# HETEROSIS AND HERITABILITY STUDIES FOR SUPERIOR SEGREGANTS SELECTION IN CHICKPEA

## AHMED BAKHSH<sup>\*</sup>, SHAHID RIAZ MALIK<sup>\*\*</sup>, UMER IQBAL<sup>\*\*</sup> AND WAHEED ARSHAD<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>PMAS Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan <sup>\*\*</sup>Pulses Program, NARC, Islamabad, Pakistan.

#### Abstract

Nine  $F_1$  hybrids of chickpea and their parents were evaluated in randomized complete block design to estimate heterosis and heritability of grain yield and yield components. Significant differences among genotypes were found in grain yield and seven yield components. The magnitude of heterosis varied significantly between hybrids. Heterosis over mid parent in primary and secondary branches ranged from 12.31% to 56.82% and from 11.19% to 93.03%, respectively. Heterotic effects for 100 seed weight, grain yield per plant and biological yield per plant respectively varied from 18.78% to 32.96%, 4.05% to128.49% and 9.25% to 116.82%. Balkasar x C-235 exhibited maximum heterosis and heterobeltiosis for primary branches, secondary branches, grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, whereas, Punjab-1xILC482 expressed maximum heterosis (103.97%) and heterobeltiosis (75.80%) for number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>. Broad sense heritability for different traits ranged from 45.23% to 85.76%. Maximum heritability was recorded for number of seed per pod and 100 seed weight. High heterosis with moderate heritability and high genetic advance obtained for number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> provide opportunity for identification of superior segregates from Punjab-1xILC482, C-235xBittal-98 and BalkasarxC-235.

## Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is the third most important food legume crop worldwide. India and Pakistan are the major countries for its area and production. It has an important role in agriculture economy of rain-fed areas of Pakistan where chickpea is planted on one million hectares approximately with fluctuating grain yield 388kg-794ha<sup>-1</sup>. Chickpea is consumed as green vegetable, split and roasted grains (Wahid & Ahmed, 1999) and in confectionaries. Although it has paramount importance in our daily diet yet its production does not meet the demand of the population. The reason of its low production is the precarious weather conditions which pose a-biotic and biotic stresses on chickpea crop. Abiotic stresses are drought and low/high temperature while biotic stresses include diseases, insects and weeds. One of the effective and practical methods to cope with these stresses is to create genetic variability for identification of germplasm possessing tolerance/resistance against these stresses. In this context exploitation of hybrid vigour for tolerance/ adaptation could play a pivotal role.

Breeders have been utilizing the available genetic resources to modify the varieties to meet the ever changing requirements. In this regard the most important development in plant breeding of recent times is the extensive use of heterosis (Malik *et al.*, 1987). However in self pollinated crop the heterosis can not be exploited directly, hybrid vigor is used to identify superior hybrids as they offer more probability of developing better segregants (Sharif *et al.*, 2001 and Sagar & Chandra, 1977). In chickpea beneficial heterosis for grain filling period, seeds per plant and grain yield were reported by several research workers (Hedge *et al.*, 2002, Jena & Arora, 2002; Gupta *et al.*, 2003). The knowledge of

heritability and genetic advance help to identify characters with potential improvement and to decide upon the selection pressure in breeding material (Hamid & Cheema, 1997). High genetic advance coupled with high heritability offer most effective conditions for selection in chickpea (Misra, 1991; Parshuram *et al.*, 2003; Anbessa *et al.*, 2006).

The present study was designed to estimate the degree of hybrid vigor, extent of heritability and genetic advance in  $F_1$  hybrids. The information obtained could be used to select superior segregants from better hybrids for stress resistance, better adaptability and productivity.

### **Material and Methods**

Nine  $F_1$  hybrids and their parents constituted the experimental material of this study. These hybrids were developed by pulses program at NARC, Islamabad in 2003. The hybridization between pure lines was performed under field conditions following emasculation and pollination simultaneously in morning. The list of  $F_1$  hybrid and their parents is given below:

Parents: Balkasar, Bittal -98, C-235, Dasht, Punjab-1 and ILC-482

**F**<sub>1</sub> hybrids: C-235xBalkasar, C-235xBittal-98, C235xDasht, BalkasarxC-235, Balkasarx Punjab-1, Punjab-1xBalkasar, Punjab-1xILC-482, DashtxC-235 and Bittal-98xDasht.

The seeds of parents and  $F_1$  progenies were sown in 1.5 m long single rows using randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. Rows were spaced 30 cm apart and plant to plant distance was maintained at 10 cm. Five plants from parents and  $F_1$  hybrids were randomly selected from each replication to record data on number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of secondary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, plant height, 100-seed weight, seed yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. The data were statistically analyzed (Steel & Torrie 1980) to determine the significance of difference between genotypes for parameters under consideration. Heterosis was calculated by following formulae:

Heterosis = 
$$\frac{F_1$$
-mid parent value}{Mid parent value} X 100

Heterobeltiosis =  $\frac{F_1$ -better parent value Better parent value X 100

Heritability and genetic advance were computed by following formulae:

Heritability (Broad sense) =  $h^2 = Vg/Vp$ Genetic Advance=  $h^2$ .  $\delta p.k$  $h^2$  = heritability estimate  $\delta p$  = standard deviation of phenotypic variance k = selection differential (20%=1.40)

### 2444

#### **Results and Discussion**

Heterosis studies: The hybrid with high heterotic effects may offer better chances of identification of desirable pure lines in the following advance generations as compared to hybrid with low heterosis. The results of present study revealed significant differences among various hybrids and their parents (Table 1). The mean values indicated in the table showed that the primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> in parental genotypes ranged from 3.94-6.05 as compared to3.81-6.75 in hybrids. The number of secondary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> in parents and hybrids varied from 12.55-22.48 and 15.51-29.66 respectively. The number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> in parental genotypes varied from 72.73 to 128.90 and in hybrids from 106.80 to 244.0. Maximum primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (6.72) and secondary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (29.66) were produced by Balkasar x C-235. Maximum pods plant<sup>-1</sup> in parental genotypes were observed in C-235 (145.30) and among hybrids maximum pods plant<sup>-1</sup>were produced by C-235 x Bittal-98 (244). Number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup> ranged from 1.77 to 1.92. Hundred seed weight differed significantly both among parents and hybrids. C-235 exhibited 12.75g as compared to 31.26g in Bittal-98. Similarly, 100-seed weight in hybrids ranged from 14.75 to 28.83. The grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> in parental genotypes varied from 27.24g (Punjab-1) to 46.53g (Bittal -98) on the other hand the grain yield  $plant^{-1}$  in hybrids ranged from 37.06 to 75.54g. The total biological yield differed from 75g in C-235xBittal-98 to 139.20g in Balkasar x C-235 hybrids.

The heterosis and heterobeltiosis estimates (Table 2) indicated both negative and positive heterosis for all the traits in different cross combination. The heterotic effects in Table 2 indicated that seven out of nine  $F_1$  hybrids showed increase in number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> over their mid parental values and their positive heterosis ranged from 3.61% (C-235xBalkasar) to 56.82% (BalkasarxC-235). As for as heterobeltiosis was concerned 5 out of 9  $F_1$  hybrids exhibited positive values ranging from 11.84% (Bittal-98xDasht) to 47.10% (Punjab-1xBalkasar). Positive heterosis for primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> has already been reported in chickpea by Singh et al., (1973) and Jeena & Arora (2000). The cross BalkasarxC-235 showed 93.04% positive heterosis for the number of secondary branches plant<sup>1</sup>. Another hybrid Punjab-1xBalkasar exhibited 68.97% increase over mid parental value for this character. Negative heterosis for this trait was observed in C-235xBittal-98. Maximum heterobeltiosis was shown by cross BalkasarxC-235 (63.24%) while minimum by Bittal-98xDasht (0.24%). These results are in confirmation with the results of Kamatar et al., (1996). Heterotic effects for number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> over their respective mid and better parents are presented in Table 2. All the hybrids exhibited positive heterosis ranging from 8.33% (C-235xDasht) to 103.97% (Punjab-1xILC-482). Heterobeltiosis estimates for this trait varied from 14.54% (Punjab-1xBalkasar) to 75.80% (Punjab-1xILC-482). Two crosses C-235xBalkasar and C-235xDasht showed negative heterobeltiosis. A perusal of Table 2 indicated that the positive heterotic effects for number of seed plant<sup>-1</sup> were not much pronounced. Maximum increase of 23.64% was shown by the cross Punjab-1xILC-482 and minimum of 2.60 % by the cross Punjab-1xBalkasar. Most of the hybrids showed heterobeltiosis and it ranged from 0.59% to 8.47%. Manifestation of heterosis over mid parental value was observed in 4 out of 9 crosses for plant height. Only one cross Bittal-98xDasht excelled the better parent value by 2.06%. Similar results were reported by Ghafoor et al., (1990) and Jha et al., (1996) in mungbean and chickpea. Two hybrids BalkasarxC-235 (16.07%) and BittalxDasht (18.78%) exhibited positive heterosis in 100seed weight. All the crosses showed negative heterobeltiosis for this trait. The similar findings in chickpea were reported by Ghafoor et al., (1990) and Sharif et al., (2001).

Parents and crosses	Number of primary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of secondary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of pods plant <sup>1</sup>	Number of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Seed yield plant <sup>1</sup> (g)	Biological yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)
Balkasar	3.94	12.55	72.73	1.77	74.30	28.50	33.13	65.40
Bittal-98	4.07	16.75	121.50	1.23	70.54	31.26	46.53	102.30
C-235	4.63	18.18	145.30	1.76	56.63	12.75	32.99	63.00
Dasht	4.01	13.73	78.82	1.77	77.18	28.20	38.24	82.52
Punjab-1	4.14	16.72	93.28	1.69	59.38	17.28	27.24	68.92
ILC-482	6.05	22.48	128.90	1.06	70.49	30.92	40.93	81.44
C-235xBalkasar	4.44	21.39	130.20	1.88	58.61	17.92	44.96	91.28
C-235xBittal-98	3.81	15.51	244.00	1.76	54.17	14.75	60.43	75.00
C-235xDasht	4.25	19.78	121.40	1.92	55.11	16.06	37.06	79.28
BalkasarxC-235	6.72	29.66	181.40	1.70	72.92	23.94	75.54	139.20
BalkasarxPunjab-1	4.88	21.23	123.60	1.85	69.75	21.78	49.88	111.40
Punjab-1 xBalkasar	6.08	24.73	106.80	1.77	69.93	22.54	42.70	107.60
Punjab-1xILC-482	5.69	26.30	226.60	1.70	64.88	19.08	73.63	124.90
DashtxC-235	5.79	24.65	176.40	1.76	66.00	18.47	49.08	119.90
Bittal-98xDasht	4.63	16.79	162.60	1.56	71.82	28.83	72.31	104.40
MS (V)	2.660*	72.125*	7415.527**	$0.165^{**}$	$169.668^{**}$	113.537**	716.922**	$1643.795^{**}$
MS (R)	0.068	22.528	4194.342	0.014	55.958	8.988	157.819	197.126
MS (E)	0.765	18.545	2092.491	0.011	24.715	5.955	175.564	383.748
* and ** = Significa	int at 0.05 and 0.01 pc	ercent probability lev	/el, respectively.					

AHMED BAKHSH ET AL.,

Table 2. Est	imates of hete	erosis and het	terobeltiosis o	of nine F <sub>1</sub> hyb	orids for vario	us quantitativ	e traits in chio	kpea.
	Number o branche	of primary ss plant <sup>-1</sup>	Number of branche	`secondary s plant <sup>-1</sup>	Numbe	r of pods mt <sup>-1</sup>	Number	r of seeds od <sup>-1</sup>
Crosses	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis % age	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis % age	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis % age	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis % age
C-235xBalkasar	+3.61	-4.10	+39.21	+17.72	+19.43	-10.38	+9.62	+6.21
C-235xBittal-98	-12.31	-17.71	-11.19	-14.94	+82.90	+68.01	+21.37	0.00
C-235xDasht	-1.51	-8.20	+23.97	+8.86	+8.33	-16.43	+11.63	+8.47
BalkasarxC-235	+56.82	+45.14	+93.04	+63.24	+66.39	+24.88	-0.87	-3.95
BalkasarxPunjab-1	+20.69	+17.87	+45.06	+26.97	+48.90	+32.53	+6.6	+4.52
Punjab-1xBalkasar	+50.68	+47.10	+68.97	+47.90	+28.66	+14.54	+2.60	0.00
Punjab-1xILC-482	+11.70	-5.95	+34.18	+16.99	+103.97	+75.80	+23.64	+0.59
DashtxC-235	+34.18	+20.05	+54.49	+35.66	+57.41	+21.42	+1.74	-0.56
Bittal-98xDasht	+12.82	+11.84	+0.33	+0.24	+51.41	+33.78	+6.85	-11.86
			Table	e 2. (COIL U.)				
	Plant	height m)	100-seed	l weight	Seed yie	اط plant <sup>-ا</sup> م)	Biologi	cal yield f <sup>-1</sup> (o)
			3			5)		(B)
Crosses	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis	Heterosis % age	Hetero- beltiosis
C-235xBalkasar	-10.47	-21.11	-13.11	-37.12	+35.99	+35.70	+42.18	+39.57
C-235xBittal-98	-14.80	-23.21	-32.96	-52.81	+51.96	+29.87	-9.25	-26.69
C-235xDasht	-17.63	-28.60	-21.56	-43.05	+4.05	-1.52	+10.48	-1.54
BalkasarxC-235	+11.38	-1.86	+16.07	-16.00	+128.49	+128.01	+116.82	+112.92
BalkasarxPunjab-1	+4.35	-6.12	-4.84	-23.58	+65.24	+50.56	+65.87	+61.64
Punjab-1xBalkasar	+4.62	-5.88	-1.53	-20.91	+41.46	+43.98	+60.21	+56.08
Punjab-1xILC-482	-0.08	-7.96	-20.82	-38.29	+116.02	+79.89	+66.13	+53.42
DashtxC-235	-1.35	-14.48	-9.79	-34.50	+37.80	+28.35	+67.08	+48.93
Bittal-98xDasht	+10.56	+2.16	+18.78	-7.77	+96.01	+55.40	+21.94	+2.00

## HETEROSIS AND HERITABILITY STUDIES FOR SELECTION IN CHICKPEA

2447

yielu trait	yield traits in chickpea.					
Parameters	h <sup>2</sup> (B.S) %age	G.A				
Number of primary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	45.23	0.75				
Number of secondary branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	49.06	4.14				
Number of pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	45.89	39.95				
Number of seeds pod <sup>-1</sup>	82.35	0.29				
Plant height (cm)	66.16	7.92				
100-seed weight (g)	85.76	7.76				
Seed yield plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	50.69	13.39				
Biological yield $plant^{-1}(g)$	52.26	20.74				

 Table 3. Estimates of heritability and genetic advance for eight vield traits in chickpea.

The estimates of percent heterosis and heterobeltiosis for grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> revealed that all the hybrids showed hybrid vigour over mid parental values and 8 out of 9 hybrids surpassed their respective better parental value. Maximum positive heterosis and heterobeltiosis was observed in cross Balkasarx C-235 (128.49% and 128.01% respectively). In case of biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup> the percentage increase over mid parental values ranged from 10.48% (C-235xDasht) to 116.82% (BalkasarxC-235). Out of 9 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids 7 showed higher biological yield than their respective better parents and it ranged from 2.0% (Bittal-98xDasht) to 112.92% (Balkasarx C-235). Heterosis in chickpea for grain yield and biological yield has already been reported by Sharif *et al.*, (2001), Kamatar *et al.*, (1996), Hedge *et al.*, (2002), Gupta *et al.*, (2003) and Singh *et al.*, (2000).

Sagar & Chandra (1977) suggested that the manifestation of heterosis in legumes may be utilized for the selection of potential crosses for their genetic improvement. This is because of high probability of having efficient segregants from better combinations than that from poor hybrids. The results of present study revealed that hybrids involving Balkasar, ILC-482 and Punjab-1 as one of the parents performed better in the cross combinations. The  $F_1$  hybrids Balkasarx C-235, Punjab-1xILC-482, Punjab-1xBalkasar and Balkasarx Punjab-1 showed promising heterotic effects for number of secondary branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup> and biological yield plant<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore chances of having good segregants from these hybrids are higher. The same four hybrids may be advanced and utilized for single plant selection. Generally, the trend of heterosis recorded in the present study is in agreement with those reported previously (Hedge *et al.*, 2002, Jena & Arora, 2002 and Gupta *et al.*, 2003).

**Heritability studies:** Estimates of heritability and genetic advance presented in Table 3 indicated low genetic advance for all the traits except for number of pods per plant. Broad sense heritability varied from 45% in primary branches to 85% in 100-seed weight. Number of seed pod<sup>-1</sup> also exhibited high heritability coupled with low genetic advance indicating non additive type of gene effects of this trait. Seed yield and biological yield had moderate heritability estimates with corresponding genetic advance. Low heritability with high genetic advance was observed in number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> whereas primary and secondary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> showed low heritability with low genetic advance, indicating greater environmental influence on these traits leading to limited scope of improvement for them.

Since heritability is a portion of variability that is heritable, simultaneous consideration of heterosis and heritability may provide clue for the utilization of current hybrids for selection of superior segregants for yield improvement (Parshuram *et al.*, 2003; Anbessa *et al.*, 2006).

#### References

- Anbessa, Y., T. Warkentin, A. Vandenberg and M. Bandara. 2006. Heritability and predicted gain from selection in components of crop duration in divergent chickpea cross populations. *Euphytica*, 152: 1-8.
- Ghafoor, A., M. Zubair, A. Bakhsh and M. Bashir. 1990. Heterosis among seven parents and their crosses in mungbean. Pak. J. Agric. Res., 11(3): 169-173.
- Gupta, S.K., S. Sarvjeet and K. Ajinder. 2003. Heterosis for seed yield and its component traits in desixdesi and desixkabuli crosses of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Crop improvement*, 30(2): 203-207.
- Hamid, A. and N.M. Cheema. 1997. Genetic analysis of plant characters in chickpea. J Agri. Res., 35(3): 205-213.
- Hedge, V.S., S.S. Yadav and J. Kumar. 2002. Heterosis in short duration chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Crop improvement, 29(1): 94-99.
- Jeena, A.S. and P.P. Arora. 2000. Genetic variation in chickpea evaluated at Pantnagar, India. *Agri. Sci. Digest.*, 20(1): 50-51.
- Jeena, A.S. and P.P. Arora. 2002. Heterosis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Agri. Sci. Digest., 20(2): 71-74.
- Jha, S.K., H.K. Jaiswal and A.K. Saha. 1996. Heterosis for quantitative traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). J. App. Biol., 6(12): 15-18.
- Kamatar, M.Y., B.D. Biradar and S.M. Hiremath. 1996. Heterosis studies in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum L.*). Crop Res. Hisar., 11(2): 174-474.
- Malik, B.A., I.A. Khan and A.H. Chaudhary. 1987. Heterosis in chickpea. Pak. J. Sci. Res., 30: 396-398.
- Misra, R.C. 1991. Stability of heritability, genetic advance and character association estimates in chickpea. *Inter. Chickpea Newsletter*, 25: 10-11.
- Nimbalkar, R.D. 2000. Genetic variability, heritability studies and scope for improvement of chickpea. J. Maharashtra Agri. Uni., 25(1): 109.110.
- Parshuram S., P.K. Mishra, R.K. Pattnaik and P. Sail. 2003. Studies on genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in chickpea. *Envir. & Eco.*, 21(1): 210-213.
- Sagar, P. and S. Chandra. 1977. Heterosis and combining ability in urdbean. *Indian J. Pulses Res.*, 2: 119-124.
- Sharif, A., A. Bakhsh, M. Arshad A.M. Haqqani and S. Najma. 2001. Identification of genetically superior hybrids in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Pak. J. Bot.*, 33(4): 403-409.
- Singh, L., G.S. Tomer and P.K. Mishra. 1973. Variability, interrelationship and coefficients for some quantitative characters in Bengal gram. *SABRAO Newslett.*, 5(1): 23-28.
- Singh, R.K., B.B. Singh and M.P. Chauhan. 2000. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in chickpea crosses involving genotypes of different plant type. *Legume Res.*, 23(3): 151-154.
- Steel, R.G.D. and T.H. Torrie. 1980. *Principles and procedures of statistics*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., McGraw Hill Co. NY. 633 pp.
- Wahid, M.A. and R. Ahmad. 1999. Genetic Variability, correlation studies and their implications in selection of high yielding genotypes in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Sarhad J. Agri., 51(1): 25-28.

(Received for publication 14 February 2006)