Pak. J. Bot., 38(1): 107-119, 2006.

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SIX ISOENZYME SYSTEMS IN SOME SPECIES OF *HYOSCYAMUS* L., FROM IRAN

SHIDEH MONTASSER KOUHSARI¹, GOLANDAM SHARIFI^{1*}, HASSAN EBRAHIMZADEH¹ AND MAHBOOBEH KHATAMSAZ²

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. ²Herbarium of Botanical Garden, Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

The electrophoretic patterns of 6 enzyme systems viz., lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), malate dehydrogenase (MDH), esterase (EST), superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (PRX) and polyphenoloxidase (PPO) obtained from 15 seed samples (OTUs) belonging to 7 species of *Hyoscyamus* L., from Iran were compared in order to clarify taxonomic relationships within the genus. Results show that the species. *H. tenuicaulis* is completely distinct from the other species. Among the others *H. arachnoideus* and *H. niger* are very heterogenous. *H. kurdicus* shows a close relationship to *H. niger* and *H. turcomanicus* is very close to *H. arachnoideus*.

Introduction

Hyoscyamus L., is a genus of Solanaceae family. In Flora Iranica, 18 species of *Hyoscyamus* have been reported from Iran (Schönbeck–Temesy, 1972). Then some of these species were considered synonymous and a new species, *H. bornmulleri* was added in Flora of Iran (Khatamsaz, 1998). Therefore she has only reported 13 species of *Hyoscyamus* from Iran.

The genus is distributed in both old (Komarov, 1955; Hawkes, 1972; Baytop, 1978 & Nasir, 1985) and new worlds (<u>www.funet.fi/pub/sci/bio/life/plants</u>). Some species have extensive distributation like *H. niger* that is cosmopolitan. It seems that Iran with three endemic species viz., *H. tenuicaulis*, *H. malekianus* and *H. bornmulleri*, is an important diversification center of the genus.

This genus also have been divided into two subgenera of *Dendrotericon* and *Hyoscyamus* (Schönbeck–Temesy, 1970). Recently the new subgenus *Parahyoscyamus* with two species of *H. malekianus* and *H. leptocalyx* has been reported (Khatamsaz, 1998). In previous study (Schönbeck–Temesy, 1972), these two species were included in subgenus *Dendrotericon*.

On the other hand, particular solanaceous genera, such as *Atropa*, *Datura*, *Duboisia*, *Hyoscyamus* and *Scopolia* are characterized by the presence of the pharmacologically important, anticholinergic alkaloids hyoscyamine and scopolamine (Hibi *et al.*, 1992). The extracts of the plants and the isolated pure alkaloids atropine and scopolamine have been used for medicinal purposes for many centuries (Sauerwein *et al.*, 1993).

The identification of the species of the genus is very complex, difficult and often confused (Khatamsaz & Zangirian, 1998). Scanning electron microscopy of pollen grains (Khatamsaz & Zangirian, 1998) have also been described. Karyological studies have also been made on 11 species of this genus collected from Iran and have shown two different basic chromosome number that is x=14 and x=17 (Sheidai *et al.*, 1999). Interrelationship of these species have been studied through seed storage protein electrophoresis and numerical taxonomy (Sheidai *et al.*, 2000).

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +98-21-61112492

E- mail address: golsharifi@hotmail.com or golandsharifi@yahoo.com

The ability to separate electrophoretically multiple molecular forms of various enzyme extracts of plant materials has proved a useful tool to identify phylogenetic relationships (Torres *et al.*, 1979). Isozymes have also been used to identify different species and varieties of crop plants like potato varieties (Nieto *et al.*, 1990), garlic clones (Pooler &Simon, 1993), *Allium* species (Abdul Wahab, 1994), hybrids of rice (Farooq *et al.*, 1996), populations of *Lythrum salicaria* (Strefeler *et al.*, 1996), crop and wild species of *Amaranthus* (Chan & Sun, 1997), cotton varieties (Farooq *et al.*, 1999) and some perennial plants such as *Musa acuminata* (Jarret & Litz, 1986), peach (Arulsekar *et al.*, 1986), *Pyrola japonica* (Huh *et al.*, 1998) and species of the genus *Pinus* (González-Andrés *et al.*, 1999).

In this research we have tried to describe 6 isoenzyme systems in some species of *Hyoscyamus* collected locally. The objective was to study the usefulness of such methods for separating the species and grouping within the genus.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials: Seven species of the genus *Hyoscyamus* were used in the present study. Details of the materials along with seed sources, date of collection and distribution are listed in Table 1.Voucher specimens are deposited either in the central herbarium of Iran (TARI) or Tehran University Herbarium (TUH).

Enzyme extraction: 1g of seeds were homogenized in extraction buffer [0.05M tris–HCl pH: 8.3 (1.5 w/v)] using a mortar and pestle pre–cooled with ice. The homogenized meal was centrifuged at 15000 rpm for 30 min., at 4°C. The supernatant (enzyme extract) was filtered through cheese–cloth. This extract was either immediately used for electrophoresis or stored at -20° C.

Enzyme electrophoresis: Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was performed in a vertical system, thermostated at 4–6°C by a circulator cooling bath. Enzyme samples were loaded on to 21 x 22.8 cm plates with 4 mm thickness, which can accommodate 17 samples. Reservoir buffer was cold tris–glycine (pH 8.3) while bromophenol blue was used as marker front– dye. Gels were electrophoresed at a constant current of 5 mA per gel during 24 h. For all the enzymes, 90µl extract per well was loaded except for enzyme esterase only 40 µl extract was loaded.

Enzyme staining: Isozyme esterase (EST); (E.C.3.1.1.1) was stained according to the procedure described by Desborough *et al.*, (1967). Peroxidase (PRX); (E.C.1.11.1.7) and polyphenoloxidase (PPO); (E.C.1.10.3.2) were stained according to Vanloon (1971). Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH); (E.C.1.1.1.27), malate dehydrogenase (MDH); (E.C.1.1.1.37), and superoxide dismutase (SOD); (E.C. 1.15.1.1) were stained according to Wendel & Weeden (1990).

Numerical analysis: The bands produced by each sample were counted individually and the relative mobility (Rm) was determined. The presence or absence of each band was treated as a binary character (coded 1 and 0, respectively). The allozyme and the specific locus was designated by numerical numbers superscripting the enzyme and the locus number e.g., EST–2³ means allele no. 3 at EST locus–2 and PRX–1² means allele no.2 at PRX locus–1. The Jaccard's similarity index was calculated for the OTUs using the above-mentioned matrix. Data were analysed using the SAHN (Sequential Agglomorative Hierarchial and Nested) option of NTSYS–PC (Version 1.60) system of Rohlf (1990).

| <u>v</u> 0. | Species | Seed source | Date of collection | Distribution | Code |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--|------|
| : | Hyoscyamus arachnoideus 1 | Azarbayejan | JUN.1999 | Iran, Iraq. | 15 |
| c.i | Hyoscyamus arachnoideus 2 | Tehran | JUL.1996 | Iran, Iraq. | 11 |
| ~. | Hyoscyamus arachnoideus 3 | Zanjan | 9991.NUL | Iran, Iraq. | 10 |
| <u></u> : | Hyoscyamus arachnoideus 4 | Ardebill | JUN.1999 | Iran, Iraq. | 8 |
| | Hyoscyamus arachnoideus 5 | Mazandaran | JUL.1996 | Iran, Iraq. | 1 |
| | Hyoscyamus niger 1 | Tehran | JUL.1996 | Iran.Iraq.middle Asia.north, Africa, Europe,Turkey, Russia,Caucasia,Ciberia, Afghanistan, Pakistan | 12 |
| | Hyoscyamus niger 2 | Azarbayejan | 9991.NUL | Iran, Iraq, middle Asia, north Africa, Europe, Turkey, Russia, Caucasia, Ciberia, Afghanistan, Pakistan | 6 |
| ~ | Hyoscyamus niger 3 | Mazandaran | JUL.1999 | Iran, Iraq, middle Asia, north Africa,Europe, Turkey, Russia, Caucasia, Ciberia, Afghanistan, Pakistan | 5 |
| Ċ. | Hyoscyamus reticulatus 1 | Khorasan | JUL.1998 | Iran, Iraq, Europe, Turkey, Syria, Egypt | 4 |
| 0. | Hyoscyamus reticulatus 2 | Tehran | JUL.1996 | Iran, Iraq, Europe,Turkey, Syria, Egypt | ŝ |
| Ξ. | Hyoscyamus squarrosus 1 | Mazandaran | JUL.1999 | Iran, Afghanistan, Pakistan, middle Asia | 7 |
| 5. | Hyoscyamus squarrosus 2 | Tehran | JUL.1996 | Iran, Afghanistan, Pakistan, middle Asia | 2 |
| | Hyoscyamus kurdicus | Kordestan | AUG.1996 | Iran, Iraq, Syria | 14 |
| 4. | Hyoscyamus tenuicaulis | Lorestan | AUG.1996 | Iran: Endemic | 13 |
| 5. | Hvoscvamus turcomanicus | Golestan | JUL.1996 | Iran, Turcomanestan | 9 |

Dendrogram was constructed by the UPGMA (Unweighted Paired Group Method Average) of Sneath & Sokal, (1973).

Results and Discussion

Electrophoretic profiles of different isozymes are shown in Table 2. Polyphenoloxidase (PPO), appeared as a mixture of monomeric and dimeric enzyme coded by four loci. PPO–1 and PPO–2 loci appeared as typical of a monomeric enzyme with two alleles. PPO–1¹ expressed in sample 7 and PPO–1² only expressed in sample 5. PPO–2 locus expressed in samples 8 and 15 with two alleles and in sample 12 with only one. Locus–3 expressed in samples 3, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14 and 15 with three, in sample 5 and 6 with two alleles and in sample 4 with one allele. Samples 1, 2 and 11 showed no PPO activity.

Peroxidase (PRX), are expressed as 6 different alleles at three loci. Three zones of PRX activity were present on acrylamide gels. It appeared to be coded by three loci in different species of *Hyoscyamus*. The PRX–1 locus appeared as monomeric and expressed only in sample 7. Locus–2 and locus–3 exhibiting three alleles per locus. They appeared as dimeric. Therefore, this enzyme possesed a mixture of monomeric and dimeric activity as shown in previous study (Brewbaker *et al.*, 1985). PRX–2 occured in sample 5 with two and in samples 8, 14 and 15 with three alleles. PRX–3 occured in sample 12 with one, in sample 4, 6 and 10 with two and in sample 7 with three alleles. Samples 1, 2, 3, 9, 11 and 13 showed no PRX activity.

Esterase (EST), should prove useful as a diagnostic tool for cultivar identification in view of its extensive polymorphism for this enzyme (Jarret & Litz, 1986). The extension of this isoenzyme is usually considered unstable and highly dependent on environmental conditions when analyzed on leaves and other vegetative organs. However, when esterases are studied in seeds, they are considered valid for characterization as they are less likely to be affected by environmental conditions (González–Andrés *et al.*, 1999). Isozyme esterase exhibited one of the most complicated zymograms. It appeared as a mixture of monomeric and dimeric enzyme as it was shown in previous studies (Tanksley & Rick, 1980; Wehling & Schmidt–Stohn, 1984). On the other hand, one of the earliest studies on esterase isozymes from *Solanum* tubers assumed that three alleles Ea, Eb and Ec each control the production of a different monomer which combine to give 15 esterase isozymes (Desborough *et al.*, 1967). In this study, it appeared to be controlled by 7 loci. Locus–1, locus–3, locus–4 and locus–6 had two alleles. Locus–2 had three alleles. Locus–5 and locus–7 had only one allele. All of the alleles did not express simultaneously in one sample and they showed different isozyme patterns.

Superoxide dismutase (SOD) revealed 10 isozymes. It appeared as a mixture of monomeric and dimeric enzyme. In previous studies in rye, wild grasses of the tribe *triticeae* (Jaaska & Jaaska, 1982) and in maize (Baum & Scandalios, 1981) it was known as a dimeric or tetrameric isozyme. *Vigna* species (Pasquet & Vanderborght, 1999) showed only one isozyme. In the present study it appeared to be coded by 10 loci. SOD–1 had one allele and expressed in samples 11, 12, 13, 14 and 15. SOD–2, SOD–4, SOD–9 and SOD–10 each contained two alleles, locus–3 and locus–7 are monomorphic and comprised of one allele that occured in all samples. SOD–5 had one allele and only expressed in sample 13 (i.e., *H. tenuicaulis*). SOD–8 also had one allele that expressed in sample 12 (i.e., *H. niger* 1) and finally locus 6 had three alleles. This isozyme showed to be dimeric and had different banding patterns between different samples.

| | | | | Table 2. | . Presenc | e/absenc | e data m | atrix of 1 | the isoen | zymatic | study. | | | | |
|------|---|---|---|----------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|---------|--------|---|---|---|---|
| Band | Y | в | С | D | Э | Ŀ | G | Н | - | ſ | K | Г | Μ | Z | 0 |
| EST | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | - |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 1 | 0 | - | - |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| 10 | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| LDH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| З | 1 | - | - | - | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| MDH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 1 | | 0 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 2 | 1 | | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| 3 | - | | - | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | 1 | | - | - | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| PRX | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - |

111

| | 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 | 0 0 | | | 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------------|-------|---|-----------|-------------------------------|---------|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| ~ | 0 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | | | 0 0 | 0 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 1 | 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 00000 000 | 00000 000- | 00 00 000-0 | 00000 000-0- | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00000 000-0-00 | 00 | 00 | 00000 000-0-00000 | 00-00 000-0-000-0- | 0000 000-0-000-0 |
| 0 0 | | 0 0 | 0 0 | | | 1 0 | 1 1 0 | 1 1 0 | 0000 | 0 0 0 0 0 | 000000 | 000 | 0000 | 0000 | 00000000 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| 0 0 | | 0 | 0 (| | | 1 1 | | | | 0 | 00 | 000 | 0000 | 0000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 0 | | | 1 | 1 0 | 1 1 0 | 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 | o | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 0 | 0 | • | 0 | | | T | | | 0 | 00 | 000 | 0000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 0 | 0 | ~ | 0 0 | | 1 0 | | 0 | 0 1 0 | 0 0 1 0 | - 0 0 0 | - 0 0 0 0 | | - 0 0 0 0 0 0 | - 0 0 0 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 0 | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | | - | | 0 | 00 | 000 | 0000 | 0000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 0 | 0 0 | 0 0 | | 0 | 0 0 | 0 | ` | , 0 (| 00 | 000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 00000 0-000-00-00 |
| 4 | | 5 0 | 9 | PPO | 1 0 | 2 | 3 0 | V V | + | 5 4 0 | 6 % 4 0 0 0 | 4000 | * 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 55 66 80 SOD | 4 6 6 8 8 1 1 6 1 | 2 - 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 3 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 4 % 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 5 4 3 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 6 5 5 4 3 2 1 - 1 00 6 5 4 3 2 2 - 1 00 6 5 4 3 2 2 - 1 00 6 5 4 3 2 2 - 1 00 7 - 1 0 0 7 - 1 0 0 0 7 - 1 0 0 0 0 7 - 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 7 6 5 5 4 3 2 7 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 8 7 6 5 4 9 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 9876549200 00 100 100 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 12 12 12 12 1 | 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | <pre>4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 4 4 2 2 2 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</pre> | 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 |

Malate dehydrogenase (MDH), has been studied in many plants including *Musa* acuminata Colla (Jarret & Litz, 1986), *Festuca* (Aiken *et al.*, 1993) and it has been reported to be a dimeric enzyme. Eight bands were observed in two zones of activity in individuals assayed for MDH in *Lythrum salicaria* (Strefeler *et al.*, 1996). In *Vigna luteola* and *Vigna marina* (Sonnante *et al.*, 1997), three putative loci were reported for this enzyme. In the present study, four bands and two zones of activity were resolved in different samples. It appeared to be coded by two genes at MDH–1 and MDH–2 loci. Both loci appeared as monomeric. MDH–2 had no activity in samples 12 and 13 (i.e., *H. niger1 & H. tenuicaulis*).

Isozyme Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), appeared as a monomeric enzyme coded by three loci. LDH–1 and LDH–3 exhibited two alleles while LDH–2 was exhibiting one allele in different samples. LDH–1 and LDH–3 showed monomeric and monomorphic pattern. Sample 13 (*H. tenuicaulis*) showed no LDH activity.

There are 52 bands (29 loci) that can result from combinations of these six enzyme systems, five bands of them (10.3%) are found in all taxa and were removed in data analysis. The zymograms and profiles of these enzymes are shown in Fig. 1.

It may be mentioned that quantitative variation, as differences in intensity and thickness of bands, is not taken into consideration when coding for the numerical analysis, and only the presence/absence of each band using electrophoresis was used to produce a classification (Fig. 2).

In the obtained dendrogram, there are two main branches within the genus *Hyoscyamus*. The first branch separates *H. tenuicaulis* from the other species and it has the lowest similarity with the rest of the species that is in accord with the morphological studies (Khatamsaz, 1998) and other numerical taxonomic works (Sheidai *et al.*, 2000). The second group contains other species, among which *H. arachnoideus* is the most heterogeneous species. The five different samples of this species have different positions. *H. niger* is also a very heterogenous species (Fig. 2). *H. arachnoideus* 2 and *H. arachnoideus* 5 showed the highest similarity, with values around 0.65. *H. turcomanicus* is closely related to *H. reticulatus* and this is in consistence with numerical taxonomic works (Sheidai *et al.*, 2000). *H. kurdicus* shows close relationship to *H. arachnoideus*. The close relationship between *H. kurdicus* and *H.arachnoideus* that is shown here is supported by earlier electrophoretic and numerical taxonomic works (Sheidai *et al.*, 2000). *H. squarrosus* 1 and *H. squarrosus* 2 have a similarity level more than 0.35.

Anyway, none of the methods which were used to determine relationships between the species of *Hyoscyamus* are not useful enough (e.g., Khatamsaz 1998; Sheidai *et al.*, 1999; Sheidai *et al.*, 2000) and more studies are needed to this goal. Using such characters in the study of enzyme variation in this genus may represent that it is not a long time that these species derived from a maternal species.

This study showed intraspecies variation of isoenzymatic banding pattern. It suggests that we should primarily investigate genetic variation within and between different populations and then interspecies genetic distance. The isolation of species *H. tenuicaulis* showed that it is a long time that it has derived from the other species.



Fig. 1. Zymograms and profiles showing isozyme bands in seeds. (a) PPO, (b).



Fig. 1. Zymograms and profiles showing isozyme bands in seeds. (c) EST, (d) SOD.



Fig. 1. Zymograms and profiles showing isozyme bands in seeds. (e) MDH, (f) LDH.





Acknowledgements

The financial support for this research was provided for M. Sc. research projects from research council of the Faculty of Sciences, University of Tehran. Thanks are due to Dr. Shahin Zarre for his kind assistance in data analysis. We are also grateful to Dr. Vahid Niknam and Dr. Shahin Zarre for helpful comments on the manuscript.

References

- Abdul Wahab, A.H. 1994. Acrylamide gel electrophoresis of several isozyme systems in 27 *Allium* species and their use in numerical taxonomy. *MARDI Res. J.*, 221: 1-13.
- Aiken, S.G., L.L. Consaul, J.I. Davis and P.S. Manos. 1993. Systematic inferences from variation in isozyme profiles of arctic and alpine cespitose *Festuca (Poaceae)*. American Journal of Botany, 80(1): 76-82.
- Arulsekar, S., D.E. Parfitt, W. Beres and P.E. Hansche. 1986. Genetics of malate dehydrogenase isozymes in the peach. *The Journal of Heredity*, 77: 49-51.
- Baum, J.A. and J.G. Scandalios. 1981. Isolation and characterization of cytosolic and mitochondrial superoxide dismutase of maize. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 206: 249-264.

Baytop, A. 978. *Hyoscyamus* L. In: *Flora of Turkey and the East Agean Islands*. (Ed.): P.H. Davis. Edinburgh Univ. Press, Edinburgh.

- Brewbaker, J.L., C. Nagai and E.H. Liu. 1985. Genetic polymorphism of 13 maize peroxidase. J. *Heredity*, 76: 159-167.
- Chan, K.F. and M. Sun. 1997. Genetic diversity and relationships detected by isozyme and RAPD analysis of crop and wild species of *Amaranthus*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 95: 865-873.
- Desborough, S. and S.J. Peloquin. 1967. Esterase isozymes from *Solanum* tubers. *Phytochemistry*, 6: 989-994.
- Farooq, S., T.M. Shah, N. Iqbal and M. Arif. 1996. Problems and prospects of Hybridization between Oryza sativa and Porteresia coarctata and its significance in rice breeding programmes. Cereal Res. Commun., 24: 41-47.
- Farooq, S. and H. Sayyed. 1999. Isozyme markers in cotton breeding-II: Inter and intravarietal variation in the activity of isozymes of the enzyme peroxidase as affected by area of cotton cultivation. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 31(2): 347-359.
- Ganj-Karimy, M. 1997. *Morphological and anatomical sutdies in the genus Hyoscyamus*. M.Sc. Thesis, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran.
- González–Andrés, F., J.M. Pita and J.M. Ortiz. 1999. Identification of Iberian and Canarian species of the genus *Pinus* with four isoenzyme systems. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.*, 27: 235-242.
- Hawkes, J.G. 1972. *Hyoscyamus* L. In: Flora Europea 3. (Ed.): T.G. Tutin. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.
- Hibi, N., T.F. Fujita, M. Hatano, T. Hashimoto and Y. Yamada. 1992. Putrescine N– Methyltransferase in culture roots of *Hyoscyamus albus*. *Plant Physiol.*, 100: 826-835.
- Huh, M.K., S.D. Chung and H.W. Huh. 1998. Allozyme variation and population structure of *Pyrola japonica* in Korea. *Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin.* 39: 107-112.
- Jaaska, V. and V. Jaaska. 1982. Isozyme of superoxide dismutase in barely. *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen.*, 177: 375-386.
- Jarret, R.L. and R.E. Litz. 1986. Enzyme polymorphism in *Musa acuminata* Colla. *The Journal of Heredity*, 77: 183-188.
- Khatamsaz, M. 1998. Flora of Iran (Solanaceae). Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Tehran.
- Khatamsaz, M. and E. Zangirian. 1998. SEM survey of pollen morphology in Iranian species of *Hyoscyamus* L. (*Solanaceae*). *Iran. J. Bot.*, 7: 151-163.
- Komarov, V.L. 1955. Flora USSR, XXII, Moscow.
- Nasir, Y.J. 1985. Hyoscyamus L. In: Flora of Pakistan 168, (Eds.): E. Nasir & S.I. Ali, Karachi.
- Nieto, A.R., A.Ch. Sancho, M.V. Barros and J.L. Gorge. 1990. Peroxidase zymograms at constant and gradient pH electrophoresis as an analytical test in the identification of potato varieties. J. Agric. Food. Chem., 38: 2148-2153.
- Pasquet, R.S. and T. Vanderborght. 1999. Isozyme polymorphism in some yellow- and blueflowered *Vigna* species complexes (*Fabaceae*, *Phaseoleae*). *Pl. Syst. Evol.*, 215: 1-21.
- Pooler, M.R. and P.W. Simon. 1993. Characterization and classification of isozyme and morphological variation in a diverse collection of garlic clones. *Euphytica*, 68: 121-130.
- Rohlf, F.J. 1990. *NTSYS–PC Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System*. Department of ecology and evolution. State University of New York Stony Brook.
- Sauerwein, M., K. Shimomura and M. Wink. 1993. Incorporation of 1– C–Acetate into tropane alkaloids by hairy root cultures of *Hyoscyamus albus*. *Phytochemistry*, 32(4): 905-909.
- Schönbeck-Temesy, E. 1972. Hyoscyamus. In: Flora Iranica. (Ed.): K.H. Rechinger. 100: 49-79.
- Sheidai, M., M. Mosallanejad and M. Khatamsaz. 1999. Karyological studies in *Hyoscyamus* species of Iran. *Nord. J. Bot.*, 193: 369-373.
- Sheidai, M., M. Khatamsaz and M. Mosallanejad. 2000. Numerical taxonomy and seed protein analysis of *Hyoscyamus* species in Iran. J. Sci. I.R. Iran, 112: 83-91.
- Sneath, P.H. and R.R. Sokal. 1973. Numerical Taxonomy. Freeman, San Francisco.

- Sonnante G., A. Spinosa, A. Marangi and D. Pignone. 1997. Isozyme and RAPD analysis of the genetic diversity within and between *Vigna luteola* and *V. marina. Annals of Botany*, 80: 741-746.
- Strefeler, M.S., E. Darmo, R.L. Becker and E.J. Katovich. 1996. Isozyme characterization of genetic diversity in Minnesota populations of purple loosestrife, *Lythrum salicaria* (*Lythraceae*). American Journal of Botany, 83(3): 265-273.
- Tanksley, S.D. and C.M. Rick. 1980. Genetic of esterases in species of *Lycopersicon*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 56: 209-219.
- Torres, A. M., R.K. Soost and U. Diedenhofen. 1978. Leaf isozymes as genetic markers in *Citrus. Amer. J. Bot.*, 65: 869-881.
- Van Loon, L.C. 1971. Tobacco polyphenoloxidases, a specific staining method indicating nonidentity with peroxidase. *Phytochemistry*, 10: 503-507.
- Wendel, J.F. and N.F. Weeden. 1990. Visualization and interpretation of plant isozymes. In Isozymes in plant biology. (Eds.): D.E. Soltis and P.S. Soltis. 5–45. Dioscorides Press. Portland, OR.
- Wehling, P. and G. Schmidt-Stohn. 1984. Linkage relationships of esterase loci in *rye (Secale cereale L.). Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 67: 149-153.

(Received for publication 28 October 2002)