Pak. J. Bot., 36(3): 557-565, 2004.

GENETIC DIVERGENCE IN RICE COLLECTIONS

AKBAR ALI CHEEMA, MUHAMMAD RASHID, MUHAMMAD ASHRAF AND ZIA-UL-QAMAR

Nuclear Institute for Agriculture and Biology (NIAB), P. O. Box. 128, Jhang Road, Faisalabad.

Abstract

Deviations observed by Metroglyph method regarding the number of cluster formed, number of genotypes in the cluster and superimposition of the genotypes within the cluster pointed out that genetic improvement for yield and yield components is possible. Metroglyph scatter diagram provided the possibility of 11 groups of rice genotypes. On the basis of this grouping, it can be interpreted that hybridization between group-I and group-II is expected to give better rice varieties.

Introduction

Rice is considered as the stuff of life in Pakistan and covers more than 2.5 million hectares of cropped area with an average paddy yield of 2050 kg/hectare. It accounts for 6.6% of the value added products in agriculture and contributing 1.6% in G.D.P. (Anon., 2000-01). The demand for rice is continuously increasing due to unabated growth of population. To cope with the ever increasing population and to achieve self sufficiency in rice production as well as for maintaining price stability there is need for new genes and improved genetic recombinants not found either in the cultivated varieties or their relatives.

The development of varieties is a continuous process and the success of the plant breeding programme aimed at the evolution of high yielding, better quality, fertilizer responsive, disease and insect resistance varieties depends upon selection of suitable plants to be utilized in breeding programme. The effectiveness of selection depends primarily upon the magnitude of genetic variability in the breeding material at hand. The present study was initiated with the objective to observe variability and select rice genotypes on the basis of quantitative traits and performance.

The study was undertaken to run a classificatory analysis on the rice genotypes by means of Metroglyph statistic, which would enable us to classify the available germplasm into distinct groups on the basis of their genetic diversity. The information, thus obtained, would be helpful to develop an effective rice-breeding programme. Classificatory techniques are being used to quantify the genetic divergence in a given population by Kotaiah *et al.*, (1986), Singh *et al.*, (1986^a), Singh *et al.*, (1986^b), Kotaiah *et al.*, (1987), Gomathinayagam & Natarajan (1988), Vijaykumar & Ramankutty (1988), Ibrahim *et al.*, (1990), Sinha *et al.*, (1991), Kharbuli *et al.*, (1992), Mahapatra *et al.*, (1995) and Bharadwaj *et al.*, (2001) in rice, Roy & Sharma (1994) in pigeon pea, Ponitha & Raveendram (2000) in cotton. As such a quantification of the degree of divergence would be helpful in choosing suitable genotypes for on-going breeding programme.

Materials and Methods

Seventeen mutants and their respective parents originated from 11 Asian countries (Table 1) used in Regional Rice Mutant Multilocational Trial (RRMMT) were grown at NIAB, Faisalabad during 2002-03. IR-64 was used as a check variety. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications. Thirty days old seedlings were transplanted in 1.60 x 2.60 sq. m., plot size. Each plot consisted of 13 rows of 1.6 m long with one seedling/hill. Standard agronomic practices and plant protection measures were taken as per schedule. The data were collected on six phenotypic characters viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), productive tillers/plant, panicle length (cm), spikelets/panicle and yield (kg/ha) (Table 1). Observations were recorded on 5 randomly selected plants of the middle rows excluding the border plants. Their means were used for statistical analysis.

Analysis of variance for all the characters was carried out following the procedure described by Steel & Torrie (1984). Clustering was done according to Metroglyph analysis proposed by Anderson (1957) and used by Singh & Chaudhary (1979) to study the pattern of morphological variation in crop species. The means over replications of the characters were used for this purpose. Two most variable characters which exhibited highest variance (highly significant values of F calculated) were selected and plotted on X and Y-axis using the values of each genotype. Besides the two characters which were taken on X and Y-axis, all the other characters were represented by rays on the glyph. Each ray represents a particular character obtained by dividing the range of variation into three equal classes giving the grades low, medium and high for each character. The length of ray assigned to the characters depends upon the index scores of genotypes for that character (1 for low value, 2 for medium and 3 for highest value). The performance of genotype was indicated by total index scores of genotype, which was the sum of the index values with regards to all characters. The grouping of the genotypes was carried out on the basis of sum of index scores of all the genotypes in that group.

Results and Discussions

The analysis of variance for means indicted that the differences among the genotypes were significant for all the characters studied (Table 2). Bharadwaj *et el.*, (2001) found the significant mean squares results for 9 quantitative characters in 50 genotypes of rice. Kotaiah *et al.*, (1986) and Bharadwaj *et al.*, (2001) reported that the significant differences among the rice genotypes indicated the necessity to group them into clusters to identify the divergent groups. Mehdi & Asghar (1999) also classified the sorghum grenotypes in five distinct groups.

According to Anderson's Metroglyph technique (1957), the index score was allotted to each character of the 30 diverse rice genotype, which indicated the worth of the genotype regarding the character (Tables 3 & 4). Similarly, Gomathinayagam & Natarajan (1988) used index scores for studying the morphological variations of 40 rice entries tested at the upland rice sites. The same thing is represented as rays on the glyph (Fig. 1). Ibrahim *et al.*, (1990) plotted a scatter diagram by using the glyph between the plant height and single plant yield. The traits i.e., days to 50% flowering and plant height are required for desirability in a lower magnitude. Das & Borthakur (1973) and Maurya & Singh (1977) observed that flowering time and plant height were the important contributors to genetic divergence in rice. Therefore, higher score was given to the genotypes, which showed a low magnitude of that character.

558

Code	Genotypes	Origin	Days to 50%	Plant height	Productive tillers/	Panicle length	Spikelets/ Panicle	Yield Kg/ha
No.			flowering	(cm)	Plant	(cm)		D
Ι.	Cisadane (P)	Indonesia	135.0	105.0	16.5	22.5	120.8	5294
2.	Atomita 4 (M)	.,	121.0	106.1	12.6	25.2	142.0	6275
3.	MutSM-268/PSJIR36 (P)	3	127.0	110.2	14.0	27.6	127.8	4095
4.	Cilosari (M)	3	122.0	104.1	13.4	25.9	144.5	6176
7.	Q 31 Acc. 9338	Malaysia	123.8	118.7	10.6	28.7	213.0	5056
8.	Y 1281 (Q31-60-2) (M)	3	111.5	92.0	14.3	29.7	229.5	6560
9.	Hwacheon-byeo	Korea	75.3	65.6	31.3	16.3	72.7	3953
10.	Huacheong du-1 (M)	.,	73.3	68.2	33.4	15.7	45.0	3382
11.	Huacheong du-2 M)	.,	78.0	72.1	37.9	19.0	98.9	3669
12.	Tainan 3 (P)	India	92.5	101.9	9.1	24.4	201.5	6144
13.	PNR 381 (M)	3	91.5	106.4	15.9	25.3	189.5	5374
14.	PNR 519 M)	India	106.8	96.5	14.3	28.6	175.8	7137
15.	IR 8 (P)	3	110.0	88.2	13.5	24.0	169.3	6694
16.	PNR 166 (M)		105.0	100.8	11.6	25.8	192.3	6078
18.	TNDB-100 (M)	Vietnam	104.8	96.0	19.0	27.3	154.8	6524
21.	RD 25'86 G ₁ Cs- PTT- 31-1-2-1-1(M)	Thailand	105.8	117.1	14.7	29.7	164.3	7339
22.	RD25 (P)	77	82.0	102.2	11.1	25.4	175.0	3924
23.	Sigadis Milagrosa (P)	Philippines	115.8	95.6	14.8	28.8	160.3	5262
24.	PR 26305-M32 (M)	"	101.5	100.0	13.6	30.9	155.3	6863
25.	IR 22 m-1 (P)	3	98.8	84.8	16.7	26.2	177.5	7096
26.	PR 26768-PJ (T) 4 (M)	3	105.0	92.8	14.0	25.5	174.0	6451
28.	Binadhan 4 (M)	Bangladesh	107.8	118.6	11.8	28.7	189.0	7958
29.	IRATOM 24 (P)	33	98.0	91.1	10.5	25.3	184.8	6601
30.	Binadhan 6 (M)	77	108.5	119.1	12.7	25.0	205.0	7470
31.	Basmati 370 (P)	Pakistan	110.3	174.5	11.4	34.0	188.5	5072
32.	Kashmir Basmati (M)	.,	84.8	149.7	11.4	31.4	139.5	3365
33.	DM 25 (M)	"	117.8	131.7	13.1	31.2	166.3	4123
34.	3027 (P)	China	94.8	98.5	10.3	27.2	252.5	5058
35.	R 3027 (M)	.,	94.8	92.5	10.8	27.3	157.5	5709
36.	IR-64 (IRRIs Local check)	IRRI	98.0	96.1	16.6	26.8	112.5	4703

GENETIC DIVERGENCE IN RICE COLLECTIONS

559

	L '	Fable 2. Mean squa	res for quantitativ	e traits among 3(rice genotypes in	RRMMT	
S.0.V	df	Days to 50 % flowering	Plant height (cm)	Productive tillers/ plant	Panicle length (cm)	Spikelets/ panicle	Yield (kg/ha)
Replication	3	2.2	1.4	11.4	1.1	62.4	42706.3
Genotypes	29	945.4**	1925.1**	186.1^{**}	66.0^{**}	7654.6**	6865618.6**
Error	87	1.1	2.3	2.6	0.6	106.2	232976.6

TIMMU 20 6

		Score-I		Score-II	_	Score-J	Ξ
Characters	Range of means	Value more than	Sign	Value from to	Sign	Value less than	Sign
Days to 50% Nowering	73.3-135.0	114.44	0	93.86-114.44	9	93.86	m
Plant height (cm)	65.6-175.4	138.80	0	102.20-138.80	\diamond	102.20	کر ا
		Score-I		Score-II	_	Score-l	II
		Value less Sign than		Value from to	Sign	Value more than	Sign
Productive üllers/plant	9.1-37.9	18.70	0	18.70-28.30	_0	28.30	-ro
Panicle length (cm)	15.7-34.0	21.80	0	21.80-27.90	0	27.90	Or
Spikelets/panicle	45.0-252.5	114.20	0	114.20-183.30	0	183.30	-0
Yield Kg/ha	3365-7958	4896.0	0	4896.0-6427.0	0⁄	6427.0	OF

	Table 4. Sco	ore of th	e six quantita	tive traits of	f thirty ri	ce genotypes	in RRMN	1T		
Cada				Days to	Plant	Productive	Panicle	Cuttralate	Viald	
No.	Genotypes		Origin	50 %	height	tillers/ Black	length	Spincieus /Panicle	r ieiu Kg/ha	Total
				Howering	(cm)	riant	(cm)		0	
	Cisadane	a	Indonesia	1	2	-	2	2	2	10
2.	Atomita 4	W	**	1	2	1	2	2	2	10
Э.	MutSM-268/PSJIR36	(J	"	1	2	1	2	2	-	6
4.	Cilosari	(W)	"	1	2	1	2	2	2	10
7.	Q 31 Acc. 9338	(J	Malaysia	1	2	1	ę	С	2	12
8.	Y 1281 (Q31-60-2)	(W)	3	2	с	-	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	15
9.	Hwacheon-by eo	(L)	Korea	с	З	3	1	1	1	12
10.	Huacheong du-1	(W)	3	с	З	3	1	1	1	12
11.	Huacheong du-2	(W	3	б	З	ю	1	1	1	12
12.	Tainan 3	(J	India	m	З	1	2	m	2	14
13.	PNR 381	W	**	m	2	1	2	m	2	13
14.	PNR 519	(W	India	2	ŝ	1	n	2	ŝ	14
15.	IR 8	(J	**	2	ŝ	1	2	2	ŝ	13
16.	PNR 166	W	75	2	З	1	2	n	2	13
18.	TNDB-100	(W)	Vietnam	2	ŝ	2	2	2	ŝ	14
21.	RD 25'86 G ₁ Cs- PTT- 31-1-2-1-	·1(M)	Thailand	2	2	1	ę	2	ŝ	13
22.	RD25	(J	73	ę	2	1	2	2	-	=
23.	Sigadis Milagrosa	(J	Philippines	1	З	1	ę	2	2	12
24.	PR 26305-M32	(W)	3	2	с	1	ę	2	ŝ	14
25.	IR 22 m-1	(J	3	2	Э	1	2	2	ŝ	13
26.	PR 26768-PJ (T) 4	(W)	3	2	С	1	2	2	ŝ	13
28.	Binadhan 4	(W)	Bangladesh	2	2	1	ŝ	С	ŝ	14
29.	IRATOM 24	(J	3	2	С	1	2	с	ŝ	14
30.	Binadhan 6	(W)	3	2	2	1	2	С	ŝ	13
31.	Basmati 370	(J	Pakistan	2	-	1	ę	ę	2	12
32.	Kashmir Basmati	(W	73	ŝ	-	1	ę	2	-	Ξ
33.	DM 25	W	**	1	2	1	n	2		10
34.	3027	(J	China	2	З	1	2	ę	2	13
35.	R 3027	(W	"	2	З	1	2	2	2	12
36.	IR-64 (IRRIs Local c	heck)	IRRI	2	3	1	2	1	1	10
;(J	Parent (M): Mutant									



 Table 5. Group number, index scores and rice genotypes included in each cluster following metroglyph technique.

Group number	Genotypes	Group index scores
Ι	14, 16, 18, 24, 26, 36	78
II	1, 2, 3, 4, 7.	51
III	21, 28, 30	40
IV	8, 15, 23	40
V	12, 13, 34	40
VI	25, 29, 35	39
VII	9, 10, 11	36
VIII	31	12
IX	22	11
Х	32	11
XI	33	10

Among genotypes, genotypes number 8, 12, 14, 18, 24, 28 and 29 had the highest index scores as total (Table 4). Genotype number 3 scored the minimum index score of 9. Rice genotypes formed eleven groups (Fig. 1) on the basis of relative dispositioning of genotypes on the graph. The results suggested that the metroglyph analysis would be suitable for grouping the genotypes as reported by Chandra (1977). The number to each group was allotted on the basis of net index scores of the group in ascending order. Genotypes number 31, 22, 32, and 33 formed the group number VIII, IX, X and XI respectively (Table 5). Group-I included 6 genotypes, likewise group-II, has 5 genotypes. This grouping can be used in the future hybrid-breeding programme for the improvement of rice population. Hybridization between group-I and group-II is expected to give better types. The group with lowest of 10 index score was group number 11 which included a single genotype 33.

From these results, one can easily foresee that those genotypes, which had high index scores and fell into different groups, can be crossed to have maximum variability of good combinations of characters. Similarly, if one is interested in improving a character, which is undesirable or otherwise weak on a genotype, this information will be helpful in identifying the cross (es) that could be attempted to obtain the desired results. Similar suggestions about the technique were given by Ibrahim *et al.*, (1990), Kotaiah *et al.*, (1986) and Bharadwaj *et al.*, (2001).

Acknowledgement

Material and financial support received from International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) to conduct this research under Project No. RAS/5/037 is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Anderson, E. 1957. A semigraphical method for the analysis of complex problems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 43: 923-927.
- Anonymous 2000-01, Economic survey 2000-01, Government of Pakistan, Economic advisory wing, Finance Division, Islamabad. Page 16-17.
- Bharadwaj, Ch., C. Tara Satyavath and D. Subramanyam. 2001. Evaluation of different classifactory analysis methods in some rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) collections. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.*, 71(2): 123-125.
- Chandra, S. 1977. Comparision of Mahalanobis's method and metroglyph technique in the study of genetic divergence in *Linum usitatissimum* Linn., Germplasm collections. *Euphytica*, 26: 141-148.
- Das, G.R. and D.N. Borthakur. 1973. Genetic divergence in rice. Ind. J. Genet. Plant Breed., 33: 436-443.
- Gomathinayagam, P. and S. Natarajan. 1988. Metroglyph analysis of some upland rice cultures. *Int. Rice Res. Newsletter*, 13(4): 4-5.
- Ibrahim, S.M., A. Ramalingam and M. Subramanian. 1990. Using metroglyph analysis to study variability in early generations of rainfed lowland rice. *Int. Rice Res. Newsletter*, 15(1): 8-9.
- Kharbuli, R.L., M.H. Hazarika and P. Talukdar. 1992. Metroglyph analysis of Boro Rices of Assam. *Oryza*, 29(1): 47-49.
- Kotaiah, K.C., C. Panduranga Rao, N. Sreerama Reddi and Y.R.B. Sarma. 1986. Mahalanobis's D² and metroglyph analyses in mid-duration genotypes of rice. *Ind. J. Agric. Sci.*, 56(3): 151-156.
- Kotaiah, K.C., C. Panduranga Rao, J. Ramachandra Reddy and M.V. Reddi. 1987. Comparision of Mahalanobis's D² and metroglyph analyses in long duration genotypes of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Ind. J. Genet. Plant Breed.*, 47(1): 55-60.
- Mahapatra, K.C., C.H.P. Mishra and B. Achrya. 1995. Clustering of rice mutants by different methods of analysis. *Ind. J. Genet.*, 55(2): 138-147.
- Maurya, D.M., and D.P. Singh. 1977. Genetic divergence in rice. Ind. J. Genet. Plant Breed., 37: 395-402.
- Mehdi, S. S. and M. J. Asghar. 1999. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for seedling traits in sorghum genotypes. *Sci. Int.* (Lahore), 11(1): 113-116
- Ponitha, D. and T. S. Raveendram. 2000. Metroglyph analysis for interspecific coloured lint cotton genotypes (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Crop Res., 20(2): 317-320.
- Roy, A. and A.N. Sharma. 1994. Metroglyph analysis of some morphological variation in early maturing pigeon pea. Annals of Agricultural Research, 15(2): 178-183.
- Singh, R.K. and B.D. Chaudhary. 1979. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Page 210-214.

564

- Singh, R.S., S.K. Singh and D.M. Maurya. 1986^a. Metroglyph analysis of low land rice cultivars. *Int. Rice Res. Newsletter*, 11(4): 10.
- Singh, R.S., S.P. Chuhan and D.M. Maurya. 1986^b. Metroglyph analysis of some upland rice cultivars of India. *Int. Rice Res. Newsletter*, 11(4): 11
- Sinha, P.K., V.S. Chauhan, K. Prasad and J.S. Chauhan. 1991. Genetic divergence in indigenous upland rice varieties. *Ind. J. Genet.*, 15(1): 47-50.
- Steel, R.G.D. and J.H. Torrie. 1984. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. A Biometrical Approach, McGraw Hill Book Co., New York, U. S. A.
- Vijaykumar, N.K. and N.N. Ramankutty. 1988. Metroglyph analysis of yield attributes in certain tall indica rice (*Oryza sativa*) varieties in North Kerala. *Indian J. Agric. Sci.*, 58(7): 542.
- Anderson, E. 1957. A semigraphical method for the analysis of complex problems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 43: 923-927.

(Received for publication 27 May 2003)