 14 (2): 121-125.
- Mohammad, F., H. Deniel, K. Shahzad and H. Khan. 2001. Heritability estimates for yield and its components in wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 17(2): 227-234.
- Mohammad, F., S.M.A. Shah, S.M. Swati, T. Shehzad and S. Iqbal. 2004. Genotypic variability for yield and morphological traits in bread wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 20(1): 67-71.
- Ramzan, M., M.A. Chowdhry and I. Khaliq. 1994. Correlation between wheat grain yield and its components. J. Agric. Res., 32(2): 223-226.
- Serwat, K.D. and R.K. Rana. 1993. Association of component characters with grain yield in advanced generations of single, double and multiple crosses of wheat. *Crop Hisar.*, 6(1): 78-81 [Pl.Br. Abst., 65(3): 2369; 1995].
- Shah, S.M.A., F. Mohammad, M.S. Swati and S. Iqbal. 2003. Genotypic variability for yield associated traits in bread wheat. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, 19(4): 535-538.
- Shahid, M., F. Mohammad and M. Tahir. 2002. Path coefficient analysis in wheat. Sarhad J. Agric., 18(4): 383-388.
- Soomro, Z.A., M.B. Kumbhar and S. Mari. 2001. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in *Triticum aestivum L. Pak. J. Agric., Agril. Engg., Vet. Sci.*, 17(1-2): 1-6.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1980. Principles and procedures of Statistics, A Biological Approach. McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, pp. 272-280.
- Subhani, G.M. and M.A. Chowdhry. 2000. Correlation and path analysis in bread wheat under drought stress and normal conditions. *Pak. J. Bio. Sci.*, 3(1): 72-77.
- Yagdi, K. and E. Sozen. 2009. Heritability, variance components and correlation of yield and quality traits in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 41(2): 753-759.
- Zar, Q. and S. Khan. 1980. Correlation studies of some factors affecting yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under field conditions. *Front. J. Agric. Res.*, 7: 22-23.

(Received for publication 3 March 2008)