Pak. J. Bot., 38(3): 701-709, 2006.

# UPTAKE AND ACCUMULATION OF CADMIUM AND SOME NUTRIENT IONS BY ROOTS AND SHOOTS OF MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.)

# D.H. LIU<sup>1\*,</sup> M. WANG<sup>1</sup>, J.H. ZOU<sup>1</sup> AND W.S. JIANG<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, College of Chemistry and Life Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300074, P.R. China <sup>2</sup>Library, Tianjin Normal Unversity, Tianjin 300074, P.R. China

# Abstract

The effects of the different concentrations of Cd on accumulation of four cultivars of maize (*Zea mays* L.) viz., Nongda No. 108, Liyu No. 6, Shendan No. 10 and Tangkang No. 5, were investigated using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES). The Cd accumulation in the roots and shoots and the interactions among Mn, Fe and Cu were also analyzed in the present study. The concentrations of cadmium chloride (CdCl<sub>2</sub> · 2.5 H<sub>2</sub>O) used were in the range of  $10^{-4}$  M to  $10^{-6}$  M. The results indicated that the Cd levels in roots and shoots of four cultivars increased significantly (P < 0.005) with increasing Cd concentration. Cadmium ions were concentrated mainly in the roots, and small amounts of Cd were transferred to the shoots. In Liyu No. 6, the distributive levels of Cd in the roots decreased with increasing the concentration of Cd. Liyu No. 6 had more ability to remove Cd from solutions and accumulate it when compared with the other cultivars. This kind of cultivar with many roots, a high biomass and high ability to accumulate Cd can play a very important role in the soil contaminated by Cd.

## Introduction

The most common heavy metals in the environment are Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb and Zn. Recently, the industrial and agricultural development has released enormous amount of heavy metals and polluted the environment. Cadmium is particularly a dangerous pollutant due to its high toxicity and great solubility in water. At higher concentrations, it characteristically inhibits growth of different plant species such as maize (Lagriffoul *et al.*, 1998), barley and wheat (Talanova *et al.*, 2001), and garlic (Liu *et al.*, 2003/2004). It also induces leaf chlorosis accompanied by a lowering of photosynthetic rate (Bazzaz *et al.*, 1974; Hampp *et al.*, 1976; Bazynski *et al.*, 1980; Das *et al.*, 1997), disturbs cell proliferation (Rosas *et al.*, 1984), impedes respiration (Lee *et al.*, 1976), reduces mitochondrial electron transport (Miller *et al.*, 1973), induces high vacuolization in cytoplasm and nucleoli, and increases disintegration of organelles (Liu & Kottke, 2003). Considerable importance has been attached to the problems associated with Cd pollution. However, most conventional remediation approaches do not provide acceptable solutions to toxic metal pollution. Phytoremediation is an emerging technology that employs the use of higher plants for the cleanup of contaminated environments (Lasat, 2000).

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops and comprises some heavy metal tolerant genotypes (Clark, 1977; Liu *et al.*, 2001). It is very important for scientists to find some maize cultivars with the capability of absorbing and accumulating extraordinarily high amounts of heavy metals from soil. Although the effects of Cd on maize were studied by several authors (Lagriffoul *et al.*, 1998; Ju *et al.*, 1997), a few \* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hsxyldh@mail.tjnu.edu.cn (D. H. Liu).

investigations concerning Cd accumulation and its effects on other heavy metals have been reported. In order to select a suitable maize cultivar with high uptake and accumulation of  $Cd^{2+}$  without undergoing severe damage to plant and to obtain more information about the mechanism of detoxification or tolerance of Cd by interacting with other metals, this study was conducted. The uptake and accumulation of Cd by roots and shoots of maize and effects of Cd on Fe, Mn and Cu were investigated in this study using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES).

## Materials and Method

Seeds of four cultivars of maize (Zea mays L.) i.e. Nongda No. 108, Liyu No. 6, Shendan No. 10 and Tangkang No. 5, were provided by the Institute of Crops, Tianjin Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Tianjin, P. R. China for use in the present investigation. Healthy and equal-sized seeds were chosen from each variety, soaked in tap water for 24 h, and germinated in the dark (25 °C). Following germination, 20 seedlings for each treated group were chosen, fixed in cystose, and were floated on the 1/2modified Hoagland's nutrient solution (Stephan & Prochazka, 1989) in plastic containers in a greenhouse equipped with supplementary lighting (14-h photoperiod; 24-26 °C). The container contained 2L Hoagland's nutrient solution consisted of 5 mM Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 5 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>, 1 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 50µM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 1 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 4.5 µM MnCl<sub>2</sub>, 3.8 µM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.3  $\mu$ M CuSO<sub>4</sub> and 0.1 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> and 10  $\mu$ M FeEDTA at pH of 5.5. Three days after culture, the seedlings were treated with Cd. Four concentrations of Cd (0,  $10^{-6}$  M,  $10^{-5}$  M and  $10^{-4}$  M) were added to each container having 2 L aerated Hoagland's nutrient solution. Cadmium was provided as cadmium chloride (CdCl<sub>2</sub>  $\cdot$  2.5 H<sub>2</sub>O). The Cd solutions were prepared in deionized water. The test solutions were changed every 4 days. Ten plantlets from each treatment were harvested randomly based on uniformity of size and color after 20 days of incubation. Their roots were rinsed in deionized water to remove traces of nutrient and Cd ions on the surface, and detached from shoots. The samples were dried for 3 days at 45 °C, followed by 3 days at 80 °C in oven, measured for dry weight (DW), and ashed for 2 h at 200 °C and then placed for 10 h at 650 °C. The contents of Cd, Mn, Cu and Fe were determined with inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) (LEEMAN LABS INC., New Hampshire, USA) after dry-ashing, as described by Duan (2003).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) using sigma statistical software (Jandel Scientific Corporation) was performed. Test of equality of averages using *t*-test was applied equally. The statistical significance was set at the P < 0.005 confidence level.

# Results

**Cd uptake and accumulation:** The Cd uptake and accumulation in roots and shoots of four maize cultivars varied depending on the different Cd concentrations used. As shown in Table 1, the Cd content in roots and shoots increased significantly (p < 0.005) with increasing Cd concentration. Cadmium ions were accumulated mainly in the roots, and small amounts of Cd were transferred to the shoots. In Liyu No.6, the distributive levels of Cd in roots decreased with the increasing concentrations of Cd. For other 3 cultivars, the distributive levels of Cd in roots exposed to  $10^{-4}$  M Cd were the lowest when compared to those in  $10^{-5}$  and  $10^{-6}$  M Cd solutions. Liyu No. 6 proved more capable to remove and accumulate Cd from solutions as compared with other 3 cultivars.

	Turaturate		VI	MICIAL ALLOWING (Mg/g )		
Cultivars	(Cd M)	$\begin{array}{c} Root\\ (DW \pm SE) \end{array}$	Shoot (DW $\pm$ SE)	Total amount (DW)	Root (%)	Shoot (%)
	Control	$15.6\pm0.09~a$	$1.9\pm0.02$ a	17.5	89.1	10.9
Nongda	$10^{-6}$	$235.8 \pm 0.89 \text{ b}$	$105.6 \pm 0.23 \ b$	341.4	69.1	30.9
No.108	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$527.4 \pm 3.60 \text{ c}$	$172.1\pm0.87~c$	699.5	75.4	24.6
	$10^{-4}$	$634.8 \pm 1.70 \text{ d}$	$467.1\pm6.40~\mathrm{d}$	1101.9	57.6	42.4
	Control	$36.1 \pm 0.12$ a	$6.7\pm0.01~a$	42.8	84.3	15.7
Liyu	$10^{-6}$	$465.1\pm0.95~\mathrm{b}$	$103.4\pm1.34~b$	568.5	81.8	18.2
No. 6	10-5	$1021.4\pm6.51~c$	$227.2\pm0.58~c$	1248.6	81.8	18.2
	$10^{-4}$	1288.4 ± 13.31 d	$600.1\pm0.73~d$	1888.5	68.2	31.8
	Control	$59.0\pm1.83~a$	$7.8\pm0.10~a$	66.8	88.3	11.7
Shendan	$10^{-6}$	$287.8 \pm 0.59 \ b$	$105.3\pm0.30~b$	393.1	73.2	26.8
No. 10	10-5	$651.2 \pm 1.18 \text{ c}$	$199.1 \pm 3.97 c$	850.3	76.6	23.4
	$10^{-4}$	$633.8 \pm 0.91 \text{ d}$	$414.4\pm2.96~\mathrm{d}$	1048.2	60.5	39.5
	Control	$44.2 \pm 0.23$ a	$12.2\pm0.03$ a	56.4	78.4	21.6
Tangkang	$10^{-6}$	$409.2 \pm 1.73 \text{ b}$	$106.3 \pm 0.33 \ b$	515.5	79.4	20.6
No. 5	10 <sup>-5</sup>	$773.1 \pm 2.01 \text{ c}$	$159.4 \pm 5.92 \text{ c}$	932.5	82.9	17.1
	$10^{-4}$	913.5 ± 5.61 d	$360.9 \pm 3.85 \text{ d}$	1274.4	71.7	28.3

#### The effects of Cd on Mn, Fe and Cu uptake and accumulation

The effects of Cd on Fe, Mn and Cu concentration in maize cultivars varied with the concentrations of Cd added. Mn uptake and accumulation significantly (P < 0.005) decreased with increasing Cd ions in nutrient solution in all maize cultivars (Table 2). The distributive levels of Mn in roots also decreased with increasing Cd concentrations except for Liyu No.6 treated with  $10^{-6}$  M Cd. Mn content in the control was the highest except for the one of Liyu No. 6 exposed to  $10^{-6}$  M Cd (Table 3).

Seedlings of the 4 cultivars could uptake and accumulate Fe significantly (P < 0.005) after the treatments with different concentration of Cd (Table 2). The results showed that Fe levels of the treated groups, Nongda No. 108 and Tangkang No. 5, were higher than their controls (Table 2). However, the Fe content in Shendan No. 10 treated with Cd was lower than its control (Table 2). In all cultivars Fe ions were mainly accumulated in the roots and only small amounts of Fe were transferred to the shoots (Table 3).

Cu content in roots of Nongda No. 108 increased with the increasing concentrations of Cd, while it decreased in the roots of Liyu No. 6 and Tangkang No. 5. The contents of Cu in roots of Nongda No. 108 and Shendan No. 10 treated with Cd were higher than those in controls, and Tangkang No. 5 and Liyu No. 6 lower (Table 2). In all the cultivars more than 80 % of Cu was accumulated in the roots (Table 3). The effects of Cd on Cu content in shoot of four cultivars were not significant.

### Discussion

Efficiency of phytoextraction is relative to the ability of the plant to grow on polluted soils and produce a large biomass with high concentration of metal in the above-ground parts (Schwartz et al., 2001). There are many reports on the definition of hyperaccumulation (Baker & Brook, 1989; Baker et al., 2000; Köhl et al., 1997). Most recognized standard criteria base on metal amounts in above-ground tissues on a drybiomass basis of plant material sampled from the natural habitat (Pollard et al., 2002). According to the current accepted hyper-accumulation definition shoot concentration being 0.01% (on a w/w basis) for cadmium (Baker et al., 2000), the four cultivars appear to be Cd-hyper-accumulator, because the Cd contents in the shoots reach or exceed the standard criteria. However, only Livu No. 6 can be considered as Cd-hyperaccumulator, because it grew very strong under concentrations of 10<sup>-5</sup> M and 10<sup>-6</sup> M Cd, and it was inhibited at concentration of 10<sup>-4</sup> M Cd. This kind of cultivar with many roots, a high biomass and high ability to accumulate Cd can play a very important role in the soil contaminated by Cd. The results in the present investigation also demonstrated that Livu No. 6 has obvious ability to uptake and accumulate Cd ions as compared to other three cultivars. Cadmium ions mainly accumulated in the roots with lower concentrations in shoots, which agree with the findings of Lagriffoul et al., (1998). These differences in root and shoot uptake might be explained by the fact that one of the normal functions of roots is to selectively acquire ions from the soil solution, whereas shoot tissue does not normally play this role (Salt et al., 1997). The accumulation of Cd decreased from epidermis to inner parts of the root cortex. As the endodermis constitutes a barrier to ion transport, root cortex cells usually contain higher element concentrations than cells in the central vascular cylinder (Hagemeyer & Breckle, 1996).

#### 704

	Treatments	Mn (µg/g	Mn (µg/g DW ± SE)	Fe ( $\mu g/g$ DW $\pm$ SE)	W±SE)	Treatments Mn ( $\mu g/g DW \pm SE$ ) Fe ( $\mu g/g DW \pm SE$ ) Cu ( $\mu g/g DW \pm SE$ )	W±SE)
ultivars	(Cd M)	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot
	Control	340.8 ± 1.92 a	88.7 ± 0.39 a	253.1 ± 0.47 a	$56.4 \pm 0.52$ a	$54.5 \pm 0.26  a$	$13.4 \pm 0.12$ a
Nongda	$10^{-6}$	$40.9 \pm 0.10$ b	$61.2 \pm 0.10 \text{ b}$	$418.6 \pm 0.47 \text{ b}$	$96.1 \pm 0.55$ b	$68.8 \pm 0.42$ b	$13.0 \pm 0.12$ a
No.108	$10^{-5}$	$17.9 \pm 0.08 \text{ c}$	$78.0 \pm 2.51 \text{ c}$	540.2 ± 4.07 c	$81.1 \pm 0.99 \text{ c}$	$103.8 \pm 1.29 c$	$12.4 \pm 0.19$ a
	$10^{4}$	$8.5 \pm 0.55  d$	$37.5 \pm 0.15$ d	584.0 ± 1.36 d	$82.0 \pm 1.12 c$	$127.0 \pm 0.60  d$	$13.4 \pm 0.03$ b
	Control	$264.6 \pm 0.51$ a	$80.0 \pm 0.17 a$	476.1 ± 0.75 a	$85.8 \pm 0.07$ a	72.4±0.18 a	18.9±0.09 a
Shendan	$10^{6}$	$178.8 \pm 0.78$ b	98.7 ± 0.22 b	$254.8 \pm 0.89$ b	$94.6 \pm 0.30$ b	$150.8 \pm 1.07 \text{ b}$	$13.0\pm0.09~\mathrm{b}$
No.10	10-5	$27.9 \pm 0.24 \text{ c}$	$77.8 \pm 2.01 \text{ c}$	$383.2 \pm 5.25 c$	$88.9 \pm 0.39$ b	$67.0 \pm 0.42 \text{ c}$	$9.8\pm0.08~{\rm c}$
	$10^{4}$	9.9 ± 0.28 d	$30.3 \pm 0.29  d$	406.4 ± 5.96 c	87.9 ± 0.58 b	360.4 ± 10.25 d	$27.4 \pm 0.12$ d
	Control	$80.7 \pm 0.68$ a	$67.3 \pm 0.18 \text{ a}$	564.3 ± 1.52 a	$77.1 \pm 0.28$ a	124.9±0.55 a	27.8±0.10 a
Liyu	$10^{6}$	$212.3 \pm 0.09$ b	147.2 ± 6.66 b	389.1 ± 1.67 b	75.0 ± 2.44 a	$104.7 \pm 0.90 \text{ b}$	$13.5\pm0.11~\mathrm{b}$
9	$10^{-5}$	$69.7 \pm 0.37 \text{ c}$	$66.8 \pm 0.06 \text{ c}$	$420.8 \pm 2.19 \text{ c}$	$61.6 \pm 0.10 \text{ b}$	$83.6 \pm 0.50 \text{ c}$	$10.2 \pm 0.03$ c
	$10^{4}$	$17.1 \pm 0.03 d$	$53.9 \pm 0.61d$	824.5 ± 3.26 d	$58.3 \pm 0.33$ c	49.5±0.72 d	$11.2 \pm 0.13 c$
	Control	160.1 ± 0.72 a	63.9 ± 0.27 a	413.3 ± 0.44 a	$70.2 \pm 0.15$ a	276.3 ± 0.89 a	$22.5 \pm 0.15$ a
igkang	$10^{-6}$	$125.3 \pm 0.49$ b	$43.7 \pm 0.25$ b	$500.9 \pm 0.96 \text{ c}$	$64.8 \pm 0.15$ b	$134.5 \pm 1.93$ b	$9.7 \pm 0.10$ b
No.5	10-5	$21.1 \pm 0.10 c$	54.4 ± 1.60 c	566.7 ± 3.10 c	$63.8 \pm 0.83$ b	$60.9 \pm 0.59 c$	$9.8\pm0.04~\mathrm{b}$
	$10^{4}$	$10.5 \pm 0.03$ d	$28.1 \pm 0.10  d$	491.3 ± 2.43 d	$76.4 \pm 0.34 c$	43.5 ± 0.48 d	$10.8 \pm 0.19$ c

	Mn Fe Cu		Mn			Fe			Сп	
Cultivars	Treatments (Cd M)	Total amount (μg/g DW)	Root (%)	Shoot (%)	Total amount (µg/g DW)	Root (%)	Shoot (%)	Total amount (µg/g DW)	Root (%)	Shoot (%)
	Control	429.5	79.3	20.7	309.5	81.8	18.2	67.9	80.3	19.7
Nongda	$10^{-6}$	102.1	40.1	59.9	514.7	81.3	18.7	81.8	84.1	15.9
No.108	10-5	95.9	18.7	81.3	621.3	86.9	13.1	116.2	89.3	10.7
	$10^{-4}$	46.0	18.5	81.5	666.0	87.7	12.3	140.4	90.5	9.5
	Control	344.6	76.8	23.2	561.9	84.7	15.3	91.3	79.3	20.7
Shendan	$10^{-6}$	277.5	64.4	35.6	349.4	72.9	27.1	163.8	92.1	7.9
No.10	10-5	105.7	26.4	73.6	472.1	81.2	18.8	76.8	87.2	12.8
	$10^{-4}$	40.2	24.6	75.4	494.3	82.2	17.8	387.8	92.9	7.1
	Control	148.0	54.5	45.5	641.4	88.0	12.0	152.7	81.8	18.2
	$10^{-6}$	359.5	59.1	40.9	464.1	83.8	16.2	118.2	88.6	11.4
Liyu No.0	10-5	136.5	51.1	48.9	482.4	87.2	12.8	93.8	89.1	10.9
	$10^{-4}$	71.0	24.1	75.9	882.8	93.4	6.6	60.7	81.5	18.5
	Control	224.0	71.5	28.5	483.5	85.5	14.5	298.8	92.5	7.5
Tangkang	$10^{-6}$	169.0	74.1	25.9	565.7	88.5	11.5	144.2	93.3	6.7
No.5	$10^{-5}$	75.5	27.9	72.1	630.5	89.9	10.1	70.7	86.1	13.9
	$10^{-4}$	38.6	27.2	72.8	2677	86.5	13.5	5.42	80.1	10.0

D.H. LIUETAL.,

706

Hagemeyer & Breckle (1996) reported that the contents (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> DW) of several essential trace metals are quite different in leaves of higher plants. For instance, Fe: 60-1500, Mn: 20-900, Cu: 2-20. Data from the present investigation fall in these.

Manganese (Mn) is an essential element required in trace amounts by all organisms. It plays a crucial role in the life-cycle of plants and other photosynthetic organisms, because it is required for light-induced evolution of oxygen from water (Andersson & Styring, 1991). A number of metabolic and protein-processing enzymes require Mn as a cofactor (Kaufman *et al.*, 1994; Larson & Pecoraro, 1992). Larson & Pecoraro (1992) also reported it as a redox-active cofactor in Mn-superoxide dismutase and its important role in the detoxification of free radical forms of oxygen. Korshunoval *et al.*, (1999) demonstrated that the IRT1 protein which had previously been identified as an iron transporter when expressed in yeast could transport manganese as well, but the manganese uptake activity was inhibited by  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Zn^{2+}$ . The results from this investigation showed that the uptake and accumulation of Mn were reduced significantly (P < 0.005) in the cultivars treated with Cd, which agrees with the findings by Hernández *et al.*, (1998).

Iron is an essential element for plant growth because it is required in the activities of a range of enzymes, especially those involved in oxidation and reduction processes, for the synthesis of porphyrine ring (chlorophyll and heme biosynthesis), reduction of nitrite and sulphate, N<sub>2</sub>-fixation (as a part of the leghemoglobin), etc. (Rengel, 1999). It is known that the lack of iron in growth media leads to the accumulation of Cd, Cu, Mn and Zn in shoots and roots of pea (Cohen et al., 1998). Hagemeyer & Breckle (1996) reported that Fe plays a general role in cation absorption of roots, the enzyme catalyzes the reduction of  $Fe^{3+}$  to  $Fe^{2+}$ , which is more readily absorbed by roots, the plasma membrane-bound reductase system can also increase the uptake of other trace elements, like copper and manganese. Fe uptake in the Cd treated-plants was greater than that in control plants in Nongda No.108 and Tangkang No.5, which is in agreement with the findings by Hernández et al., (1998), who found that Fe in pea plants was higher than that recorded in the control plants after the treatment with 50  $\mu$ M Cd. The relationship between Fe and Cd uptake is significant, as reported by Lombi's et al., (2002): both short and long-term studies revealed that Cd uptake was significantly enhanced by Fe deficiency in the Ganges ecotype.

Within a certain concentration range, copper was extensively translocated, as it was essential to the plant metalloenzymes diamine oxidase, ascorbate oxidase, cytochrome C oxidase, superoxide dismutase and plastocyanin oxidase (Van Assche & Clijsters, 1990) and photosynthesis (Hsu & Lee, 1988). Various interactions can occur when plants are exposed to unfavorable concentrations of more than one trace element. The sensitive plants showed chlorosis and necrosis of young leaves in response to Cu stress. The results from this investigation indicated that the plants concentrated the Cu<sup>2+</sup> in the roots more than that of the above-ground parts of maize cultivars when treated with different concentrations of Cd, which disagrees with the finding of Liu *et al.* (2001) who reported a large amount of Cu accumulation in the shoots ( $10^{-4}$  M and  $10^{-5}$  M Cd) of *Zea mays*.

# Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China. The authors also thank Mr. Duan Xuchuan for his help in analyzing samples by ICP-AES.

#### References

- Andersson, B. and S. Styring. 1991. Photosystem II: molecular organization, function and acclimation. Curr. Top. Bioenerg., 16: 1-81.
- Baker, A.J.M. and R.R. Brooks. 1989. Terrestrial higher plants which hyperaccumulate metallic elements a review of their distribution, ecology and phytochemistry. *Biorecovery*, 1: 81-126.
- Baker, A.J.M., S.P. McGrath, R.D. Reeves and J.A.C. Smith. 2000. Metal hyperaccumulator plants: a review of the ecology and physiology of a biological resource for phytoremediation of metalpolluted soils. In: *Phytoremediation of contaminated soil and water*. pp. 85-107. (Eds.): N. Terry and G. Bañuelos. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton, Germany.
- Bazynski, T., L. Wajda, M. Krol, D. Wolinska, Z. Knipa and A. Tukendorf. 1980. Photosynthetic activities of cadmium-treated tomato plants. *Physiol. Plant.*, 48: 365-370.
- Bazzaz FA, Rolfe GL and Carlson RW. 1974. Effects of cadmium on photosynthesis and transpiration of excised leaves of corn and sunflower. *Physiol. Plant.*, 32: 373-377.
- Clark, R.B. 1977. Effects of aluminum and mineral elements of Al-intolerant corn. *Plant Soil*, 47: 653-662.
- Cohen, C.K., T.C. Fox, D.F. Garvin and L.V. Kochian. 1998. The role of iron-deficiency stress responses in stimulating heavy-metal transport in plants. *Plant Physiol.*, 116: 1063-1072.
- Das, P., S. Samantaray and G.R. Rout. 1997. Studies on cadmium toxicity in plants: a review. Environ. Pollu., 98: 29-36.
- Duan, X.C. 2003. The study on the trace elements in the free growing vine of harvested tuber dioscoreas. J. Guangxi Normal Univ., 21: 122-123.
- Hagemeyer, J. and S.W. Breckle. 1996. Growth under trace element stress. In: *Plant Roots.* pp. 415-431. (Eds.): Y. Waisel, A. Eshel and U. Kafkafi. The hidden half. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, Basel, Hongkong
- Hampp, R., K. Beulich and H. Ziegler. 1976. Effects of zinc and cadmium on photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and Hill activity of isolated spinach chloroplasts. Z. *Pflanzenphysiol.*, 77: 336-344.
- Hernández, L.E., E. Lozano-Rodríguez, A. Gárate and R. Carpena-Ruiz. 1998. Influence of cadmium on the uptake, tissue accumulation and subcellular distribution of manganese in pea seedlings. *Plant Sci.*, 132: 139-151.
- Hernández, L.E., I. Ramos, R. Carpena-Ruiz, J.J. Lucena and A. Gárate. 1996. Effect of cadmium on the distribution of micronutrients in *Lactuca* spp., maize and pea plants. In: *Fertilizers and Environment*. (Ed.): C. Rodríguez-Barrueco. Kluwer Academic Publishers. pp. 503-508.
- Hsu, B.D. and J.Y. Lee. 1988. Toxic effects of copper on photosystem II of spinach chloroplasts. *Plant Physiol.*, 87: 116-119.
- Ju, G.C., X.Z. Li, W.E. Rauser and A. Oaks. 1997. Influence of cadmium on the production of γglutamylcysteine peptides and enzymes of nitrogen assimilation in *Zea mays* seedlings. *Physiol Plant..*, 101: 793-799.
- Kaufman, R., M. Swaroop and P. Murtha-Riel. 1994. Depletion of manganese within the secretory pathway inhibits o-linked glycosylation in mammalian cells. *Biochem.* 33: 9813-9819.
- Köhl, K.I., F.A. Harper, A.J.M. Baker and J.A.C. Smith. 1997. Defining a metal-hyperaccumulator plant: the relationship between metal uptake, allocation and tolerance. *Plant Physiol.*, 114(suppl.): 562.
- Korshunova1, Y.O., D. Eide, W.G. Clark, M.L. Guerinot and H.B. Pakrasi1. 1999. The IRT1 protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a metal transporter with a broad substrate range. *Plant Mol Boil.*, 40: 37-44.
- Lagriffoul, A., B. Mocquot, M. Mench and J. Vangronsveld. 1998. Cadmium toxicity effects on growth, mineral and chlorophyll contents, and activities of stress related enzymes in young maize plants (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant Soil*, 200: 241-250.
- Larson, E.J. and V.L. Pecoraro. 1992. Introduction to manganese enzymes. In: *Manganese Redox Enzymes.* pp.1-28. (Ed.): V.L. Pecoraro. VCH Publishers, New York.
- Lasat, M.M. 2000. Phytoextraction of metals from contaminated soil: a review of plant/soil/metal interaction and assessment of pertinent agronomic issues. J. Hazard Substance Res., 2: 1-25.

# 708

- Lee, K.G., B.A. Cunningham, G.M. Paulsen, G.H. Liang and R.B. Moore. 1976. Effect of cadmium on respiration rate and activity of several enzymes in soybean seedlings. *Physiol. Plant.*, 36: 4-6.
- Liu, D.H. and I. Kottke. 2003. Subcellular localization of Cd in the root cells of *Allium sativum* by electron energy loss spectroscopy. *J. Biosci.*, 28(4): 471-478.
- Liu, D.H., W.S. Jiang and W.Q. Hou. 2001. Uptake and accumulation of copper by roots and shoots of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J. Environ. Sci.*, 13: 228-232.
- Liu, D.H., W.S. Jiang and X.Z. Gao. 2003/2004. Effects of cadmium on root growth, cell division and nucleoli in root tip cells of garlic. *Biol. Plant.*, 47(1): 79-83.
- Lombi, E., K.L. Tearall, J.R. Howarth, F.J. Zhao, M.J. Hawkesford and S.P. McGrath. 2002. Influence of iron status on cadmium and zinc uptake by different ecotypes of the hyperaccumulator *Thlaspi caerulescens*. *Plant Physiol.*, 128: 359-1367.
- Miller, R.J., J.E. Bittell and D.E. Koeppe. 1973. The effect of cadmium on electron and energy transfer reactions in corn mitochondria. *Biol. Plant.*, 28: 166-171.
- Pollard, A.J., K.D. Powell, F.A. Harper and J.A.C. Smith. 2002. The genetic basis of metal hyperaccumulation in plants. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, 21: 539-566.
- Rengel, Z. 1999. Heavy metals as essential nutrients. In: *Heavy metal stress in plants*. (Eds.): M.N.V. Prasad and J. Hagemeyer. Spinger-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 232-247.
- Rosas, I., M.E. Carbajal, S. Gomez-arroyo, R. Belmont and R.Villalogos-Pietrini. 1984. Cytogenetic effects on cadmium accumulation on water hyacinth (*Eichornia crassipes*). *Environ. Pollut.*, 33: 386-395.
- Salt, D.E., I.J. Pickering, R.C. Prince, D. Gleba, S. Dushenkov, R.D. Smith and I. Raskin 1997. Metal accumulation by aquacultured seedlings of Indian mustard. *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 31: 1636-1644.
- Schwartz, C., S. Guimont, C. Saison, K. Perronnet and J.L. Morel. 2001. Phytoextraction of Cd and Zn by the hyperaccumulator plant *Thlaspi caerulescens* as affected by plant size and origin. S. Afr. J. Sci., 97: 561-564.
- Stephan, U.W. and Z. Prochazka. 1989. Physiological disorders of the nicotianamine-auxotroph tomato mutant *chloronerva* at different levels of iron nutrition. I. Growth characteristics and physiological abnormalities as related to iron and nicotianamine supply. *Acta. Bot. Neerl.*, 38: 147-153.
- Talanova, V.V., A.F. Titov and N. P. Boeva. 2001. Effect of Increasing Concentrations of Heavy Metals on the Growth of Barley and Wheat Seedlings. *Russian J. Plant Physiol.*, 48:100-103.
- Van Assch, F. and H. Clijsters. 1990. Effects of metals on enzyme activity in plants. *Plant, Cell Environ.*, 13: 195-206.

(Received for publication 14 November 2005)